

No. _____

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

BIOGEN MA INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

EMD SERONO, INC., PFIZER INC.,
Respondents.

**On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari
to the United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

NICHOLAS GROOMBRIDGE
ERIC ALAN STONE
PETER SANDEL
JENNY C. WU
JOSEPHINE YOUNG
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND
WHARTON & GARRISON
LLP
1285 Ave. of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
(212) 373-3000

JEFFREY A. LAMKEN
Counsel of Record
MOLOLAMKEN LLP
The Watergate, Suite 500
600 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037
(202) 556-2000
jlamken@mololamken.com

Counsel for Petitioner

QUESTION PRESENTED

The patent in this case claims a method of medical treatment that requires use of a “recombinant,” or synthetic version, of a human protein. That synthetic, recombinant version does not exist in nature. The Federal Circuit held, in violation of this Court’s longstanding precedent, that the claim term “recombinant” must be ignored in assessing whether the method of treatment is novel. The question presented is:

Whether courts may disregard the express claim term “recombinant” so as to render a method-of-treatment patent anticipated—and thus invalid—in light of prior-art treatments that used the naturally occurring human protein, where it is undisputed that the recombinant protein was not used in the prior art?

(i)

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS

Petitioner is Biogen MA Inc. (“Biogen”). Respondents are EMD Serono Inc. and Pfizer Inc. (together except where noted, “Serono”).

Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp. (together except where noted, “Bayer”) were defendants in a parallel district court proceeding, previously consolidated with this case but later severed, at Bayer’s request.

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 29.6 of the Rules of this Court, Petitioner Biogen MA Inc. states that it is a wholly owned subsidiary of Biogen Inc., which is a publicly held corporation traded on the Nasdaq Stock Market under the symbol BIIB. No other publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of the stock in Biogen MA Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Question Presented	i
Parties to the Proceedings	ii
Corporate Disclosure Statement.....	iii
Opinions Below.....	1
Statement of Jurisdiction	1
Statutory Provisions Involved	2
Preliminary Statement	2
Statement of the Case.....	7
I. Technological Background of the Invention and the Resulting Patent	8
A. The Unfulfilled Promise of Interferon- β , and Dr. Fiers's Solution.....	8
B. The '755 Patent.....	10
I. Proceedings Below	12
A. Proceedings Before the Trial Court.....	12
B. The Federal Circuit's Decision	15
Reasons for Granting the Petition	17
I. The Federal Circuit's Decision Contravenes This Court's Settled Precedents.....	17
A. The Federal Circuit's Decision Conflicts With the Patent Act and This Court's Precedents.....	18

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.