

**In The  
Supreme Court of the United States**

---

RICHARD LEAKE and MICHAEL DEAN,  
*Petitioners,*

v.

JAMES T. DRINKARD, In his personal capacity and official capacity as Assistant City Administrator of the City of Alpharetta, Georgia; JIM GLIVIN, In his personal capacity and official capacity as Mayor of the City of Alpharetta; DONALD F. MITCHELL, In his personal capacity and official capacity as Mayor Pro Tem of the City of Alpharetta; JASON BINDER, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; BEN BURNETT, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; JOHN HIPES, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; DAN MERKEL, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; KAREN RICHARD, In her personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; and THE CITY OF ALPHARETTA, GEORGIA, a municipal corporation,  
*Respondents.*

---

**On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari To The United  
States Court Of Appeals For The Eleventh Circuit**

---

**REPLY BRIEF**

---

H. EDWARD PHILLIPS III  
*Counsel of Record*  
219 Third Avenue North  
Franklin, Tennessee 37604  
(615) 599-1785, ext. 229  
edward@phillipslawpractice.com

SCOTT D. HALL  
374 Forks of the River Parkway  
Sevierville, Tennessee 37862  
(865) 428-9900  
scott@scottdhallesq.com  
*Attorneys for Petitioners*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	ii
REPLY BRIEF .....	1
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION .....	1
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVI- SIONS INVOLVED.....	1
SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR GRANT- ING THE PETITION.....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	3
ARGUMENT .....	5
CONCLUSION.....	12

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Cornelius v. NAACP Legal Def. &amp; Educ. Fund, Inc.</i> , 473 U.S. 788 (1985).....	8, 10
<i>Gerlich v. Leath</i> , 861 F.3d 697 (8th Cir. 2017) .....	9
<i>Hurley v. Irish – Am. Gay, Lesbian &amp; Bisexual Grp. of Bos., Inc.</i> , 515 U.S. 557 (1995) .....	5
<i>Latino Officers Ass’n, N.Y., Inc. v. City of N.Y.</i> , 196 F.3d 458 (2d Cir. 1999) .....	10
<i>Perry Ed. Assn. v. Perry Local Educators’ Assn.</i> , 460 U.S. 37 (1983) .....	9
<i>Pleasant Grove City v. Summum</i> , 555 U.S. 460 (2009).....	2, 5, 8, 9, 12
<i>Police Dept. of Chicago v. Mosley</i> , 408 U.S. 92 (1972).....	8, 9
<i>Reed v. Town of Gilbert</i> , 576 U.S. 155 (2015) .....	11
<i>Rosenberger v. Rector and Visitors of Univ. of Va.</i> , 515 U.S. 819 (1995) .....	5
<i>Walker v. Texas Division, Sons of Confederate Veterans, Inc.</i> , 576 U.S. 200 (2015).....	2, 5, 6, 7
<i>Wandering Dago, Inc. v. Destito</i> , 879 F.3d 20 (2d Cir. 2018) .....	9
<i>Wilkinson v. United States</i> , 365 U.S. 399 (1961).....	2
<i>Wood v. Moss</i> , 572 U.S. 744 (2014).....	8
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS	
U.S. Const. amend. I .....	<i>passim</i>

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

	Page
STATUTES	
28 U.S.C. § 1254(1) .....	1
38 U.S.C. § 1501 .....	3
38 U.S.C. § 1532 .....	3
RULES	
Sup. Ct. R. 11 .....	2
Sup. Ct. R. 15.6 .....	1

**REPLY BRIEF**

Richard Leake and Michael Dean, Petitioners in this action, have heretofore filed their petition that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit entered in this case on September 28, 2021.

---

**STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION**

The judgment of the court of appeals, as set forth, was entered on September 28, 2021. The jurisdiction of this court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

Petitioners invoke the provisions of Rule 15.6 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States as the basis for filing this Reply.

---

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND  
STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

The constitutional and statutory provisions involved in this case have been adequately set forth in the Petition for Writ of Certiorari.



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.