Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Electronic Filing System. http://estta.uspto.gov

ESTTA Tracking number: ESTTA810393 Filing date:

03/29/2017

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91229513
Party	Plaintiff Right Connection, Inc.
Correspondence Address	GREGORY P GOONAN THE AFFINITY LAW GROUP 5755 OBERLIN DRIVE, SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121 UNITED STATES ggoonan@affinity-law.com
Submission	Motion to Amend Pleading/Amended Pleading
Filer's Name	Gregory P. Goonan
Filer's e-mail	ggoonan@affinity-law.com
Signature	/gregory p. goonan/
Date	03/29/2017
Attachments	2017_03_29 RC Motion to Amend.pdf(500862 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMEARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RIGHT CONNECTION, INC.,) OPPOSITION NO. 91229513	
Opposer, v.))) Mark: PLAYCOUPLES	
ROBERT L. MCGINLEY,)) Application Serial No.: 86877408) Filed: January 15, 2016	
Applicant.) Published: June 14, 2016) Deadline for Opposition: August 13, 2016 	
)))	

OPPOSER'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED OPPOSITION PETITION

A. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Opposer Right Connection, Inc. ("Opposer") brings this motion pursuant to Section 507 of the Trademark Board Manual of Procedure (TBMP), Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Trademark Rules 2.107 and 2.115 to obtain an order granting Opposer leave to file an amended opposition petition (the "Amended Petition").

As discussed herein, Opposer seeks leave to file the Amended Petition solely to correct certain inadvertent factual errors in its initial opposition and clean up a few cosmetic and typographical errors. Opposer does not seek to raise additional grounds for opposition and Opposer's Amended Petition does not change the substantive nature of Opposer's opposition claims in any way.

Critically, there will be absolutely no prejudice whatsoever from the Amended Petition. Indeed, it was Applicant's counsel himself who first requested that Opposer's petition be amended to correct the inadvertent factual errors (although he now inexplicably will not sign a stipulation to consent to the amendment he requested). Given the Board's policy of being extremely liberal in allowing amendment of pleadings, Opposer submits this Motion should be granted and the Amended Petition should be allowed. Pursuant to TMBP § 507.0, Opposer submits a red-lined copy of the Amended Petition as Exhibit A and a clean, signed copy of the Amended Petition as Exhibit B to this motion.

B. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

Amendment of pleadings in opposition proceedings like the present one are governed by Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and pleadings in opposition proceedings may be amended in the same manner and to the same extent as in a civil action. [TMBP § 507.01; Trademark Rules 2.107, 2.115.] Under Rule 15, the courts freely give leave to amend when justice so requires. [Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 15(a)(2).] The Board, in ruling on motions to amend like the present one, is extremely liberal in allowing amended pleadings and will generally grant a motion to amend provided that the proposed amended pleading does not prejudice the adverse party and does not violate settled law.¹

The circumstances requiring the amendment of Opposer's petition are explained in the accompanying Declaration of Gregory P. Goonan (the "Goonan Declaration"). As set forth in the Goonan Declaration, the associate attorney in the law firm representing Opposer who drafted the original opposition petition made an error in interpreting certain information provided to counsel by Opposer. As a result of such error, paragraph 5 of Opposer's original petition alleged that Opposer owned certain domain names that Opposer in fact does not own.

We regret that we must burden the Board with this motion to amend. We would have hoped that counsel for Applicant would have consented to the proposed amended petition given the Board's policy of being extremely liberal in allowing amendment of pleadings. However, for reasons we do not understand, Applicant's counsel refused to consent to the filing of the

¹ Jafree v. Barber, 689 F.2d 640 (7th Cir. 1982); <u>Commodore Electronics Ltd. v. Cbm Kabushiki</u> <u>Kaisha</u>, 26 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1503 (TTAB 1993); <u>United States Olympic Committee v. O-M Bread</u> <u>Inc.</u>, 26 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1221(TTAB 1993); <u>Focus 21 International Inc. v. Pola Kasei Kogyo</u> <u>Kabushiki Kaisha</u>, 22 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1316 (TTAB 1992); <u>Estate of Biro v. BIC Corp.</u>, 18 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1382 (TTAB 1991); <u>Space Base Inc. v. Stadis Corp.</u>, 17 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1216 (TTAB 1990). Amended Petition <u>even though he himself requested the amendment to correct the referenced</u> <u>factual error</u>.

After Applicant's counsel was served with Opposer's original petition, Applicant's counsel contacted Opposer's counsel and informed him of the inadvertent factual error about the domain name ownership in paragraph 5 of the original petition. <u>Applicant's counsel</u> <u>consequently requested that Opposer file an amended petition to correct such error</u>.

After some investigation, Applicant's counsel confirmed that an error in drafting paragraph 5 had in fact been made, and determined that an amended petition needed to be filed to correct the error. Consequently, given that Applicant's counsel had himself requested the filing of an amended petition, Opposer's counsel sent Applicant's counsel a stipulation to allow the filing of an amended petition along with clean and red-lined copies of the proposed amended petition. [See Stipulation (Exhibit C); November 17, 2016 Email (Exhibit D).] However, for reasons that have never been explained and that are unknown, Applicant's counsel would not sign the stipulation. Therefore, Opposer has no choice but to seek leave to amend by this motion.

As reflected in the red-lined copy of the proposed Amended Petition submitted as Exhibit A, Opposer seeks leave to amend solely to correct the inadvertent factual error in paragraph 5 of the original petition and to clean up some cosmetic issues and typographical errors with the original petition. There will be absolutely no prejudice whatsoever if Opposer is granted leave to file the Amended Petition. This is especially true since Applicant's counsel himself requested that Opposer amend the petition to correct the inadvertent factual error in paragraph 5.

/././

- 1.1.1
- /././
- /././

Given the Board's policy to be extremely liberal in granting leave to amend pleadings, and the complete absence of any prejudice to Applicant from the Amended Petition, we respectfully ask that the Board grant this motion and allow the filing of the Amended Petition.

DATED: March 29, 2017

THE AFFINITY LAW GROUP

By: <u>/s/ Gregory P. Goonan</u> Gregory P. Goonan 5755 Oberlin Drive, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92121 Telephone: (858) 412-4296 Facsimile: (619) 243-0088 Email: <u>ggoonan@affinity-law.com</u>

> Attorneys for Opposer Right Connection, Inc.

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.