

No. 20-804

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

HOUSTON COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM,

Petitioner,

v.

DAVID BUREN WILSON,

Respondent.

**On Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit**

**BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE OF
AMERICAN JEWISH COMMITTEE
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER**

MARC D. STERN
AMERICAN JEWISH COMMITTEE
165 East 56th Street
New York, New York 10022
(212) 891-1480
sternm@ajc.org

GREGORY E. OSTFELD
Counsel of Record
HOWARD JERUCHIMOWITZ
BRIAN D. STRAW
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
77 W. Wacker Dr.
Suite 3100
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 456-8400
osteldg@gtlaw.com
jeruchimowitzh@gtlaw.com
strawb@gtlaw.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

July 21, 2021

WILSON-EPES PRINTING CO., INC. – (202) 789-0096 – WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICUS</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	2
ARGUMENT.....	4
I. THERE IS NO CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION FROM CRITICISM BY A GOVERNMENT ENTITY AND THE RECOGNITION OF SUCH A PROTECTION DIMINISHES FIRST AMENDMENT FREEDOMS.....	4
A. The Power of Government to State Its Own Views and to Disagree with Private Actors Is a Cornerstone Component of Free Expression.	4
B. The Fifth Circuit’s Holding Undercuts the Government’s Power to Censure and Upends the Balance of First Amendment Protections to Chill Government Speech.....	6
1. Allowing a Private Constitutional Claim in Response to Censure is Contrary to the Long History of the Legislative Power..	7
2. The Fifth Circuit’s Decision Relegates Government Speech to Inferior Status and Chills the Expression of Government Viewpoints.....	9

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

	Page
C. The Direct and Indirect Consequences of Silencing Government Speech Further Support a Rule Promoting Robust Government Discourse.	12
II. THE FIFTH CIRCUIT’S DECISION UNDERMINES THE GOVERNMENT’S ABILITY TO ACT BY IMPROPERLY ERODING THE LINE BETWEEN CENSURE AND CENSORSHIP	16
A. Dr. Fauci’s Public Comments Promoting Public Health Could Support a Claim Under the Fifth Circuit Standard	19
B. The Censure of Senator Joseph McCarthy Could Support a Claim Against Witnesses and Counsel Under the Fifth Circuit Standard.....	21
C. Even Pro-Democracy Messaging Published by the U.S. Government Could Create a Risk of Litigation.....	25
CONCLUSION	28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	Page(s)
<i>Abrams v. United States</i> , 250 U.S. 616 (1919).....	2, 12
<i>Blair v. Bethel Sch. Dist.</i> , 608 F.3d 540 (9th Cir. 2010).....	8
<i>Block v. Meese</i> , 793 F.2d 1303 (D.C. Cir. 1986).....	11
<i>Board of Regents of Univ. of Wis. System v. Southworth</i> , 529 U.S. 217 (2000).....	5, 16
<i>Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Democratic National Committee</i> , 412 U.S. 94 (1973).....	5
<i>Johanns v. Livestock Marketing Assn.</i> , 544 U.S. 550, 125 S. Ct. 2055, 161 L. Ed. 2d 896 (2005).....	5, 10, 11
<i>Keller v. State Bar of Cal.</i> , 496 U.S. 1 (1990).....	9-10
<i>Meese v. Keene</i> , 481 U.S. 465 (1987).....	5
<i>Mistretta v. United States</i> , 488 U.S. 361 (1989).....	8
<i>Nat'l Endowment for the Arts v. Finley</i> , 524 U.S. 569 (1998).....	4, 16, 20
<i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i> , 376 U.S. 254 (1964).....	10
<i>Phelan v. Laramie Cty. Cmty. Coll. Bd. of Trustees</i> , 235 F.3d 1243 (10th Cir. 2000).....	5, 8, 10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

	Page(s)
<i>Pleasant Grove City v. Summum</i> , 555 U.S. 460 (2008).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Powell v. McCormick</i> , 395 U.S. 486 (1969).....	7
<i>Rosenberger v. Rector and Visitors of Univ. of Va.</i> , 515 U.S. 819 (1995).....	5
<i>Rust v. Sullivan</i> , 500 U.S. 173 (1991).....	5, 11
<i>United States v. Alvarez</i> , 567 U.S. 709 (2012).....	2, 12
<i>Walker v. Tex. Div., Sons of Confederate Veterans</i> , 576 U.S. 200 (2015).....	11
<i>Werkheiser v. Pocono Twp.</i> , 780 F.3d 172 (3d Cir. 2015)	8
<i>Whitener v. McWatters</i> , 24 112 F.3d 740 (4th Cir. 1997).....	7, 24
<i>Whitney v. California</i> , 274 U.S. 357 (1927).....	2, 12
<i>Wilson v. Houston Cmty. College Sys.</i> , 955 F.3d 490 (5th Cir. 2020).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Wilson v. Houston Cmty. College Sys.</i> , 966 F.3d 341 (5th Cir. 2020).....	10, 11
<i>Zilich v. Longo</i> , 34 F.3d 359 (6th Cir. 1994).....	8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.