ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA1251859

Filing date:

12/02/2022

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding no.	91253283
Party	Defendant Cheema Properties LLC
Correspondence address	ROBERT RYMEK BUSINESS LAWYERS GROUP 735 SUNRISE AVE, SUITE 115 ROSEVILLE, CA 95661 UNITED STATES Primary email: no.1lawyer@gmail.com 916-783-9131
Submission	Brief on Merits for Defendant
Filer's name	Robert Rymek
Filer's email	no.1lawyer@gmail.com
Signature	/Robert Rymek/
Date	12/02/2022
Attachments	Cheema Trial Brief 1.pdf(495508 bytes)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Dishoom Limited,

Opposer and Counterclaim Registrant

v.

Opposition No. 91253283

Cheema Properties LLC,

Applicant and Counterclaim Petitioner

APPLICANT AND COUNTERCLAIM PETITIONER'S TRIAL BRIEF



Index of Cases		
Case Name		
A&H Sportswear Co. v. William W. Yedor, 2019 USPQ2d 111513 (TTAB 2019)		
Boston Red Sox Baseball Club LP v. Sherman, 88 USPQ2d 1581 (TTAB 2008)		
Bose Corp. v. QSC Audio Prods. Inc., 63 USPQ2d 1303		
Commodore Elecs. Ltd. v. CBM K. K., 26 USPQ2d 1503 (TTAB 1993)		
Cunningham v. Laser Golf Corp., 55 USPQ2d 1842 (Fed.Cir. 2019)		
Elvis Presley Enters. v. Capece, 141 F.3d 188 (5th Cir.1998)		
Empresa Cubana Del Tabaco v. Gen. Cigar Co., 111 USPQ2d 1058 (Fed. Cir. 2014).		
Honda Motor Co. v. Friedrich Winkelmann, 90 USPQ2d 1660 (TTAB 2009)		
In re E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., 177 USPQ 563 (C.C.P.A. 1973)		
In re Knapp-Monarch Co. 132 USPQ 6 (1961)		
In re Thor Tech, Inc., 90 USPQ2d 1634, 1635 (TTAB 2009);		
In re White Swan Ltd., 8 USPQ2d 1534, 1535 (TTAB 1988).		
International Ass'n of Machinists and Aerospace Workers v. Winship Green Nursing Ctr., 103 F.3d 196, 200 (1st Cir.1996)		
Lane Ltd. v. Jackson Int'l Trading Co., 33 USPQ2d 1351, 1355 (TTAB 1994).		
L.C. Licensing Inc. v. Berman, 86 USPQ 1883 (TTAB 2008)		
L'Oreal S.A. v. Marcon, 102 USPQ2d 1434, 1443 (TTAB 2012)		
M.Z. Berger & Co. v. Swatch AG, 114 USPQ2d 1892, 1897 (Fed. Cir. 2015)		
National Distillers & Chemical Corporation v. William Grant and Sons, Inc., 184 USPQ 34 (CCPA 1974)		
Palm Bay Imps., Inc. v. Veuve Clicquot Ponsardin Maison Fondee en 1772, 73 USPQ2d 1689, 1691 (Fed. Cir. 2005)		
Research in Motion Ltd. v. NBOR Corp., 92 USPQ2d 1926, 1930 (TTAB 2009)		
SmithKline Beecham Corp. v. Omnisource DDS LLS, 97 USPQ2d 1300, (TTAB 2010)		
Société des Produits Nestlé S.A. v. Cándido Viñuales Taboada, 2020 USPQ2d 10893 (TTAB 2020)		
Spirits International B.V. v. S. S. Taris Zeytin Ve Zeytinyagi Tarim Satis Kooperatifleri Birligi, 99 USPQ2d 1545, (TTAB 2011)		
Swiss Grill Ltd v. Wolf Steel Ltd., 115 USPQ2d 2001 (TTAB 2015)		
Vitek Sys., Inc. v. Abbott Labs., 675 F.2d 190, 192 (8th Cir.1982)		



I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Applicant and Counterclaim Petitioner Cheema Properties LLC ("Cheema") submits this combined trial brief:

- (1) in support of Cheema's Counterclaim seeking to have the trademark registration of Counterclaim Respondent Dishoom Ltd. ("Dishoom") cancelled, based upon a Dishoom's lack of bona fide intent to use the mark in the United States at the time its application was filed; and
- (2) in response to Dishoom's opening trial brief opposing Cheema's trademark DISHYUM.

Because cancellation of Dishoom's registration would render Dishoom without standing to bring its statutory opposition claim to Cheema's mark, and thereby obviate the need to address Dishoom's opposition claims, Cheema will begin by addressing why Dishoom's registration should be cancelled, before replying to Dishoom's opposition.

II. THE RECORD

Dishoom submitted testimony from its co-founder, Kavi Thakrar, by testimonial declaration dated March 22, 2022, which includes Exhibits A through T referenced therein. 22 TTABVUE.

Dishoom also submitted during its testimony period Dishoom's Notice of Reliance on Discovery Responses dated March 22, 2022, which includes Exhibit 1 referenced therein. 23 TTABVUE.

Applicant submitted testimony of its CEO, Ramandeep Cheema, by testimonial declaration dated May 21, 2022, which includes Exhibits 1-6 referenced therein. 24 TTABVUE.¹

¹ Cheema notes for explanatory purposes that Cheema has tendered both 24 TTABVUE and 25 TTABVUE which overlap for technical purposes. Specifically, for purposes of providing the Board with filings that can be searched in their entirety. For purposes of ease of reference, and consistency Cheema will reference the more complete 24



_

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Dishoom's Application for its DISHOOM Mark

Dishoom owns and operates a regional Indian food chain of restaurants under the mark DISHOOM which are modeled after the old Iranian cafes of Bombay, in an old-world atmosphere. 22 TTABVUE 1-2, ¶¶ 2, 4. As of March 2022, there were eight DISHOOM restaurants all of which are located in United Kingdom, with no U.S. locations. 22 TTABVUE 2, ¶ 5.

On November 7, 2016, Dishoom applied to register the DISHOOM mark, for all of the following uses:

Services for providing food and drink; take-out restaurant services, namely, food and drink takeaway services; self-service restaurants; snack bars; bar services, namely, public house services, micro pub services, cocktail bar services, wine bar services, and bar services; restaurant services; canteen services; cafeteria services; catering; catering services, namely, the catering of banquets; banqueting services, namely, providing banquet and social function facilities for special occasions; provision of conference and meeting facilities; provision of banquet and social function facilities for special occasions, namely, weddings; providing facilities for events, namely, exhibitions; arranging temporary housing accommodations; making reservations and bookings for meals in taverns in the nature of public houses, and for meals at restaurants and hotels; hotel services; tavern services, namely, public house services; provision of conference and meeting venues; provision of banquet and social function venues for special occasions, namely, weddings; providing venues for events, namely, exhibitions

with such application being granted on April 10, 2018. 22 TTABVUE 5, ¶ 22 & Ex. S.

TTABVUE which includes searchable Exhibits, but notes that the testimony itself is in searchable format in 25 TTABVUE for the Board's convenience.



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

