

TTAB

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the matter of trademark application Serial No. 77/689,792

For the mark: TRILOBITE

Published in the Official Gazette on August 18, 2009

Peter Turdin, Jr. (AKA Peter Bradley)

Opposer

v.

Trilobite, Ltd.

Applicant

NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

Peter Turdin Jr. (A.k.a. Peter Bradley), a U.S. Citizen and Resident of the State of Connecticut, having a place of business at Suite #8, 76 Bellevue Avenue, Bristol, Connecticut 06010 (hereinafter "Opposer") believes that he will be damaged by the registration of the trademark TRILOBITE as shown in Application Serial No. 77/689,792 filed on March 12, 2009 for "audio recording and production," in International Class 41, (hereinafter "Applicant's Services"), and hereby opposes same.

The specific grounds for opposition are as follows:

10/21/2009 SWILSON1 00000006 77689792

01 FC:6402

300.00 OP



10-16-2009

1. On or about March 12, 2009, Applicant, Trilobite, Ltd., a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Georgia and having its place of business at 2811 Kersdale Road, Cleveland, Ohio 44124, filed an application in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Serial No. 77/689,792, seeking registration on the Principal Register of the trademark TRILOBITE for “video production services; video recording services,” in International Class 41.
2. Applicant seeks to register TRILOBITE for “video production services; video recording services,” in International Class 41, as evidenced by the publication of said mark in the *Official Gazette* of August 18, 2009.
3. Opposer is the owner of United States Trademark Application Serial No. 77/706,923 for TRILOBITE PICTURES for “motion picture film production and animation services,” in International Class 41.
4. Since as early as January of 2000, Opposer has used its TRILOBITE PICTURES mark for his services, namely, “motion picture film production and animation services,” in International Class 41.
5. Since as early as January of 2000, Opposer has used his TRILOBITE PICTURES

mark for his services, namely, "motion picture film production and animation services," in International Class 41, in interstate commerce.

6. Opposer has continuously used his Trademark in the United States for Opposer's Services namely, "motion picture film production and animation services," in International Class 41.
7. Applicant never was entitled to appropriate or apply to register the designation TRILOBITE for Applicant's Services which are set forth in Application Serial No. 77/689,792 because Applicant only used TRILOBITE as a trade name and not a trademark or service mark.
8. Upon information and belief, Applicant has made only a token use of the mark TRILOBITE for the services listed in its application, namely, "video production services; video recording services" and not actual meaningful commercial use.
9. Upon information and belief, Applicant was a dissolved entity in the State of Georgia effective May 16, 2008 and was still dissolved as of the time of filing its application on March 12, 2009. (See Exhibit A)
10. Upon information and belief, Applicant is not the owner of the trademark shown in application Serial No. 77/689,792 since Applicant did not exist as the legal entity it

purported itself to be at the time of filing and therefore, Applicant did not have the right to file the application since Applicant did not exist at the time of filing, therefore the application is void ab initio. (See Exhibit A)

11. Upon information and belief, Applicant is not the true owner of the purported trademark shown in application Serial No. 77/689,792 and Applicant did not own the purported trademark TRILOBITE as of the date of filing.
12. Opposer will be damaged by the use and registration of TRILOBITE by Applicant for Applicant's Services because persons in the trade and the public will mistakenly assume that Applicant's Services are associated, endorsed by, affiliated with, or in some other way related to or sponsored by Opposer, to the detriment of Opposer. As such Applicant's mark is not entitled to registration.
13. Registration of TRILOBITE is barred by the provisions of the Lanham Act because Applicant's mark consists of or comprises a mark which so resembles a mark or trade name previously used in the United States by another and not abandoned, as to be likely, when used on or in connection with Applicant's Services, to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of the Applicant and the Applicant's Services with the Opposer and the Opposer's services, or alternatively, to cause dilution of Opposer's Trademark.

14. Opposer's Trademark TRILOBITE PICTURES and Applicant's alleged mark TRILOBITE are identical in sight, sound, connotation and commercial impression.
15. Opposer would be injured by the granting to Applicant of a Certificate of Registration for the mark TRILOBITE because Applicant would obtain thereby at least a prima facie exclusive right to use such mark. Such registration would be a source of damage and injury to Opposer and Opposer's clients.
16. The statutory fee of three hundred dollars (\$300) is enclosed herewith.

WHEREFORE, Opposer prays that this Opposition be sustained and that the registration sought in Application Serial No. 77/689,792 be denied.

Dated: October 16, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Julianne B. Bochinski
Attorney for Opposer
Law Office of Julianne B. Bochinski
PO Box 2723
Westport, CT 06880

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.