No.		
TAO.		

In The Supreme Court of the United States

RICHARD LEAKE and MICHAEL DEAN,

Petitioners.

V.

JAMES T. DRINKARD, In his personal capacity and official capacity as Assistant City Administrator of the City of Alpharetta, Georgia; JIM GLIVIN, In his personal capacity and official capacity as Mayor of the City of Alpharetta; DONALD F. MITCHELL, In his personal capacity and official capacity as Mayor Pro Tem of the City of Alpharetta; JASON BINDER, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; BEN BURNETT, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; JOHN HIPES, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; DAN MERKEL, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; KAREN RICHARD, In her personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; and THE CITY OF ALPHARETTA, GEORGIA, a municipal corporation,

Respondents.

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari To The United States Court Of Appeals For The Eleventh Circuit

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

H. EDWARD PHILLIPS III

Counsel of Record
219 Third Avenue North
Franklin, Tennessee 37604
(615) 599-1785, ext. 229
edward@phillipslawpractice.com

SCOTT D. HALL 374 Forks of the River Parkway Sevierville, Tennessee 37862 (865) 428-9900 scott@scottdhallesq.com Attorneys for Petitioners

> COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964 WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM



QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1. Whether the District Court and Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals erred when applying the "Government Speech" doctrine to limit the speech of private citizens and organizations participating in government sponsored parades on the basis of flags which such participants wish to display in an objective historical context, which occur on public streets and are open to all participants that have submitted proper applications, in violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 2. Whether the District Court and Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals impermissibly expanded the "Government Speech" doctrine to limit the use of historic flags upon which the local government has imposed a particular meaning, and which can be used to ban any symbol the government wishes to restrict thereafter in violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.



LIST OF PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE COURT BELOW

The caption of the case in this court contains the names of all of the parties to the proceedings in the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit.

STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES

- 1. Richard Leake, et al. v. James T. Drinkard, et al.; United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia (1:19-cv-03463-WMR); judgment granting Defendants' motion for summary judgment entered on June 26, 2020.
- 2. Richard Leake, et al. v. James T. Drinkard, et al.; United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (20-13868); judgment affirming the judgment of the district court entered on September 28, 2021.

There are no other related cases.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Questions Presented	. i
List of Parties to the Proceedings in the Court Below	
Statement of Related Cases	ii
Table of Authorities	vi
Opinions Below	. 1
Statement of Jurisdiction	. 1
Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved	
Statement of the Case	. 3
Reasons for Granting the Writ	. 7
1. The District Court and Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals erred when applying the "Government Speech" doctrine to limit the speech of private citizens and organizations participating in government sponsored parades on the basis of flags which such participants wish to display in an objective historical context, which occur on public streets and are open to all participants that have submitted proper applications, in violation of the First Amendment); ; ; ;
to the United States Constitution	. 8



TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

Page	
8	Argumen
e District Court and the Circuit art of Appeals failed to take into actual the factors enunciated in Walker Texas Division, Sons of Confederate erans, Inc. for determining whether ion of the City of Alpharetta constituted government speech, and such fail-caused those courts to ignore in toto constitutional doctrine which protes blanket protection for the speech ich Petitioners sought to communication.	A.
e streets of Alpharetta, Georgia con- ute a public forum, and a parade ducted upon such streets is a pro- ted exercise of freedom of speech tranteed by the First Amendment; I the city cannot discriminate among akers based upon the content of ir expression	В.
strict Court and Eleventh Circuit of Appeals impermissibly expanded overnment Speech" doctrine to limit of historic flags upon which the lovernment has imposed a particular ag, and which could be used to ban ambol the government wishes to rehereafter in violation of the First ment to the United States Consti-	Cou the the cal me any stri
25	tiit



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

