Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Electronic Filing System. http://estta.uspto.gov

ESTTA Tracking number: ESTTA328666 Filing date:

01/25/2010

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91193046
Party	Defendant Secure Medical, Inc.
Correspondence Address	KENNETH C. BOOTH BOOTH UDALL, PLC 1155 W. RIO SALADO PKWY SUITE 101 TEMPE, AZ 85281-2826 UNITED STATES trademark@boothudall.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Kenneth C. Booth
Filer's e-mail	trademark@boothudall.com
Signature	/Kenneth C. Booth/
Date	01/25/2010
Attachments	Answer to Notice of Opposition.pdf (18 pages)(72567 bytes)

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re Application Serial No.: **77/519,559** For the Mark: **EDRUGSTORE.MD** Date Published: **October 27, 2009** 

INTOUCH COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,		
	)	
	)	Opposition No.
Opposer,	)	
	)	91193046
V.	)	
	)	
SECURE MEDICAL, INC.,		
	)	
Applicant.	)	

### **Applicant's Answer to Notice of Opposition**

In response to the Notice of Opposition filed on December 16, 2009, Applicant Secure Medical, Inc. (hereinafter "Secure Medical"), by and through its undersigned counsel, answers the Notice of Opposition identified above as follows:

1. In response to the allegations of paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits the allegations of this paragraph.

2. In response to the allegations of paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 2 and therefore denies those allegations and demands proof thereof.

3. In response to the allegations of paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits the allegations of this paragraph.

-1-

4. In response to the allegations of paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits the allegations of this paragraph.

5. In response to the allegations of paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits the allegations of this paragraph.

6. In response to the allegations of paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits the allegations of this paragraph.

7. In response to the allegations of paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits the allegations of this paragraph.

8. In response to the allegations of paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits the allegations of this paragraph. Applicant specifically notes, however, that although a non-final office action dated September 24, 2008 rejected Applicant's submission on July 10, 2008 claiming acquired distinctiveness, the Examiner stated that while Applicant's claim of ownership of a prior registration is insufficient evidence of acquired distinctiveness and apparently did not rely on the prior registration as support, additional evidence may be submitted to make such a showing. In a response to the non-final office action dated March 24, 2009, Applicant submitted a declaration from John Rao, President of Secure Medical, Inc. stating that over \$1.75 million dollars has been spent in advertising and marketing efforts for its exclusive use or its licensed use to others of the mark EDRUGSTORE.MD since November, 2002 to establish recognition of the mark and its source of goods. The declaration further stated that the aforementioned marketing efforts resulted in a brand having sales of approximately \$750,000 per month in total sales under that mark. In a subsequent office action dated August 12, 2009, the Examiner did not maintain this rejection and a Notice of Publication issued on October 27, 2009.

-2-

9. In response to the allegations of paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 3 and therefore denies those allegations and demands proof thereof. Applicant also specifically notes, however, that although the domain name may or may not have been purchased previously, the web site E-DRUGSTORE-MD.COM was made available to the public on February 2, 2004 as an affiliate under an affiliate program licensed by EDRUGSTORE.MD and did not use the trademark EDRUGSTORE.MD on the site except to identify Applicant and to direct customers to Applicant's web site with the statement, "Click here to enter our Online Pharmacy – eDrugstore.md". Both the "here" and "eDrugstore.md" words included a hyperlink to Applicant's web site at www.edrugstore.md.

10. In response to the allegations of paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits that InTouch Communications was an affiliate for Applicant but currently is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 10 and therefore denies those allegations and demands proof thereof.

11. In response to the allegations of paragraph 11 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits that a person who used the name Thomas Parker was at one time the Affiliate Manager of EDRUGSTORE.MD but currently is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 11 and therefore denies those allegations and demands proof thereof.

12. In response to the allegations of paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits that InTouch Communications was an affiliate for Applicant for a time but currently is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 12 and therefore denies those allegations and demands proof thereof.

-3-

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

13. In response to the allegations of paragraph 13 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 13 and therefore denies those allegations and demands proof thereof.

14. Applicant does not object to Opposer's incorporation by reference of the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 13 of the Notice of Opposition and admits or denies these allegations in accordance with Applicant's response to these allegations addressed above.

15. In response to the allegations of paragraph 15 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant denies that Applicant's purported predecessors abandoned any rights to the mark prior to the June 12, 2008 assignment of the mark to Applicant.

16. In response to the allegations of paragraph 16 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 16 and therefore denies those allegations and demands proof thereof.

17. In response to the allegations of paragraph 17 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 17 and therefore denies those allegations and demands proof thereof.

18. In response to the allegations of paragraph 18 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 18 and therefore denies those allegations and demands proof thereof.

19. In response to the allegations of paragraph 19 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 19 and therefore denies those allegations and demands proof thereof.

-4-

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.