

No. 20-148

---

---

In the  
Supreme Court of the United States

---

---

MARVIN WASHINGTON; DB, AS PARENT OF INFANT AB;  
JOSE BELEN; SC, AS PARENT OF INFANT JC; AND  
CANNABIS CULTURAL ASSOCIATION, INC.,

*Petitioners,*

v.

WILLIAM PELHAM BARR, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY  
AS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL; UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; TIMOTHY J. SHEA, IN HIS OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS ACTING DIRECTOR OF THE DRUG ENFORCEMENT  
ADMINISTRATION, UNITED STATES DRUG ENFORCEMENT  
ADMINISTRATION, AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Respondents.*

---

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the  
United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit

---

---

**BRIEF OF THE NATIONAL ORGANIZATION  
FOR THE REFORM OF MARIJUANA LAWS ET AL. AS  
AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS**

---

---

ANDREW P. SCHRIEVER, ESQ.  
CUDDY & FEDER LLP  
445 HAMILTON AVENUE  
14TH FLOOR  
WHITE PLAINS, NY 10601  
(914) 761-1300  
ASCHRIEVER@CUDDYFEDER.COM

DAVID C. HOLLAND, ESQ.  
*COUNSEL OF RECORD*  
201 EAST 28TH STREET  
SUITE 2R  
NEW YORK, NY 10016  
(212) 842-2480  
DCH@HOLLANDLITIGATION.COM

SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

*COUNSEL FOR AMICI CURIAE*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	ii
INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE.....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	2
ARGUMENT .....	4
I. IS IT FUTILE TO UPHOLD THE SUPREMACY OF THE SCHEDULE I STATUS OF CANNABIS UNDER THE CSA WHEN EACH BRANCH OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS AFFIRMATIVELY ATTEMPTED TO PRESERVE AND PROTECT STATE MEDICAL CANNABIS PROGRAMS WHICH NULLIFY THE SUPREMACY OF THAT DESIGNATION? .....	4
A. Supremacy of the Federal Controlled Substances Act .....	4
B. The Rise of the Nullification Crisis.....	5
II. IS THIS “BACKDOOR NULLIFICATION” CRISIS THE PROPER SCENARIO FOR THIS COURT TO INVOKE THE DOCTRINE OF ESTOPPEL AGAINST THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN ORDER TO PREVENT DUE PROCESS HARMS AND UNFAIRNESS TO PATIENTS AND INDUSTRY PARTICIPANTS WHO DETRIMENTALLY RELIED ON OFFICIAL ACTS AND STATEMENTS INDUCING THEM TO ENGAGE IN ACTIVITIES OTHERWISE UNLAWFUL UNDER THE FEDERAL LAW?.....	17
A. Futility of Administrative Challenges and Need to Invoke Estoppel Against the Federal Government .....	17
B. The Precedent for Estoppel .....	19
CONCLUSION.....	25

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

## CASES

<i>Americans for Safe Access v. Drug Enforcement Administration</i> , 706 F.3d 438 (D.C. Cir., 2013), <i>cert. denied</i> , 571 U.S. 885, 134 S.Ct. 267, 187 L.Ed.2d 151 (2013) .....	18
<i>Cox v. Louisiana</i> , 379 U.S. 559 (1965) .....	20
<i>Energy Labs, Inc. v. Edwards Engineering, Inc.</i> , 2015 WL 3504974 4 (N.D. Ill. 2015) .....	13
<i>Gade v. Nat'l Solid Wastes Mgmt. Ass'n</i> , 505 U.S. 88 (1992) .....	4
<i>Garcia v. Does</i> , 779 F.3d 84 (2d Cir. 2015) .....	21
<i>Ginsburg v. ICC Holdings, LLC</i> , 2017 WL 5467688 (N.D. Tex. Nov. 13, 2017) .....	14
<i>Gonzales v. Raich</i> , 545 U.S. 1, 125 S.Ct. 2195, 162 L.Ed.2d 1 (2005) .....	10, 18
<i>Green Earth Wellness Ctr., LLC v. Atain Specialty Ins. Co.</i> , 163 F. Supp. 3d 821 (D. Colo. 2016) .....	14
<i>Green Sol. Retail, Inc. v. U.S.</i> , 855 F.3d 1111 (10th Cir. 2017) .....	13
<i>Haeberle v. Lowden</i> , 2012 WL 7149098 (Colo. Dist. Ct. 2012) .....	15

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
<i>Heckler v. Community Health Services</i> , 467 U.S. 51, 104 S.Ct. 2218, 81 L.Ed.2d 42 (1984) .....	22
<i>Hillman v. Maretta</i> , 569 U.S. 483 (2013) .....	4
<i>Hillsborough Cnty., Fla. v. Automated Med. Labs.</i> , 471 U.S. 707 (1985) .....	4
<i>In re Malul</i> , 614 B.R. 699 (Bankr. D. Colo. 2020) .....	15
<i>In Re Pharmacann LLC</i> , 123 U.S.P.Q.2d 1122 (T.T.A.B. 2017) .....	15
<i>In re Way to Grow, Inc.</i> , 597 B.R. 111 (Bankr. D. Colo. 2018) .....	15
<i>INS v. Hibi</i> , 414 U.S. 5, 94 S.Ct. 19, 38 L.Ed.2d 7 (1973) .....	22, 23
<i>INS v. Miranda</i> , 459 U.S. 14, 103 S.Ct. 281, 74 L.Ed.2d 12 (1982) .....	23
<i>James Stewart &amp; Co. v. Sadrakula</i> , 309 U.S. 94 (1940) .....	4
<i>Kettler v. U.S.</i> , 139 S.Ct. 2691 (2019) .....	24
<i>Krumm v. Drug Enforcement Administration</i> , 739 Fed. Appx. 655 (D.C. Cir., Sept. 24, 2018) .....	18
<i>Landgraf v. USI Film Productions</i> , 511 U.S. 244 (1994) .....	20

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
<i>Mann v. Gullickson</i> , 2016 WL 6473215 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 2, 2016) .....	13
<i>McCracken v. Progressive Direct Ins. Co.</i> , 896 F.3d 1166 (10th Cir. 2018) .....	14
<i>Montana v. Kennedy</i> , 366 U.S. 308, 81 S.Ct. 1336, 6 L.Ed.2d 313 (1961) .....	22
<i>Montilla v. U.S.</i> , 457 F.2d 978 (Ct. Cl. 1972) .....	19, 21
<i>Office of Pers. Mgmt. v. Richmond</i> , 496 U.S. 414, 110 S. Ct. 2465, 110 L.Ed.2d 387 (1990) .....	22, 23
<i>Raley v. Ohio</i> , 360 U.S. 423, 79 S.Ct. 1257, 3 L.Ed.2d 1344 (1959) .....	20, 24
<i>Sandusky v. Goetz</i> , 944 F.3d 1240 (10th Cir. 2019) .....	13
<i>Schweiker v. Hansen</i> , 450 U.S. 785, 101 S.Ct. 1468, 67 L.Ed.2d 685 (1981) .....	23
<i>Suzanne Sisley, M.D. et. al., v. U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration, et. al.</i> , No. 20-71433 (9th Cir., August 18, 2020) .....	18
<i>U.S. v. Blood</i> , 435 F.3d 612 (6th Cir. 2006) .....	20
<i>U.S. v. Canori</i> , 787 F.3d 181 (2nd Cir. 2013) .....	5, 10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.