

No. 21-241

---

---

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

MONSANTO COMPANY,

*Petitioner,*

*v.*

EDWIN HARDEMAN,

*Respondent.*

---

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED  
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

---

---

**BRIEF OF CROPLIFE AMERICA AS AMICUS  
CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER  
MONSANTO COMPANY**

---

---

SHANNEN W. COFFIN  
*Counsel of Record*  
SARA BETH WATSON  
MARK C. SAVIGNAC  
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP  
1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 429-3000  
scoffin@steptoe.com

*Counsel for Amicus Curiae*

---

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE .....	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	3
BACKGROUND .....	6
A.    FIFRA Registration .....	6
B.    FIFRA Labeling Requirements .....	7
C.    FIFRA Bars States From Imposing Different Labeling Requirements .....	9
ARGUMENT .....	9
I.    FIFRA EXPRESSLY PREEMPTS ANY STATE-LAW REQUIREMENT THAT GLYPHOSATE-BASED PESTICIDES BEAR A CANCER WARNING .....	10
A.    Plaintiff’s Duty-to-Warn Claim Imposes a State Requirement Different from and in Addition to FIFRA.....	10
B.    The Ninth Circuit Erred By Placing Undue Weight on 7 U.S.C. § 136a(f)(2) .....	19
II.   FIFRA IMPLIEDLY PREEMPTS ANY STATE-LAW REQUIREMENT THAT GLYPHOSATE-BASED PESTICIDES BEAR A CANCER WARNING .....	21
III.  THE PETITION PRESENTS AN EXCEPTIONALLY IMPORTANT PREEMPTION QUESTION .....	24
CONCLUSION .....	27

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Bates v. Dow Agrosciences LLC</i> , 544 U.S. 431 (2005).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>MacDonald v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 27 F.3d 1021 (5th Cir. 1994).....	5, 20
<i>Merck Sharp &amp; Dohme Corp. v. Albrecht</i> , 139 S. Ct. 1668 (2019).....	4, 22, 23, 24
<i>National Association of Wheat Growers v. Becerra</i> , 468 F. Supp. 3d 1247 (E.D. Cal. 2020) .....	14
<i>NRDC v. EPA</i> , Nos. 20-70787, 20-70801 (9th Cir. May 18, 2021) .....	15, 16
<i>PLIVA, Inc. v. Mensing</i> , 564 U.S. 604 (2011).....	23
<i>Ruckelshaus v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 467 U.S. 987 (1984).....	6
<i>Smiley v. Citibank (South Dakota), N.A.</i> , 517 U.S. 735 (1996).....	16
<i>United States v. Mead Corp.</i> , 533 U.S. 218 (2001).....	16, 17, 19

*Wyeth v. Levine*,  
555 U.S. 555 (2009).....22, 23

**Statutes**

7 U.S.C. § 136 ..... 1, 6, 7, 9, 18  
7 U.S.C. § 136a ..... 6, 7, 18, 19 24  
7 U.S.C. § 136j ..... 9  
7 U.S.C. § 136v ..... 4, 10

**FIFRA Regulations**

40 C.F.R. § 152.44 ..... 9  
40 C.F.R. § 152.112 ..... 9  
40 C.F.R. § 155.40 ..... 7  
40 C.F.R. § 155.50 ..... 7  
40 C.F.R. § 156.10 ..... 8,9, 18  
40 C.F.R. § 156.60 ..... 8  
40 C.F.R. § 156.70 ..... 8, 9, 18  
40 C.F.R. § 158.500 ..... 6

**EPA Materials**

Final Rule: Glyphosate; Pesticide  
Tolerances, 62 Fed. Reg. 17,723  
(Apr. 11, 1997)..... 12

Final Rule: Glyphosate; Pesticide Tolerances, 67 Fed. Reg. 60,934 (Sept. 27, 2002) .....	12
Final Rule: Glyphosate; Pesticide Tolerances, 73 Fed. Reg. 73,586 (Dec. 3, 2008).....	12
EPA, Glyphosate: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment in Support of Registration Review, Case No. 0178 (Dec. 12, 2017), <a href="http://www.regulations.gov/document/EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0361-0068">www.regulations.gov/document/EPA- HQ-OPP-2009-0361-0068</a> .....	13
EPA, Glyphosate: Interim Registration Review Decision, Case No. 0178 (Jan. 2020), <a href="http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020-01/documents/glyphosate-interim-reg-review-decision-case-num-0178.pdf">www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020- 01/documents/glyphosate-interim-reg- review-decision-case-num-0178.pdf</a> .....	15, 17
EPA, Glyphosate: Proposed Interim Registration Review Decision, Case No. 0178 (Apr. 2019), <a href="http://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0361-2344">www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA- HQ-OPP-2009-0361-2344</a> .....	13, 14, 26
EPA, Ingredients Used in Pesticide Products: Glyphosate, <a href="http://www.epa.gov/ingredients-used-pesticide-products/glyphosate">www.epa.gov/ingredients-used-pesticide- products/glyphosate</a> .....	11

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.