# In The Supreme Court of the United States

BLANCA TELEPHONE COMPANY,

Petitioner

v.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

Respondents

On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari To The United States Court of Appeals For The Tenth Circuit

### PETITION FOR REHEARING

Timothy E. Welch, Esq.

Counsel of Record

Hill and Welch

1116 Heartfields Drive

Silver Spring, MD 20904

(202) 321-1448 (cell)

welchlaw@earthlink.net

Counsel for Petitioner



i

### **QUESTIONS PRESENTED**

- 1. Whether the FCC's Universal Service Fund (USF) grant program is a legitimate exercise of Congressional spending power under *Pennhurst State School & Hospital v. Halderman*, 451 U.S. 1 (1981) where the FCC failed to unambiguously specify the conditions and consequences of accepting the USF grant money.
- 2. Whether the FCC denied Blanca's Fifth Amendment right to equal protection and judicial review by authorizing private parties to interfere with Blanca's due process and property rights.



ii

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED i
TABLE OF CONTENTS ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iii
PETITION FOR REHEARING
A. Summary1
B. Grounds For Rehearing 2
1. USF Funding: Spending Power Violation 2
2. Unreviewable Rights Interference By Private Party Government Agents 6
C. No Delay Or Harm From Case Deferral 8
CONCLUSION9



### iii

### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	
Agility Public Warehousing v. U.S., 969 F.3d 1355 (CAFC 2020)	
Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1 (1976)	
Dept. of Homeland Sec. v. Regents of the Univ. of Cal., 140 S. Ct. 1891 (2020)	
National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius, 567 U.S. 519 (2012) 6	
Pennhurst State School & Hospital v. Halderman, 451 U.S. 1 (1981) i, 1, 3, 4	
South Dakota v. Dole, 483 U.S. 203 (1987) 4	
USAC v. Post-Confirm. Comm. of Unsec. Cred. (In re Incomnet), 463 F.3d 1064 (CA9 2006) 5	
Statutes	
47 C.F.R. § 1.1910(b)(3)(I) 8	
47 U.S.C. § 151	
Other Authorities	
Article I, Section 8, Clause 1	
Eugene Gressman, et al., Supreme Court Practice (9 <sup>th</sup> ed. 2007)	



### iv

Fifth Amendment	i, 7, 8	3
Fourteenth Amendment		7
Stephen M. Shapiro, et al., Supreme Court P.		<b>a</b>



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

