

No. 23-717

In the Supreme Court of the United States

ISRAEL ALVARADO, ET AL., PETITIONERS

v.

LLOYD J. AUSTIN, III, SECRETARY OF DEFENSE, ET AL.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

BRIEF FOR THE RESPONDENTS IN OPPOSITION

ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR

Solicitor General

Counsel of Record

BRIAN M. BOYNTON

Principal Deputy Assistant

Attorney General

CHARLES SCARBOROUGH

SARAH CLARK

Attorneys

Department of Justice

Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov

(202) 514-2217

QUESTION PRESENTED

In 2021, the Secretary of Defense ordered all military servicemembers to be vaccinated against COVID-19. Petitioners brought this action to challenge the military's COVID-19 vaccination requirement on various grounds, and the district court dismissed their complaint. After the dismissal, Congress passed legislation directing the Secretary to rescind the COVID-19 vaccination requirement that petitioners had challenged. Petitioners then moved for reconsideration of the dismissal of their complaint, which the district court denied, and petitioners appealed. In light of the rescission of the challenged vaccination requirement, the court of appeals dismissed petitioners' appeal as moot, vacated the district court's orders, and remanded with instructions to dismiss the complaint on mootness grounds pursuant to *United States v. Munsingwear, Inc.*, 340 U.S. 36 (1950). The question presented is as follows:

Whether the court of appeals correctly determined that petitioners' challenge to the military's now-rescinded COVID-19 vaccination requirement is moot.

(I)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
Opinions below 1
Jurisdiction..... 1
Statement 2
Argument..... 8
Conclusion 20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:

Already, LLC v. Nike, Inc., 568 U.S. 85 (2013) 10
Arizonans for Official English v. Arizona,
520 U.S. 43 (1997) 10
Austin v. U.S. Navy SEALs 1-26,
142 S. Ct. 1301 (2022) 16
Cutter v. Wilkinson, 544 U.S. 709 (2005) 20
Doster v. Kendall, No. 22-cv-84,
2022 WL 2974733 (S.D. Ohio July 27, 2022), aff'd,
54 F.4th 398 (6th Cir. 2022) 4
Dunn v. Austin, No. 22-15286,
2023 WL 2319316 (9th Cir. Feb. 27, 2023)..... 17
Gilligan v. Morgan, 413 U.S. 1 (1973)..... 13
Kendall v. Doster, 144 S. Ct. 481 (2023) 4, 9, 15, 16
Mindes v. Seaman, 453 F.2d 197 (5th Cir. 1971) 5
Navy Seal 1 v. Austin, No. 22-5114,
2023 WL 2482927 (D.C. Cir. Mar. 10, 2023),
cert. denied, 144 S. Ct. 97 (2023) 17
Orloff v. Willoughby, 345 U.S. 83 (1953) 13
Robert v. Austin, 72 F.4th 1160 (10th Cir. 2023),
cert. denied, 144 S. Ct. 573 (2024) 17
Roth v. Austin, 62 F.4th 1114 (8th Cir. 2023) 17
Short v. Berger, No. 22-15755,
2023 WL 2258384 (9th Cir. Feb. 24, 2023)..... 17

(III)

IV

Cases—Continued:	Page
<i>Tanvir v. Tanzin</i> , 894 F.2d 449 (2d Cir. 2018), aff'd, 141 S. Ct. 486 (2020).....	14
<i>Tanzin v. Tanvir</i> , 141 S. Ct. 486 (2020)	14
<i>U.S. Navy SEALs 1-26 v. Austin</i> , 594 F. Supp. 3d 767 (N.D. Tex. 2022), appeal dismissed as moot, 72 F.4th 666 (5th Cir. 2023)	4
<i>U.S. Navy SEALs 1-26 v. Biden</i> , 72 F.4th 666 (5th Cir. 2023)	13, 16, 17
<i>United States Dep't of Justice v. Provenzano</i> , 469 U.S. 14 (1984)	11
<i>United States Dep't of the Treasury v. Galioto</i> , 477 U.S. 556 (1986).....	10
<i>United States v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1186 (2018)	10
<i>United States v. Munsingwear, Inc.</i> , 340 U.S. 36 (1950)	4, 8, 16
<i>United States v. Sanchez-Gomez</i> , 584 U.S. 381 (2018).....	10, 14, 15
<i>Williams v. Wilson</i> , 762 F.2d 357 (4th Cir. 1985)	5

Constitution and statutes:

U.S. Const.:

Art. III.....	10, 19
§ 2, Cl. 1	10
James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023, Pub. L. No. 117-263, 136 Stat. 2395	5
§ 525, 136 Stat. 2571-2572	5, 11
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013, Pub. L. No. 112-239, Tit. V, 126 Stat. 1712:	
§ 533, 126 Stat. 1727	4
§ 533(b)(1), 126 Stat. 1727.....	4

Statutes and rule—Continued:	Page
§ 533(b)(2), 126 Stat. 1727.....	4
Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993, 42 U.S.C. 2000bb <i>et seq.</i>	3
28 U.S.C. 1404(a)	3
Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e)	7
Miscellaneous:	
Dep't of the Navy, NAVADMIN 065/23, <i>Follow On COVID-19 Vaccine Rescission Actions</i> (Mar. 6, 2023), perma.cc/B2SG-JL76.....	7
Stanley M. Lemon et al., <i>Protecting Our Forces: Improving Vaccine Acquisition and Availability in the U.S. Military</i> (2002)	2
Memorandum from Christine E. Wormuth, Sec'y of the Army, <i>Army Policy Implementing the Secretary of Defense Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Vaccination Mandate Rescission</i> (Feb. 24, 2023), perma.cc/7JZG-G2ZA	7
Connor O'Brien, Politico, <i>Defense bill rolls back Pentagon's Covid vaccine mandate</i> (Dec. 6, 2022), perma.cc/YQ26-DYAL	5
Sec'y of the Air Force Pub. Affairs, <i>DAF Issues Guidance on COVID-related adverse actions; Religious Accommodation Requests</i> (Feb. 27, 2023), perma.cc/4NF3-UFPD	6
Sabrina Singh, Deputy Pentagon Press Sec'y, Dep't of Def., <i>Press Briefing Tr.</i> (Dec. 7, 2022), perma.cc/EXQ2-FNBN	5

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.