

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

UNILOC USA, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BIG FISH GAMES, INC.,

Defendant.

§ Case No. 2:16-cv-00741- RWS

§ LEAD CASE

§

§ Case No. 2:16-cv-00858- RWS

§

§

§ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

§

§

§

**DEFENDANT BIG FISH GAMES, INC.'S CORRECTED RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION
TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO RECONSIDER A PORTION OF THIS COURT'S
MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER CONSTRUING CERTAIN CLAIM TERMS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 1

BACKGROUND 2

ARGUMENT 4

I. Uniloc Waived Its Right To Seek Reconsideration 4

II. Uniloc’s Motion For Reconsideration Is An Improper Attempt To Redo Claim Construction And Should Be Denied Without Further Consideration..... 4

III. Uniloc’s Expert Declaration is Untimely, Improper, and Unpersuasive 5

IV. Uniloc Does Not Provide Any Valid Basis Justifying Reconsideration Under The Applicable Standard..... 7

V. Even If The Court Were To Treat Uniloc’s Arguments As Claims Of Clear Error, It Is Plain That No Such Error Exists In The Claim Construction Order 8

A. The Court Properly Construed “Application Launcher Program” 9

1. The Court Properly Considered The Prosecution History Of The ’766 Patent 10

2. The Court Properly Considered The ’466 Patent When Construing “Application Launcher Program” 12

B. The Court Properly Construed “Application Program” 15

CONCLUSION..... 15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Abbott Labs. V. Dey, L.P.</i> , 287 F.3d 1097 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	13, 14
<i>Advanced Mktg. Sys., LLC v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.</i> , 6:15-cv-134-JRG-KNM, 2016 WL 1741396 (E.D. Tex. May 3, 2016).....	6
<i>Alexam, Inc. v. Best Buy Co., Inc.</i> , No. 2:10CV93, 2012 WL 1188406 (E.D. Tex. April 9, 2012).....	10
<i>Andersen Corp v. Fiber Composites, LLC</i> , 474 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	15
<i>Capital Mach. Co., Inc., v. Miller Veneers, Inc.</i> , 524 Fed. Appx. 644 (Fed. Cir. 2013) (unpublished).....	11
<i>Doe v. U.S.</i> , 463 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	4
<i>eTool Dev., Inc. v. Nat’l Semiconductor Corp.</i> , 881 F. Supp. 2d 745 (E.D. Tex. 2012).....	1, 4, 5
<i>Gen. Protecht Grp., Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 619 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	6
<i>Goldenberg v. Cytogen, Inc.</i> , 373 F.3d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	14
<i>Innovative Display Techs. LLC v. Acer Inc.</i> , No. 2:13-cv-00522-JRG, 2014 WL 3402529 (E.D. Tex. Jul. 11, 2014).....	6
<i>Invitrogen Corp. v. Clontech Labs., Inc.</i> , 429 F.3d 1052 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	4
<i>Med. Ctr. Pharmacy v. Holder</i> , 634 F.3d 830 (5th Cir. 2011)	4
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Multi-Tech Sys., Inc.</i> , 357 F.2d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	10, 11, 12, 13
<i>Omega Eng’g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (CONTINUED)

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	6
<i>Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:13-CV-447-JRG-KNM, 2015 WL 4208754 (E.D. Tex. July 7, 2015).....	5, 7
<i>Symantec Corp. v. Computer Assocs. Int’l, Inc.</i> , 522 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	6
<i>Teva Pharms USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	11
<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. NetSuite, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:16-cv-00862-RWS	3
<i>Verizon Servs. Corp. v. Vonage Holdings Corp.</i> , 503 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	11, 13
Rules	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b)	4
Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e)	4

...

Big Fish Games, Inc. (“Big Fish”) hereby opposes the Motion to Reconsider a Portion of this Court’s Memorandum Opinion and Order Construing Certain Terms (“Motion”) filed by Plaintiffs Uniloc USA, Inc., and Uniloc Luxembourg, S.A. (together, “Uniloc”).

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Uniloc previously waived its right to contest the Memorandum Opinion and Order construing claim terms (“Claim Construction Order”) when it failed to appeal that order to the Federal Circuit at the time it appealed this Court’s final judgment dismissing the action. But even if not waived, this Court has previously admonished that “motions for reconsideration should not be used to raise arguments that could, and should, have been made before entry of judgment or to re-urge matters that have already been advanced by a party.”¹

Here, Uniloc simply rehashes prior arguments and resorts to derivative arguments predicated on previously raised or available case law, in contravention of this Court’s admonition. Uniloc supports its position with the untimely submission of a new expert declaration, constituting extrinsic evidence,² the introduction of which at this point in the litigation is yet another example of Uniloc’s ongoing and flagrant disregard of this Court’s Patent Rules, as laid out in other pending motions before this Court. *See, e.g.*, Dkt. Nos. 348, 349 (Defendant’s Motion to Strike and Reply).

But even if the Court were to consider Uniloc’s newly submitted evidence, none of Uniloc’s arguments warrant reconsideration of the Court’s decision, which correctly relied on statements made during prosecution of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,510,466 (“the ’466 Patent”) and

¹ *eTool Dev., Inc. v. Nat’l Semiconductor Corp.*, 881 F. Supp. 2d 745, 749 (E.D. Tex. 2012) (internal quotation omitted).

² In fact, the declaration contains only attorney argument disguised as expert testimony, which the Federal Circuit repeatedly has warned is inappropriate.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.