

No. 21-746

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,

Respondent.

—◆—
**On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court Of Appeals
For The Federal Circuit**

—◆—
**BRIEF OF ENGINE ADVOCACY, THE PUBLIC
INTEREST PATENT LAW INSTITUTE,
AND ACT | THE APP ASSOCIATION
AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER**

—◆—
CHRISTOPHER T. BAVITZ
Counsel of Record
CYBERLAW CLINIC
Harvard Law School
1585 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138
(617) 496-5155
cbavitz@law.harvard.edu

BRIAN SCARPELLI
ACT | THE APP ASSOCIATION
1401 K Street NW, Ste. 501
Washington, DC 20005
(517) 507-1446
bscarpelli@actonline.org

ABIGAIL RIVES
ENGINE ADVOCACY
700 Pennsylvania Avenue SE
Second Floor
Washington, DC 20003
(202) 599-1859
abby@engine.is

ALEX MOSS
PUBLIC INTEREST PATENT
LAW INSTITUTE
79405 Hwy. 111 Ste. 9-414
La Quinta, CA 92253
(818) 281-2191
alex@piplus.org

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964
WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTERESTS OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	3
ARGUMENT	5
I. The Federal Circuit’s Narrow Approach to Standing is Inconsistent with Supreme Court Precedent, Creating Disagreement within the Federal Circuit and Frustrating the Public’s Interest in Weeding Out Invalid Patents	5
A. The Federal Circuit’s Approach to Standing Undermines Principles Articulated by this Court	6
B. The Federal Circuit’s Restriction on Standing Harms the Public by Denying Rulings on the Merits for Many Patent Cases.....	9
II. The Federal Circuit’s Approach Frustrates Congress’s Carefully Balanced Policy to Root Out Questionable Patents.....	11
A. The Realities and Constraints of Patent Examination Necessitate an Effective Ex-post Mechanism to Review Patent Quality	12
B. Congress Considered Appellate Rights an Essential Component of the Post-grant Review Structure.....	14

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

	Page
C. The Federal Circuit’s One-Sided Approach to Standing Deters Even Strong Administrative Challenges and Frustrates Evenness in Patent Law	16
III. Taking an Overly Narrow Approach to Standing Will Harm Innovation and Economic Development, Especially in the Context of Startups and Small Businesses ...	19
A. The Federal Circuit’s Approach Opens Avenues for Gamesmanship, Where Startups Are at a Particular Disadvantage	21
B. Resolving Patent Validity Early and Efficiently Provides Startups and Small Businesses Freedom to Innovate Without Wasting Limited Resources.....	23
C. Restrictions on Standing Lessen the Likelihood Others will Step in to Clear the Field of Invalid Patents.....	26
CONCLUSION.....	28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Amerigen Pharms. Ltd. v. UCB Pharma GmbH</i> , 913 F.3d 1076 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	8
<i>Apple Inc. v. Qualcomm Inc.</i> , 17 F.4th 1131 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	5, 7, 17, 21
<i>Apple Inc. v. Qualcomm Inc.</i> , 992 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	21
<i>AVX Corp. v. Presidio Components, Inc.</i> , 923 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	7, 17
<i>Blonder-Tongue Lab'ys, Inc. v. Univ. of Ill. Found.</i> , 402 U.S. 313 (1971)	23
<i>Bowen v. Mich. Acad. of Fam. Physicians</i> , 476 U.S. 667 (1986)	16
<i>Bresnick v. U.S. Vitamin Corp.</i> , 139 F.2d 239 (2d Cir. 1943)	25
<i>Canadian Lumber Trade All. v. U.S.</i> , 517 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	6
<i>Clinton v. City of New York</i> , 524 U.S. 417 (1998)	6
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 579 U.S. 261 (2016)	10, 11
<i>E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co. v. Synvina C.V.</i> , 904 F.3d 996 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	8
<i>Gen. Elec., Co. v. United Techs. Corp.</i> , 928 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	5, 6, 7, 8, 17
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kan. City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

	Page
<i>Hewlett Packard Co. v. MPHJ Tech. Invs., LLC</i> , No. IPR2013-00309, 2014 WL 6617698 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 19, 2014)	27
<i>JTEKT Corp. v. GKN Automotive Ltd.</i> , 898 F.3d 1217 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	8
<i>Kimble v. Marvel Entm't, LLC</i> , 576 U.S. 446 (2015).....	11, 14
<i>Lear, Inc. v. Adkins</i> , 395 U.S. 653 (1969)	4, 10, 15
<i>Massachusetts v. EPA</i> , 549 U.S. 497 (2007).....	16
<i>Medimmune Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.</i> , 549 U.S. 118 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Oil States Energy Servs., LLC v. Greene's Energy Grp., LLC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1365 (2018).....	11
<i>Picard v. United Aircraft Corp.</i> , 128 F.2d 632 (2d Cir. 1942)	24
<i>Pope Mfg. Co. v. Gormully</i> , 144 U.S. 224 (1892)	9
<i>Precision Instrument Mfg. Co. v. Auto Maint. Mach. Co.</i> , 324 U.S. 806 (1945)	11
<i>Sanofi-Aventis U.S., LLC v. Dr. Reddy's Lab'ys, Inc.</i> , 933 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	15
<i>SCA Hygiene Prods. Aktiebolag v. First Quality Baby Prods., LLC</i> , 137 S. Ct. 954 (2017)	6
<i>Walker Process Equipment, Inc. v. Food Machinery & Chemical Corp.</i> , 382 U.S. 172 (1965).....	25

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.