ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA782264

Filing date:

11/10/2016

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

| Proceeding | 94002699 |
|---------------------------|--|
| Party | User Frosty King, Inc. |
| Correspondence Address | FROSTY KING INC 5190 NIEL RD SUITE 430 RENO, NV 89502 UNITED STATES |
| Submission | Other Motions/Papers |
| Filer's Name | James M. Duncan |
| Filer's e-mail | JDuncan@kleinlaw.com |
| Signature | /James M. Duncan/ |
| Date | 11/10/2016 |
| Attachments | Answer.pdf(260507 bytes) |



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re Application No. 85/255106

for FROSTY KING

Filing Date: March 1, 2011

10

15

20

5

| Frosty King Inc., (FL) | § CONCLIDED TAIT |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff | § CONCURRENT § USE NO: 94002699 |
| v. | § § |
| Frosty King, Inc. (NV) | § § |
| Defendant | § § |

ANSWER IN CONCURRENT USE PROCEEDING

- Defendant, Frosty King Inc., a Nevada Corporation ("Defendant") files
 herein its answer in the captioned Concurrent use proceeding which pertains to
 Application No. 85/255106 (the "Application") for the trademark FROSTY KING
 applied for by Frosty King, Inc. a Florida Corporation ("Plaintiff").
 - 2. Plaintiff claims "the exclusive right to use the mark in the area comprising the entire United States except for the area within 100 miles of Bakersfield, California."
- 3. Plaintiff has *not* served upon Defendant, as required by the Board's August 31, 2016 order, a copy of Plaintiff's application, including the specimens of use and mark drawing. All allegations set forth herein are based upon the contents of the application file viewed through the USPTO website. In the absence of a copy of Plaintiff's application, Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form



a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Plaintiff's claim and therefore denies all allegations set forth therein.

4. As to the concurrent use allegation asserted by the Plaintiff, the Defendant herein denies that the geographic exception as claimed by the Plaintiff, in the absence of further exceptions, conditions and limitations as to the mode or place of use of the mark, is sufficient to prevent a likelihood of confusion, mistake, or deception resulting from the use by Plaintiff of the mark and the use by Defendant of its prior adopted and used marks.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 5. For the reasons set forth below, Defendant asserts it has more rights thanare acknowledged in the Application.
 - 6. For the reasons set forth below, Defendant asserts that the geographic restriction recited in the Application is not an effective restriction due to the impossibility of the enforcement of such restriction.
 - 7. On information and belief, Plaintiff has not opened a restaurant, ice cream parlor, or ice cream shop or engaged in any business activity or expansion thereof anywhere in the State of California.
 - 8. San Diego, Los Angeles, Bakersfield, Fresno, San Francisco, Sacramento, etc. are connected by air, multiple rail, bus, automobile, and other transportation links, with thousands of persons traveling daily between these cities.
- 9. Defendant operates restaurants under the name FROSTY KING, in Kern County, California. Defendant has operated restaurants under the name FROSTY KING since 1979, thus earlier than the Respondent's filing date of March 1, 2011 and claimed date of first use no later than February 1, 2008. Defendant was incorporated in Nevada



5

15

under the name FROSTY KING on April 19, 2006. Under the name FROSTY KING, Plaintiff was issued a certificate of qualification by the California Secretary of State to transact intrastate commerce in the State of California on November 3, 2006. Thus Opposer had created public records in both Nevada and California of doing business under the name FROSTY KING before the date the Respondent filed its application and before the Respondent allegedly began use of the Trademark.

- 10. Defendant owns trademark registration number 120146 issued by the California Secretary of State on July 15, 2015 for the mark FROSTY KING for restaurant services, restaurant fast-food services, take-out food services in Class 43.
- 11. Defendant has developed and enjoys goodwill and recognition in California and elsewhere with respect to the FROSTY KING trademark used in connection with Defendant's restaurant services.
 - 12. The FROSTY KING mark has been used by Defendant for many years prior to any use by the Applicant, and the Defendant continues to use the FROSTY KING trademark to identify its restaurants.
 - 13. In the view of the marks being identical and being used on similar goods and services, it is alleged that Plaintiff's applied-for mark so resembles Defendant's mark previously used in the United States by Plaintiff as to be likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake or to deceive.
- 20 14. Defendant's main restaurant is located near the intersection of California State Highway 99 and California State Highway 58, where it has been located for a number of years.



5

10

15

- 15. The street upon which Defendant's main restaurant is located is a four lane major thoroughfare through Bakersfield.
- 16. California State Highway 99 is a major State Highway running through Bakersfield, and described as follows:

5 "State Route 99 (SR-99) begins at Interstate 5 (I-5), near the base of the Tehachapi Mountains in Kern County, passes through the counties of Tulare, Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus, San Joaquin, Sacramento, and Sutter and ends at State Route 36 near Red Bluff in Tehama County. SR-99 serves the primary population centers in the SJV [San Joaquin Valley] as well as much of 10 the rural agricultural areas. It is the major transportation backbone for the movement of agricultural products and other commercial goods, and also serves as a major link for recreation-bound traffic. SR-99 is the primary link that connects the SJV with the Sacramento metropolitan area and, via I-5, with the Southern California area. In its capacity as an interregional thoroughfare for the 15 movement of people and goods, it is critical to the economic vitality of the State." (State Route 99 Corridor System Management Plan San Joaquin County Area, September 2008)

17. California State Highway 58 is described as follows:

"State Route 58 (SR 58) is an east-west highway across the California Coast Ranges, the southern San Joaquin Valley, the Tehachapi Mountains, which border the southern Sierra Nevada, and the Mojave Desert. It runs between its western terminus near Santa Margarita (junction U.S. Route 101) and its eastern terminus at Barstow (junction Interstate 15). It has junctions with Interstate 5 near



20

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

