

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION

IN RE: REALPAGE, RENTAL
SOFTWARE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
(NO. II)

Case No. 3:23-MD-3071

This Document Relates to:
ALL CASES

Chief Judge Waverly D. Crenshaw, Jr.

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF THE
STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF THE UNITED STATES**

JONATHAN S. KANTER
Assistant Attorney General

DOHA G. MEKKI
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

MAGGIE GOODLANDER
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

ANDREW J. FORMAN
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

DAVID B. LAWRENCE
Policy Director

JACOBUS VAN DER VEN
Counsel to the Assistant Attorney General

DANIEL E. HAAR
NICKOLAI G. LEVIN
STRATTON C. STRAND
YIXI (CECILIA) CHENG
Attorneys

U.S. Department of Justice
Antitrust Division
950 Pennsylvania Ave NW, #3224
Washington, DC 20530
Telephone: 202-705-8342
Email: yixi.cheng@usdoj.gov

Counsel for the United States of America

HENRY C. LEVENTIS
United States Attorney

MICHAEL C. TACKEFF, B.P.R. #036953
Assistant United States Attorney

Middle District of Tennessee
719 Church Street, Suite 3300
Nashville, TN 37203
Telephone: (615) 736-5151
Email: michael.tackeff@usdoj.gov

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
BACKGROUND	1
ARGUMENT	4
I. There Are Many Types of Concerted Action and Many Ways of Proving It	5
A. Concerted Action Includes Any Type of Conduct That Joins Together Separate Decisionmakers and Thus Deprives the Marketplace of Independent Centers of Decisionmaking	5
B. Concerted Action Can Be Shown In Many Ways, Including An Invitation Followed By Conduct Showing Acceptance	8
C. The Complaints Allege Concerted Action.....	12
II. The Per Se Rule Prohibiting Price Fixing Applies to Price Fixing Using Algorithms	15
A. Using an Algorithm to Fix Prices Among Actual or Potential Competitors Is Per Se Unlawful	15
B. The Per Se Rule Applies to the Allegations in this Case.....	18
CONCLUSION	23

Table of Authorities

Page(s)

Cases

<i>American Column & Lumber Co. v. United States</i> , 257 U.S. 377 (1921).....	21
<i>American Needle, Inc. v. NFL</i> , 560 U.S. 183 (2010).....	1, 4, 5, 8, 12, 14, 15, 18
<i>American Tobacco Co. v. United States</i> , 328 U.S. 781 (1946).....	6
<i>Anderson v. Shipowners' of Pac. Coast</i> , 272 U.S. 359 (1926).....	6
<i>Arizona v. Maricopa County Medical Society</i> , 457 U.S. 332 (1982).....	16, 17, 23
<i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	6, 12, 21
<i>Bourjaily v. United States</i> , 483 U.S. 171 (1987).....	9
<i>Brooke Group Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.</i> , 509 U.S. 209 (1993).....	6
<i>Business Electronics Corp. v. Sharp Electronics Corp.</i> , 485 U.S. 717 (1988).....	16
<i>C.S. Sewell, M.D. P.C. v. Amerigroup Tennessee, Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 6591429 (M.D. Tenn. Dec. 14, 2018).....	6, 8, 21
<i>Catalano, Inc. v. Target Sales, Inc.</i> , 446 U.S. 643 (1980).....	15, 17
<i>Citizen Publishing Co. v. United States</i> , 394 U.S. 131 (1969).....	19, 20
<i>Com-Tel, Inc. v. DuKane</i> , 669 F.2d 404 (6th Cir. 1982)	19
<i>Continental Wall Paper Co. v. Lewis Voight & Sons Co.</i> , 212 U.S. 227 (1909).....	20
<i>Darby v. Childvine, Inc.</i> , 964 F.3d 440 (6th Cir. 2020)	2

..

<i>Direct Sales Co. v. United States</i> , 319 U.S. 703 (1943).....	6
<i>E. R. R. Presidents Conference v. Noerr Motor Freight, Inc.</i> , 365 U.S. 127 (1961).....	7
<i>Epic Games, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 67 F.4th 946 (9th Cir. 2023)	12
<i>Erie County, Ohio v. Morton Salt, Inc.</i> , 702 F.3d 860 (6th Cir. 2012)	6
<i>FTC v. Cement Institute</i> , 333 U.S. 683 (1948).....	10
<i>FTC v. Superior Court Trial Lawyers Ass’n</i> , 493 U.S. 411 (1990).....	17
<i>Gelboim v. Bank of America Corp.</i> , 823 F.3d 759 (2d Cir. 2016).....	17, 20
<i>Glasser v. United States</i> , 315 U.S. 60 (1942).....	8, 9
<i>Goldfarb v. Virginia State Bar</i> , 421 U.S. 773 (1975).....	22
<i>Hyland v. HomeServices of America, Inc.</i> , 771 F.3d 310 (6th Cir. 2014)	8, 14
<i>In re Flat Glass Antitrust Litigation</i> , 385 F.3d 350 (3d Cir. 2004).....	20, 22
<i>In re High Fructose Corn Syrup Antitrust Litigation</i> , 295 F.3d 651 (7th Cir. 2002)	21
<i>In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation</i> , 618 F.3d 300 (3d Cir. 2010).....	11
<i>In re Southeastern Milk Antitrust Litigation</i> , 739 F.3d 262 (6th Cir. 2014)	16
<i>In re Travel Agent Commission Antitrust Litigation</i> , 583 F.3d 896 (6th Cir. 2009)	10
<i>In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation</i> , 320 F. Supp. 2d 1 (D.D.C. 2004).....	9

...

<i>Interstate Circuit v. United States</i> , 306 U.S. 208 (1939).....	7, 9, 11, 13, 21
<i>James R. Snyder Co. v. Associated General Contractors of America, Detroit Chapter, Inc.</i> , 677 F.2d 1111 (6th Cir. 1982)	10
<i>Kleen Products LLC v. Georgia-Pacific LLC</i> , 910 F.3d 927 (7th Cir. 2018)	6, 18
<i>Kleen Products, LLC v. Packaging Corp. of America</i> , 775 F. Supp. 2d 1071 (N.D. Ill. 2011).....	7
<i>Klor’s Inc. v. Broadway-Hale Stores, Inc.</i> , 359 U.S. 207 (1959).....	16, 19
<i>Lamb Enterprises, Inc. v. Toledo Blade Co.</i> , 461 F.2d 506 (6th Cir. 1972)	11
<i>Meyer v. Kalanick</i> , 174 F. Supp. 3d 817 (S.D.N.Y. 2016).....	12, 20
<i>NCAA v. Board of Regents of University of Oklahoma</i> , 468 U.S. 85 (1984).....	1, 4, 5, 16, 17
<i>Norfolk Monument Co. v. Woodlawn Memorial Gardens</i> , 394 U.S. 700 (1969).....	23
<i>Northern Securities Co. v. United States</i> , 193 U.S. 197 (1904).....	7
<i>Northern Pacific Railway Co. v. United States</i> , 356 U.S. 1 (1958).....	1
<i>Ohio v. American Express Co.</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2274 (2018).....	16, 17
<i>Palmer v. BRG of Georgia, Inc.</i> , 498 U.S. 46 (1980).....	18
<i>PLS.Com, LLC v. National Ass’n of Realtors</i> , 32 F.4th 824 (9th Cir. 2022)	11
<i>Relevant Sports, LLC v. United States Soccer Federation, Inc.</i> , 61 F.4th 299 (2d Cir. 2023)	6, 12, 13, 15
<i>Robertson v. Sea Pines Real Estate Cos., Inc.</i> , 679 F.3d 278 (4th Cir. 2012)	12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.