In The Supreme Court of the United States

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,

Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

BRIEF IN OPPOSITION

MICHAEL HAWES
AARON M. STREETT
Counsel of Record
J. MARK LITTLE
CLARK OBEREMBT
ELISABETH C. BUTLER
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
910 Louisiana St.
Houston, Texas 77002
(713) 229-1234
aaron.streett@bakerbotts.com

Counsel for Respondent Qualcomm Incorporated

WILSON-EPES PRINTING CO., INC. - (202) 789-0096 - WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002



QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether a licensee that offers no evidence linking a patent's invalidation to any concrete consequence for the licensee nevertheless has Article III standing to challenge the validity of the licensed patent.



CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Qualcomm Incorporated has no parent company, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUES	STION PRESENTED	i
CORI	PORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	ii
TABI	LE OF CONTENTS	iii
TABI	LE OF AUTHORITIES	v
INTR	ODUCTION	1
STAT	'EMENT	3
I.	Background	3
II.	Proceedings Below	4
REAS	SONS FOR DENYING THE PETITION	6
I.	The Federal Circuit Correctly Applied MedImmune And Traditional Standing Principles To This Fact-Bound Case	7
	A. MedImmune clarified how traditional standing principles apply in the unique licensee context	7
	B. The Federal Circuit's opinion is faithful to $MedImmune$	11
II.	Apple Misunderstands <i>MedImmune</i> And Exaggerates The Consequences Of The Decision Below	14
	A. Neither <i>MedImmune</i> nor other precedents support an exception to Article III standing principles for	1.4
	patent licensees	14



B. The Federal Circuit's decision neither limits <i>MedImmune</i> to single-patent licenses nor threatens the rights of portfolio licensees	18
III. Apple's Non-MedImmune Theories Of Standing Fail For Evidentiary Reasons And Present No Issues Worthy Of Review	20
IV. This Case Is An Exceedingly Poor Vehicle To Address <i>MedImmune</i> 's Application To Portfolio Licenses	24
CONCLUCION	



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

