

No. 21-\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

In The  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

---

CORAL RIDGE MINISTRIES MEDIA, INC., d/b/a  
D. JAMES KENNEDY MINISTRIES,

*Petitioner,*

v.

SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER,

*Respondent.*

---

---

**On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari  
To The United States Court Of Appeals  
For The Eleventh Circuit**

---

---

**PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---

---

JEREMY D. BAILIE  
WEBER, CRABB & WEIN, P.A.  
5453 Central Avenue  
St. Petersburg, Florida 33710  
(727) 828-9919  
Jeremy.Bailie@webercrabb.com

DAVID C. GIBBS, III  
*Counsel of Record*  
THE NATIONAL CENTER  
FOR LIFE & LIBERTY, INC.  
2648 F. M. 407, Suite 240  
Bartonville, Texas 76226  
(727) 362-3700  
dgibbs@gibbsfirm.com

---

---

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964  
WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM

**QUESTION PRESENTED**

In *New York Times v. Sullivan*, this Court upended common law defamation jurisprudence creating a more-often-than-not insurmountable bar for a public figure to plead and prove a defamation claim—the “actual-malice” standard. The term “public figure” was later expanded to explicitly include non-elected public officials in *Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts*. Since the “actual malice” standard, particularly as applied to non-elected public officials, conflicts with the correct, original understanding of the First Amendment, this Court should untangle defamation claims from the clutches of the First Amendment and ensure a public figure’s right to assert a common law defamation claim for redress for reputational harm remains protected.

1. Whether this Court should reconsider *Sullivan*’s “actual-malice” standard or, at a minimum, cabin *Sullivan*’s “actual malice” standard to speech concerning public officials and be eliminated altogether for private public figures.

## **PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING**

Petitioner is Coral Ridge Ministries Media, Inc., d/b/a D. James Kennedy Ministries. Petitioner was plaintiff in the district court and plaintiff-appellant in the court of appeals. Petitioner has no parent corporation and no publicly held company owns 10% or more of Petitioner's stock.

Respondent is Southern Poverty Law Center ("SPLC"). Respondent was defendant in the district court and defendant-appellee in the court of appeals.

## RELATED PROCEEDINGS

This case arises from the following lower court proceedings:

- *Coral Ridge Ministries Media, Inc., d/b/a D. James Kennedy Ministries v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al.*, No. 19-14125 (11th Cir.) (opinion affirming judgment of district court, issued July 28, 2021) (reported at 6 F.4th 1247);
- *Coral Ridge Ministries Media, Inc., d/b/a D. James Kennedy Ministries v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al.*, No. 2:17-cv-566-MHT (N.D. Ala.) (order adopting report and recommendation and granting the motions to dismiss, filed September 19, 2019 (reported at 406 F.Supp.3d 1258); and
- *Coral Ridge Ministries Media, Inc., d/b/a D. James Kennedy Ministries v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al.*, No. 2:17-cv-566-MHT (N.D. Ala.) (report and recommendation recommending the district court grant the motions to dismiss, filed February 21, 2018) (unreported, available at 2018 WL 4697073).

There are no other proceedings in state or federal trial or appellate courts, or in this Court, directly related to this case within the meaning of this Court's Rule 14.1(b)(iii).

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTION PRESENTED.....	i
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING.....	ii
RELATED PROCEEDINGS .....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	iv
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	vi
PETITION FOR REVIEW .....	1
OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	1
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS INVOLVED....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	2
A. Factual Background .....	3
B. Procedural History .....	5
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.....	7
I. <i>SULLIVAN</i> HAS BEEN RIGHTLY CRITICIZED FOR ITS UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES AND ITS SHAKY CONSTITUTIONAL UNDERPINNINGS ....	10
A. <i>Sullivan's</i> Disastrous Practical Effects Should be Enough for This Court to Reconsider its Decision .....	11
B. <i>Sullivan's</i> Actual-Malice Test Finds No Support in Either the Text or Historical Understanding of the Constitution.....	21

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.