

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION

IN RE: REALPAGE, INC., RENTAL
SOFTWARE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
(NO. II)

Case No. 3:23-MD-3071
MDL No. 3071

Chief Judge Waverly D. Crenshaw, Jr.

This Document Relates to:

3:23-cv-00330	3:23-cv-00380
3:23-cv-00331	3:23-cv-00979
3:23-cv-00332	3:23-cv-00381
3:23-cv-00326	3:23-cv-00387
3:23-cv-00333	3:23-cv-00388
3:23-cv-00334	3:23-cv-00389
3:23-cv-00335	3:23-cv-00390
3:23-cv-00336	3:23-cv-00391
3:23-cv-00337	3:23-cv-00410
3:23-cv-00338	3:23-cv-00411
3:23-cv-00339	3:23-cv-00419
3:23-cv-00344	3:23-cv-00413
3:23-cv-00345	3:23-cv-00412
3:23-cv-00356	3:23-cv-00414
3:23-cv-00357	3:23-cv-00416
3:23-cv-00358	3:23-cv-00415
3:23-cv-00377	3:23-cv-00440
3:23-cv-00378	3:23-cv-00445
3:23-cv-00379	3:23-cv-00552
3:22-cv-01082	3:23-cv-00742

DEFENDANTS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO DISMISS MULTIFAMILY PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED
CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. SUMMARY OF PLAINTIFFS’ ALLEGATIONS	3
A. RealPage Revenue Management Products.....	3
B. The Alleged Conspiracy	6
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	7
IV. ARGUMENT.....	8
A. Plaintiffs Do Not Plausibly Allege a Sherman Act Section 1 <i>Per Se</i> Violation	8
i. Plaintiffs’ Group Pleading Is Improper and Insufficient	9
ii. Plaintiffs Allege No Plausible Direct or Circumstantial Evidence of Conspiracy	13
a) Plaintiffs Fail to Allege “Parallel Conduct”.....	14
b) Plaintiffs Fail to Allege the Requisite “Plus Factors”.....	17
iii. Plaintiffs’ Allegations That RealPage Facilitated and Enforced the Horizontal Conspiracy Also Fail	23
iv. The Alleged Conspiracy Is Implausible on Its Face	26
B. Plaintiffs’ Section 1 Claims Also Fail Under the Rule of Reason.....	27
i. Plaintiffs Do Not Define Plausible Relevant Markets.....	29
a) Regional MSAs Are Not Plausible Relevant Markets.....	30
ii. Plaintiffs Have Not Pleaded Anticompetitive Effects	32
C. Plaintiffs Fail to Establish Antitrust Standing	37
D. Plaintiffs’ State-Law Antitrust Claims Fail	38
V. CONCLUSION.....	40

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page
<i>42nd Parallel N. v. E Street Denim Co.</i> , 286 F.3d 401 (7th Cir. 2002)	33
<i>Aladdins Lights Inc. v. Eye Lighting Int'l</i> , 96 N.E.3d 864 (Ohio Ct. App. 2017).....	38
<i>In re Amazon.com, Inc. eBook Antitrust Litig.</i> , 2023 WL 6006525 (S.D.N.Y. July 31, 2023)	34
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	7, 8
<i>Associated Gen. Contractors of Cal., Inc. v. Cal. State Council of Carpenters</i> , 459 U.S. 519 (1983).....	37
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	7, 8, 10, 11, 17, 22
<i>Bennett v. Visa U.S.A. Inc.</i> , 198 S.W.3d 747 (Tenn. Ct. App. 2006).....	39
<i>Big River Indus. v. Headwaters Res., Inc.</i> , 971 F. Supp. 2d 609 (M.D. La. 2013).....	39
<i>Blankenship v. City of Crossville</i> , 2017 WL 4641799 (M.D. Tenn. Oct. 17, 2017)	36
<i>Blewett v. Abbott Labs</i> , 86 938 P.2d 842 782 (Wash. Ct. App. 1997).....	39
<i>Brooke Grp. Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.</i> , 509 U.S. 209 (1993).....	33
<i>Brown Shoe Co. v. United States</i> , 370 U.S. 294 (1962).....	30
<i>Brunson Commc'ns, Inc. v. Arbitron, Inc.</i> , 239 F. Supp. 2d 550 (E.D. Pa. 2002)	27
<i>C.S. Sewell, M.D. P.C. v. Amerigroup Tenn., Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 6591429 (M.D. Tenn. Dec. 14, 2018).....	14
<i>Cal. Dental Ass'n v. FTC</i> , 526 U.S. 756 (1999).....	29
<i>Care Heating & Cooling, Inc. v. Am. Standard, Inc.</i> , 427 F.3d 1008 (6th Cir. 2005)	28
<i>CBC Cos. v. Equifax, Inc.</i> , 561 F.3d 569 (6th Cir. 2009)	37

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

(continued)

	Page
<i>In re Cedar Shakes & Shingles Antitrust Litig.</i> , 2020 WL 832324 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 20, 2020).....	15
<i>Cty. of Tuolumne v. Sonora Cmty. Hosp.</i> , 236 F.3d 1148 (9th Cir. 2001)	38
<i>Conley Publ'g Grp., Ltd. v. Journal Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 665 N.W.2d 879 (Wis. 2003).....	39
<i>Cont'l Cablevision of Ohio, Inc. v. Am. Elec. Power Co.</i> , 715 F.2d 1115 (6th Cir. 1983)	22
<i>Cupp v. Alberto-Culver USA, Inc.</i> , 310 F. Supp. 2d 963 (W.D. Tenn. 2004).....	32
<i>DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno</i> , 547 U.S. 332 (2006).....	40
<i>In re Dairy Farmers of Am., Inc. Cheese Antitrust Litig.</i> , 2015 WL 3988488 (N.D. Ill. June 29, 2015).....	38
<i>Deich-Keibler v. Bank One</i> , 243 F. App'x 164 (7th Cir. 2007)	38
<i>Dickson v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 309 F.3d 193 (4th Cir. 2002)	34
<i>Drs. Steuer & Latham, P.A. v. Nat'l Med. Enters.</i> , 672 F. Supp. 1489 (D.S.C. 1987), <i>aff'd</i> , 846 F.2d 70 (4th Cir. 1988).....	38
<i>Drug Emporium, Inc. v. Blue Cross of Western New York, Inc.</i> , 104 F. Supp. 2d 184 (W.D.N.Y. 2000).....	34
<i>In re Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litig.</i> , 28 F.4th 42 (9th Cir. 2022)	17, 19, 23
<i>In re Elec. Books Antitrust Litig.</i> , 2014 WL 2535112 (S.D.N.Y. June 5, 2014)	38
<i>Entrialgo v. Twin City Dodge, Inc.</i> , 333 N.E.2d 202 (Mass. 1975).....	39
<i>Erie Cty. v. Morton Salt, Inc.</i> , 702 F.3d 860 (6th Cir. 2012)	23, 26
<i>Expert Masonry, Inc. v. Boone Cty.</i> , 440 F.3d 336 (6th Cir. 2006)	28
<i>Felder's Collision Parts, Inc v. All Star Advert. Agency, Inc.</i> , 777 F.3d 756 (5th Cir. 2015)	38

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

(continued)

	Page
<i>Finley v. Kelly</i> , 384 F. Supp. 3d 898 (M.D. Tenn. 2019).....	5
<i>Fox v. Saginaw Cnty.</i> , 67 F.4th 284 (6th Cir. 2023)	40
<i>In re German Auto. Mfrs. Antitrust Litig.</i> , 612 F. Supp. 3d 967 (N.D. Cal. 2020)	23
<i>Gibson v. Miami Valley Milk Producers, Inc.</i> , 299 N.E.2d 631 (Ind. Ct. App. 1973).....	39
<i>Hobart-Mayfield, Inc. v. Nat’l Operating Comm. on Standards for Athletic Equip.</i> , 48 F.4th 656 (6th Cir. 2022)	8, 14, 18
<i>Hobart-Mayfield, Inc. v. Nat’l Operating Comm. on Standards for Athletic Equip.</i> , 535 F. Supp. 3d 638 (E.D. Mich. 2021).....	9
<i>Hogan v. Pilgrim’s Pride Corp.</i> , 2018 WL 1316979 (D. Colo. Mar. 14, 2018)	16
<i>Hyland v. Homeservices of Am., Inc.</i> , 771 F.3d 310 (6th Cir. 2014)	13, 18
<i>In re ICE LIBOR Antitrust Litig.</i> , 2020 WL 1467354 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 26, 2020)	19
<i>In re Ins. Brokerage Antitrust Litig.</i> , 618 F.3d 300 (3d Cir. 2010).....	12, 18, 21
<i>In re Interest Rate Swaps Antitrust Litig.</i> , 261 F. Supp. 3d 430 (S.D.N.Y. 2017).....	19, 38
<i>Interstate Circuit, Inc. v. United States</i> , 306 U.S. 208 (1939).....	12, 13, 14
<i>Island Tobacco Co. v. R. J. Reynolds Indus.</i> , 513 F. Supp. 726 (D. Haw. 1981).....	38
<i>Jones v. Micron Tech. Inc.</i> , 400 F. Supp. 3d 897 (N.D. Cal. 2019)	23
<i>K&S Assocs., Inc. v. Am. Ass’n of Physicists in Med.</i> , 2012 WL 3061850 (M.D. Tenn. July 26, 2012)	22
<i>In re K-Dur Antitrust Litig.</i> , 2008 WL 2660780 (D.N.J. Feb. 28, 2008)	39
<i>Kendall v. Visa U.S.A., Inc.</i> , 518 F.3d 1042 (9th Cir. 2008)	9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.