No. 21-760

IN THE Supreme Court of the United States

LAURA KELLY, in her official capacity as Governor of Kansas; DEREK SCHMIDT, in his official capacity as Attorney General of Kansas,

Petitioners,

v.

ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND; CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY; SHY 38, INC.; HOPE SANCTUARY, *Respondents.*

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

BRIEF IN OPPOSITION

Alan K. Chen Justin Marceau UNIVERSITY OF DENVER STURM COLLEGE OF LAW 2255 East Evans Ave. Denver, CO 80208

DOCKF

RM

E. Joshua Rosenkranz Counsel of Record Elizabeth R. Moulton CORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP ans Ave. 51 West 52nd Street 80208 New York, NY 10019 (212) 506-5000 jrosenkranz@orrick.com Counsel for Respondents

(additional counsel listed on inside cover)

Cristina Stella ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND 525 East Cotati Ave. Cotati, CA 94931 Thomas M. Bondy Monica Haymond Geoffrey C. Shaw Sarah H. Sloan ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1152 15th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

QUESTION PRESENTED

Kansas's "Ag-Gag" law, Kan. Stat. Ann §§ 47-1825 et seq., criminalizes accessing an animal facility by deception and with "the intent to damage the enterprise conducted at the animal facility." Under this provision, an undercover investigator who enters an animal facility with the intent to expose food safety and animal welfare violations is guilty of a crime. Any person who lies about her identity and enters the same facility with the intent to laud its practices is not. Nor is a person who obtains access to the facility by deception but has no intent one way or the other to help or hurt the enterprise, say an undercover reporter who plans to write an article comparing different animal facilities. The Tenth Circuit held in this case that the statute thus "places pro-animal facility viewpoints above anti-animal facility viewpoints," Pet. App. 27, and is subject to strict scrutiny under the First Amendment. Because Kansas made no effort to justify its Ag-Gag law under that standard, the court held the statute unconstitutional.

The question presented is:

DOCKE

Whether the Tenth Circuit correctly held that Kan. Stat. Ann. §§ 47-1827(b), (c), and (d) violate the First Amendment because they criminalize speech on the basis of viewpoint?

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

i

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

In accordance with United States Supreme Court Rule 29.6, Respondents Animal Legal Defense Fund, Center for Food Safety, Shy 38, Inc., and Hope Sanctuary hereby certify that they have no parent corporations, and that no publicly-held company owns ten percent or more of the stock of any Respondent.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

QUESTION PRESENTED i
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iv
INTRODUCTION 1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE 2
Undercover investigations at animal facilities reveal important matters of public concern
Kansas enacts its Ag-Gag law to chill speech 4
The district court strikes Kansas's Ag-Gag law under the First Amendment5
The Tenth Circuit affirms based on viewpoint discrimination
REASONS FOR DENYING CERTIORARI 7
I. The Tenth Circuit's Opinion Does Not Conflict With Any Other Circuit
Precedent
II. This Case Is An Unsuitable Vehicle And Offers No Opportunity To Clarify <i>Alvarez.</i> 17
III. This Court's Review Would Be Premature 19
IV. The Tenth Circuit's Decision Is Correct 21
CONCLUSION

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

iii

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.