

No. 21-661

In the Supreme Court of the United States

CITY OF EUGENE, OREGON, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

REPLY BRIEF FOR THE PETITIONERS

JOSEPH VAN EATON
CHERYL A. LEANZA
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
1800 K Street, NW
Suite 725
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 785-0600
Joseph.VanEaton@bbklaw.com
*Counsel for City of
Portland,
Oregon, et al.*

TILLMAN L. LAY
Counsel of Record
JEFFREY M. BAYNE
LAUREN L. SPRINGETT
SPIEGEL & MCDIARMID LLP
1875 Eye Street, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 879-4000
Tim.Lay@spiegelmc.com
*Counsel for City
of Eugene, Oregon*

(additional counsel listed on inside cover)

MICHAEL J. WATZA
KITCH DRUTCHAS WAGNER
VALITUTTI & SHERBROOK
One Woodward Avenue
Suite 2400
Detroit, MI 48226-5485
Mike.Watza@kitch.com

*Counsel for City of Livonia,
Michigan, et al.*

RULE 29.6 STATEMENT

The Rule 26.9 disclosure in the Petition for Certiorari remains accurate.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Rule 29.6 Statement..... | i |
| Table of Contents | ii |
| Table of Authorities..... | iii |
| Reply Brief for the Petitioners | 1 |
| I. The oppositions confirm that the case presents conflict on an important issue that will have significant national impacts..... | 1 |
| II. Clarification is needed to prevent the improper expansion of implied preemption when Congress preserves state or local authority to act. | 2 |
| III. The decision below conflicts with that of the Oregon Supreme Court on an important question of federal law. | 6 |
| IV. Respondents are incorrect on the merits and competitive impact. | 9 |
| Conclusion | 12 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

FEDERAL COURT CASES

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Branche v. Airtran Airways, Inc.</i> , 342 F.3d 1248 (11th Cir. 2003) | 4 |
| <i>Chevron U.S.A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.</i> , 467 U.S. 837 (1984)..... | 6 |
| <i>City of Eugene, Oregon v. Federal Communications Commission</i> , 998 F.3d 701 (6th Cir. 2021) | <i>passim</i> |
| <i>Geier v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc.</i> , 529 U.S. 861 (2000) | 4 |
| <i>Gregory v. Ashcroft</i> , 501 U.S. 452 (1991) | 6 |
| <i>Lawson-Ross v. Great Lakes Higher Educ. Corp.</i> , 955 F.3d 908 (11th Cir. 2020) | 4 |
| <i>Nat’l Fed’n of Indep. Bus. v. Sebelius</i> , 567 U.S. 519 (2012)..... | 6 |
| <i>PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin</i> , 532 U.S. 661 (2001)..... | 10 |
| <i>Sprietsma v. Mercury Marine</i> , 537 U.S. 51 (2002)..... | 4 |
| <i>Sturgeon v. Frost</i> , 577 U.S. 424 (2016) | 4 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.