This Opinion is Not a Precedent of the TTAB

Mailed: September 19, 2022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

In re Thomas D. Foster, APC

Serial No. 87981611

Thomas D. Foster of TDFoster – Intellectual Property Law, for Thomas D. Foster, APC.

Tracy Cross, Trademark Examining Attorney, Law Office 109, Michael Kazazian, Managing Attorney.

Before Wellington, Heasley and Allard, Administrative Trademark Judges.

Opinion by Wellington, Administrative Trademark Judge:

Thomas D. Foster, APC ("Applicant"), a corporation, seeks registration on the

Principal Register of the standard character mark US SPACE FORCE for the

following goods and services:1

"Metal license plates; metal novelty license plates; souvenir license plates of metal" in International Class 6;

"License plate frames; license plate holders" in International Class 12;

¹ Application Serial No. 87981611, filed March 19, 2018 under Section 1(b) of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051(b), based on Applicant's allegation of an intent to use the mark in commerce. The identifications of goods for Classes 16, 18, 21, 24 and 28, are extensive and we therefore summarize these goods.

"Collectible coins; commemorative coins; lapel pins; ornamental lapel pins; jewelry pins for use on hats; jewelry; watches; clocks; decorative key fobs of common metal; leather key chains" in International Class 14;

Various types of books, posters, art prints, magazines, and other stationery items in International Class 16;

Various types of bags, umbrellas, and luggage in International Class 18;

"Accent pillows; bed pillows; floor pillows; novelty pillows; pillows; picture and photograph frames; picture frames; wind chimes" in International Class 20;

Various goods, including beverage and food containers and related accessory goods, in International Class 21;

Various articles, including cloth flags, linen, towels, and blankets, in International Class 24;

Various types of toys, including "toy spacecraft; toy rockets; toy space vehicles; toy figures; toy vehicles; toy weapons; scale model spacecraft; scale model rockets; scale model space vehicles," in International Class 28;

and

"Lighters for smokers; cigar lighters" in International Class 34.

The Examining Attorney has refused registration of the mark for all classes of

goods under Section 2(a) of the Trademark Act ("the Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 1052(a), based

on false suggestion of a connection with the United States Space Force.

When the refusal was made final, Applicant appealed.² The appeal has been briefed.³

We affirm the refusal to register.

I. Section 2(a) False Suggestion of a Connection

Section 2(a) of the Act prohibits registration on either the Principal or the Supplemental Register of a designation that consists of or comprises matter that may falsely suggest a connection with "persons, living or dead, institutions, beliefs, or national symbols" 15 U.S.C. § 1052(a). "[T]he rights protected under the § 2(a) false suggestion provision are not designed primarily to protect the public, but to protect persons and institutions from exploitation of their persona." Bridgestone / Firestone Rsch. Inc. v. Auto. Club de l'Ouest de la France, 245 F.3d 1359, 58 USPQ2d 1460, 1463 (Fed. Cir. 2001) (citing Univ. of Notre Dame du Lac v. J.C. Gourmet Food Imps. Co., 703 F.2d 1372, 217 USPQ 505, 508-09 (Fed. Cir. 1983)). A person, institution, belief or national symbol does not need to be explicitly protected by statute in order to be protected under Section 2(a). See, e.g., In re Shinnecock Smoke Shop, 571 F.3d 1171, 91 USPQ2d 1218 (Fed. Cir. 2009).

 $^{^2}$ Prior to the appeal, Applicant filed a request for reconsideration (on January 24, 2020), and this was denied by the Examining Attorney on March 2, 2020.

The application was then remanded to the Examining Attorney at Applicant's request (4-5 TTABVUE) based on "new and compelling evidence." The application was also remanded to the Examining Attorney at the Examining Attorney's request (6-7 TTABVUE) for remand "to address an issue not involved in the appeal that may render the subject mark unregistrable." After the issuance of another final Office Action (on July 6, 2021), Applicant filed a second request for reconsideration (on September 11, 2021), and this was denied by the Examining Attorney (on January 28, 2022). The appeal was then resumed (11 TTABVUE).

³ 12 TTABVUE (Applicant's appeal brief) and 14 TTABVUE (Examining Attorney's appeal brief).

Also, relevant to this proceeding, the U.S. government, as well as government agencies and instrumentalities, are considered juristic persons or institutions within the meaning of the statute. 15 U.S.C. § 1052(a); Section 45 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1127. See In re Peter S. Herrick P.A., 91 USPQ2d 1505, 1506 (TTAB 2009) ("institutions, as used in Section 2(a), include government agencies."); U.S. Navy v. United States Mfg. Co., 2 USPQ2d 1254, 1257-58 (TTAB 1987) ("the Navy is a juristic person within the meaning of Section 45 of the Act and the Marine Corps might be argued to be an institution"); In re Cotter & Co., 228 USPQ 202, 204-05 (TTAB 1985) (finding the United States Military Academy is an institution and West Point "has come to be solely associated with and points uniquely to the United States Military Academy"); NASA v. Record Chem. Co. Inc., 185 USPQ 563, 565-66 (TTAB 1975) (finding the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) is a juristic person and institution). Thus, common names, acronyms and initialisms for the U.S. government or its agencies or instrumentalities can be relevant to false suggestion of connection claims.

To establish that a proposed mark falsely suggests a connection with a person or an institution, it must be shown that:

(1) The mark is the same as, or a close approximation of, the name or identity previously used by another person or institution;

(2) The mark would be recognized as such, in that it points uniquely and unmistakably to that person or institution;

(3) The person or institution named by the mark is not connected with the activities performed by the applicant under the mark; and (4) The fame or reputation of the person or institution is such that, when the mark is used with the applicant's goods or services, a connection with the person or institution would be presumed.

Univ. of Notre Dame du Lac v. J.C. Gourmet Food Imports Co., 217 USPQ 508-09 ("the Univ. of Notre-Dame du Lac test"). See also In re Pedersen, 109 USPQ2d 1185, 1188-89 (TTAB 2013) (citing Univ. of Notre Dame du Lac v. J.C. Gourmet Food Imports Co. in an ex parte appeal context for "providing foundational principles for the current four-part test used by the Board to determine the existence of a false connection"). See also Piano Factory Grp., v. Schiedmayer Celesta GmbH, 11 F.4th 1363, 2021 USPQ2d 913, at *11 (Fed. Cir. 2021); U.S. Olympic Comm. v. Tempting Brands Netherlands B.V., 2021 USPQ2d 164, at *17-18 (TTAB 2021); In re Jackson Int'l Trading Co., 103 USPQ2d 1417, 1419 (TTAB 2012); Buffett v. Chi-Chi's, Inc., 226 USPQ 428, 429 (TTAB 1985).

A. US SPACE FORCE is the same as, or a close approximation of, U.S. Space Force

The Examining Attorney asserts that "[t]he evidence of record makes clear that the U.S. Space Force is an agency of the U.S. Government" and "[i]n fact, the U.S. Space Force is the sixth branch of the U.S. military, nested within the Department of the Air Force."⁴ In support, she submitted numerous materials, including printouts from the official U.S. military website for the "United States Space Force"

⁴ 14 TTABVUE 9.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.