IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

United States of America, Petitioner,

•

Image Processing Technologies LLC, et al, Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

MEMORANDUM FOR RESPONDENT MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP.

CATHERINE E. STETSON
Counsel of Record
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP
555 Thirteenth St., N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 637-5491
cate.stetson@hoganlovells.com
KRISTINA ALEKSEYEVA
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP
390 Madison Avenue

New York, NY 10017

Counsel for Respondent Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.



QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1. Whether, for purposes of the Appointments Clause, administrative patent judges of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office are principal officers who must be appointed by the President with the Senate's advice and consent, or inferior officers whose appointment Congress has permissibly vested in a department head.
- 2. Whether the court of appeals erred by adjudicating Appointments Clause challenges that had not first been presented to the agency.



RULE 29.6 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Respondent Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. is a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., which owns 10% or more of respondent's stock.



iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
QUEST	TIONS PRESENTED	i
RULE 2	29.6 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	ii
TABLE	OF AUTHORITIES	iv
INTRO	DUCTION	1
STATE	MENT	3
ARGUMENT		6
	THIS PETITION RAISES THE SAME QUESTIONS AS ARTHREX	6
T J T	THIS COURT SHOULD REVERSE THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT'S TUDGMENT IN <i>ARTHREX</i> , AND THEN GRANT, VACATE, AND REMAND THIS CASE	8
CONCI	LUSION	11



iv

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Pa</u>	<u>ge(s)</u>
CASES:	
Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., 941 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019)pa	assim
Bedgear, LLC v. Fredman Bros. Furniture Co.,	7 7
783 F. App'x 1029 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	7
Edmond v. United States, 520 U.S. 651 (1997)	8
Fairbank v. United States, 181 U.S. 283 (1901)	7
<i>In re DBC</i> , 545 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	9
NLRB v. Noel Canning, 573 U.S. 513 (2014)	9
Polaris Innovations Ltd. v. Kingston Technology Co., 792 F. App'x 820 (Fed. Cir. 2020)pa	assim
Regan v. Time, Inc., 468 U.S. 641 (1984)	7
Trading Techs. Int'l, Inc. v. IBG LLC,	
771 F. App'x 493 (Fed. Cir. 2019), cert. denied, 140 S. Ct. 955 (2020)	10
United States v. L. A. Tucker Truck Lines,	
<i>Inc.</i> , 344 U.S. 33 (1952)	10
STATUTES:	
5 U.S.C. § 7513(a)	3
35 U.S.C. § 1(a)	3
$25 \text{ II S } C - \$ \ 2(a)(1)$	Q



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

