

No. _____

**In The
Supreme Court of the United States**

◆

RICHARD LEAKE and MICHAEL DEAN,
Petitioners,

v.

JAMES T. DRINKARD, In his personal capacity and official capacity as Assistant City Administrator of the City of Alpharetta, Georgia; JIM GLIVIN, In his personal capacity and official capacity as Mayor of the City of Alpharetta; DONALD F. MITCHELL, In his personal capacity and official capacity as Mayor Pro Tem of the City of Alpharetta; JASON BINDER, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; BEN BURNETT, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; JOHN HIPES, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; DAN MERKEL, In his personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; KAREN RICHARD, In her personal capacity and official capacity as a member of the City Council of the City of Alpharetta; and THE CITY OF ALPHARETTA, GEORGIA, a municipal corporation,
Respondents.

◆

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari To The United States Court Of Appeals For The Eleventh Circuit

◆

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

◆

H. EDWARD PHILLIPS III
Counsel of Record
219 Third Avenue North
Franklin, Tennessee 37604
(615) 599-1785, ext. 229
edward@phillipslawpractice.com

SCOTT D. HALL
374 Forks of the River Parkway
Sevierville, Tennessee 37862
(865) 428-9900
scott@scottdhallsq.com
Attorneys for Petitioners

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964
WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the District Court and Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals erred when applying the “Government Speech” doctrine to limit the speech of private citizens and organizations participating in government sponsored parades on the basis of flags which such participants wish to display in an objective historical context, which occur on public streets and are open to all participants that have submitted proper applications, in violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
2. Whether the District Court and Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals impermissibly expanded the “Government Speech” doctrine to limit the use of historic flags upon which the local government has imposed a particular meaning, and which can be used to ban any symbol the government wishes to restrict thereafter in violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

**LIST OF PARTIES TO THE
PROCEEDINGS IN THE COURT BELOW**

The caption of the case in this court contains the names of all of the parties to the proceedings in the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit.

STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES

1. *Richard Leake, et al. v. James T. Drinkard, et al.*; United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia (1:19-cv-03463-WMR); judgment granting Defendants' motion for summary judgment entered on June 26, 2020.

2. *Richard Leake, et al. v. James T. Drinkard, et al.*; United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (20-13868); judgment affirming the judgment of the district court entered on September 28, 2021.

There are no other related cases.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Questions Presented.....	i
List of Parties to the Proceedings in the Court Below	ii
Statement of Related Cases.....	ii
Table of Authorities	vi
Opinions Below	1
Statement of Jurisdiction	1
Constitutional and Statutory Provisions In- volved.....	2
Statement of the Case	3
Reasons for Granting the Writ	7
1. The District Court and Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals erred when applying the “Government Speech” doctrine to limit the speech of private citizens and organiza- tions participating in government spon- sored parades on the basis of flags which such participants wish to display in an ob- jective historical context, which occur on public streets and are open to all partici- pants that have submitted proper applica- tions, in violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution	8

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

	Page
Argument	8
A. The District Court and the Circuit Court of Appeals failed to take into account the factors enunciated in <i>Walker v. Texas Division, Sons of Confederate Veterans, Inc.</i> for determining whether action of the City of Alpharetta constituted government speech, and such failure caused those courts to ignore <i>in toto</i> the constitutional doctrine which provides blanket protection for the speech which Petitioners sought to communicate.....	8
B. The streets of Alpharetta, Georgia constitute a public forum, and a parade conducted upon such streets is a protected exercise of freedom of speech guaranteed by the First Amendment; and the city cannot discriminate among speakers based upon the content of their expression.....	16
2. The District Court and Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals impermissibly expanded the “Government Speech” doctrine to limit the use of historic flags upon which the local government has imposed a particular meaning, and which could be used to ban any symbol the government wishes to restrict thereafter in violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution	25

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.