

No. 22-1078

---

---

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

WARNER CHAPPELL MUSIC, INC., *et al.*,

*Petitioners,*

*v.*

SHERMAN NEALY, *et al.*,

*Respondents.*

---

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES  
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

---

---

**BRIEF OF FORMER REGISTER OF COPYRIGHTS  
RALPH OMAN AS *AMICUS CURIAE* IN SUPPORT  
OF RESPONDENTS**

---

---

VINCENT LEVY

*Counsel of Record*

BRENDON DEMAY

BRIAN T. GOLDMAN

SAMUEL H. FINN

HOLWELL SHUSTER

& GOLDBERG LLP

425 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10017

(646) 837-5151

vlevy@hsgllp.com

*Counsel for Amicus Curiae*

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....ii

INTEREST OF THE *AMICUS CURIAE* ..... 1

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT .....2

ARGUMENT..... 4

    I.    The Text, Structure, And History Of The  
          Copyright Act Instructs That A Discovery  
          Accrual Rule Is Appropriate ..... 5

        A.  The Text And History Of The Act  
            Suggests A Discovery-Accrual Rule .....6

        B.  The Common Law At The Time Of Sec-  
            tion 507(b)'s Enactment Supports A Dis-  
            covery Accrual Rule .....17

    II.  The Legislative History Of Section 507(b)  
          Suggests Congress Intended Claims Under  
          The Act To Accrue Upon Discovery.....21

CONCLUSION ..... 24

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Abramski v. United States</i> , 573 U.S. 169 (2014) .....	5
<i>American Textile Mfrs. Inst., Inc. v. Donovan</i> , 452 U.S. 490 (1981) .....	12
<i>Atl. Sounding Co., Inc. v. Townsend</i> , 557 U.S. 404 (2009) .....	15
<i>Booth v. Warrington</i> , (1714) 2 Eng. Rep. 111 (H.L.) .....	20
<i>Bridgeport Music, Inc. v. Rhyme Syndicate Music</i> , 376 F.3d 615 (6th Cir. 2004) .....	4
<i>Cada v. Baxter Healthcare Corp.</i> , 920 F.2d 446 (7th Cir. 1990) .....	20
<i>Carol Barnhart Inc. v. Economy Cover Corp.</i> , 773 F.2d 411 (2d Cir. 1985) .....	7, 10
<i>Comcast of Ill. X v. Multi-Vision Elecs., Inc.</i> , 491 F.3d 938 (8th Cir. 2007) .....	4
<i>Connecticut Nat'l Bank v. Germain</i> , 503 U.S. 249 (1992) .....	21
<i>Cooper v. NCS Pearson, Inc.</i> , 733 F.3d 1013 (10th Cir. 2013) .....	4
<i>Food Mktg. Inst. v. Argus Leader Media</i> , 139 S. Ct. 2356 (2019) .....	17

<i>Gaiman v. McFarlane</i> , 360 F.3d 644 (7th Cir. 2004) .....	4
<i>Holmberg v. Armbrecht</i> , 150 F.2d 829 (2d Cir. 1945) .....	18
<i>Holmberg v. Armbrecht</i> , 327 U.S. 392 (1946) .....	18
<i>Hotaling v. Church of Jesus Christ of Latter- Day Saints</i> , 118 F.3d 199 (4th Cir. 1997) .....	4
<i>Lawson v. FMR LLC</i> , 571 U.S. 429 (2014) .....	15
<i>Maracich v. Spears</i> , 570 U.S. 48 (2013) .....	5
<i>Martinelli v. Hearst Newspapers, LLC</i> , 65 F.4th 231 (5th Cir. 2023) .....	4
<i>Mazer v. Stein</i> , 347 U.S. 201 (1954) .....	7
<i>McMahon v. United States</i> , 342 U.S. 25-26 (1951) .....	13
<i>Merck &amp; Co., Inc. v. Reynolds</i> , 130 S. Ct. 1784 (2010) .....	17
<i>Movielcolor Ltd. v. Eastman Kodak Co.</i> , 288 F.2d 80 (2d Cir. 1961) .....	18
<i>Muscarello v. United States</i> , 524 U.S. 125 (1998) .....	12
<i>Nealy v. Warner Chappell Music, Inc.</i> , 60 F.4th 1325 (11th Cir. 2023) .....	4

<i>Petrella v. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 663 (2014) .....	4
<i>Reading Co. v. Koons</i> , 271 U.S. 58 (1926) .....	5
<i>Samantar v. Yousuf</i> , 560 U.S. 305 (2010) .....	17
<i>Sohm v. Scholastic Inc.</i> , 959 F.3d 39 (2d Cir. 2020) .....	4
<i>Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain</i> , 542 U.S. 692 (2004) .....	13
<i>South Sea Co. v. Wymondsell</i> , (1732) 24 Eng. Rep. 1004 (Ch.) .....	20
<i>Starz Entm't, LLC v. MGM Domestic Television Distrib., LLC</i> , 39 F.4th 1236 (9th Cir. 2022) .....	4
<i>Sw. Airlines Co. v. Saxon</i> , 596 U.S. 450 (2022) .....	9
<i>Taylor v. Meirick</i> , 712 F.2d 1112 (7th Cir. 1983) .....	19
<i>Torres v. Lynch</i> , 578 U.S. 452 (2016) .....	5
<i>United States v. McFadden</i> , 13 F.3d 463 (1st Cir. 1994) .....	15
<i>United States v. Wong Kim Ark</i> , 169 U.S. 649 (1898) .....	12
<i>Urie v. Thompson</i> , 337 U.S. 163 (1949) .....	18, 19, 21, 23

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.