No. 20-1800

In the Supreme Court of the United States

HAROLD SHURTLEFF, ET AL., Petitioners,

v. CITY OF BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS, ET AL., *Respondents*.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

BRIEF FOR MASSACHUSETTS, CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE, THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, HAWAII, MAINE, MINNESOTA, NEW YORK, OREGON, AND VIRGINIA AS *AMICI CURIAE* IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS

MAURA HEALEY

Attorney General for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts David C. Kravitz* Deputy State Solicitor Phoebe Fischer-Groban Grace Gohlke Assistant Attorneys General One Ashburton Place Boston, MA 02108 david.kravitz@mass.gov (617) 963-2427 *Counsel of Record (Additional counsel listed on signature pages.)

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET

ALARM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

P	a	g	e

INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT2
ARGUMENT4
I. A robust government speech doctrine is essential for government to function4
II. A reasonable observer would normally attribute to the government the speech associated with a flag flying over government property7
A. Flags are a uniquely potent form of government speech
B. Flag displays on government property generate controversy precisely because local residents reasonably attribute them to the government10
III.Governments routinely engage in speech by flying flags over government property that represent other nations or mark occasions of civic importance
A. Flying the flag of another nation to honor a local community or for other civically-important reasons is a common form of government speech17
B. Flying a flag to commemorate holidays and other occasions of civic importance is paradigmatic government speech
IV.Reversal in this case will inevitably lead to less speech
CONCLUSION

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<u>Page</u>

Ark. Ed. Television Comm'n v. Forbes, 523 U.S. 666 (1998)
Board of Regents of Univ. of Wis. System v. Southworth, 529 U.S. 217 (2000)5
Commonwealth v. Dayton, 75 N.E.3d 600 (Mass. 2017)22
Cornelius v. NAACP Legal Def. Fund, 473 U.S. 788 (1985)
Leake v. Drinkard, 14 F.4th 1242 (11th Cir. 2021)27-28
Matal v. Tam, 137 S. Ct. 1744 (2017)4-6
National Endowment for the Arts v. Finley, 524 U.S. 569 (1998)4
Perry Educ. Ass'n v. Perry Local Educators' Ass'n, 460 U.S. 37 (1983)27
Pleasant Grove City, Utah v. Summum, 555 U.S. 460 (2009) 4, 5, 7, 13, 26, 28
Texas v. Johnson, 491 U.S. 397 (1989)

Walker v. Texas	Div.,	Sons	s of Con	federat	е		
Veterans, Inc.	., 576	U.S.	200 (20	015)		5,	7

Constitutional Provisions, Statutes, and Legislative Materials

4 U.S.C. § 7	9
5 U.S.C. § 6103 1	19
36 U.S.C. § 107 1	19
1895 Mass. Stat. ch. 1152	22
H. 444 (introduced Apr. 7, 2021), legislature.vermont.gov1	19
Mass. Gen. L. ch. 264, § 8	22

Miscellaneous

DOCKET

Alex Gault, Clayton move	s to ban non-national
flags from village flagp	oles, NNY360.com
(Aug. 4, 2021)	

Boston Arts & Culture Cable Office, *Italian Flag Raising*, cityofboston.gov (Oct. 5, 2018)....23

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Cable Office, Dep't of Neighborhood Development, <i>LGBT Pride Flag Raising</i> 2017, cityofboston.gov (June 2, 2017)26
California Governor Gavin Newsom, Proclamation, gov.ca.gov (Oct. 8, 2021)20
Camp Constitution, Communist China Flag Raising at Boston's City Hall Plaza, youtube.com (Sept. 29, 2019)
City of Arroyo Grande, <i>Policy on Display of</i> <i>Flags</i> , arroyogrande.org (May 25, 2021)18
City of Barre, <i>Policy on Flag Displays</i> , barrecity.org (rev. Nov. 24, 2020)19
City of Belvedere, <i>Policy 20-6: Flag Policy</i> , cityofbelvedere.org24-25
City of Gilroy, <i>Policy for Flying Flags at City</i> <i>Facilities</i> , cityofgilroy.org (May 17, 2021)
City of Montpelier, <i>Flag Display</i> , montpelier- vt.org
City of National City (@CityOfNatlCity), twitter.com (Oct. 11, 2021, 11:29 am)19
City of San José, Exhibition of Federal, State, and City Flags from City Buildings—All

Occasions, sanjoseca.gov (rev. Oct. 17, 2006)....18

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.