

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION**

DANA KRAFT,

Plaintiff,

VS.

**FLEX CAPITAL TRANSPORT, LLC,
ANDREW ADAMS AND MICAH TORRES,**

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 4:14cv213

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Now before the Court are Plaintiff Dana Kraft’s Motion for Default Judgment Against Defendant Flex Capital Transport, LLC (Dkt. 23) and Plaintiff Dana Kraft’s Motion for Award of Attorneys’ Fees and Costs in Connection With Plaintiff’s Motion for Default Judgment Against Defendant Flex Capital Transport, LLC (Dkt. 20). As set forth below, the Court finds that the motions should be GRANTED.

This action was filed by Plaintiff Dana Kraft against Flex Capital Transport, LLC (“Defendant” or “Flex Capital”),¹ seeking monetary relief to remedy Defendant’s unlawful employment practices in violation of the FMLA, EPA, Title VII and 1981a. Plaintiff has asked that the Court enter default judgment against Defendant Flex Capital Transport, LLC. A Clerk’s Entry of Default was entered as to Defendant Flex Capital Transport, LLC on September 16, 2014, after the Court found that service was properly executed on Defendant through the Texas Secretary of

¹Plaintiff also originally named Andrew Adams and Micah Torres as Defendants, but those claims have been voluntarily dismissed without prejudice. *See* Dkt. 27.

State and no answer or responsive pleading was filed. *See* Dkts. 12 & 14. Plaintiff then filed her motions for default judgment and attorney’s fees. After those motions had been pending for four months without any responses in opposition, the Court set the matter for hearing.

At the June 18, 2015 hearing, Plaintiff appeared with counsel. The Court considered argument from Plaintiff’s counsel, as well as the testimony of Plaintiff and her husband. Nobody appeared for Defendant Flex Capital Transport, LLC. A copy of the order setting the hearing was sent to Defendant Flex Capital Transport, LLC c/o Andrew Adams at its last known address, but it was returned unclaimed. *See* Dkts. 24 & 28.

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55, default is appropriate if a defendant has “failed to plead or otherwise defend” the suit. FED. R. CIV. P. 55(a). Having reviewed the record here, the Court finds that default is appropriate as Defendant has failed to defend the claims against it. Further, having reviewed the record before it regarding Plaintiff’s allegations and damages, including the exhibits attached to the motions, the testimony presented at the hearing, and the provisions of the applicable statutes (*see, e.g.*, 29 U.S.C. § 206, 29 U.S.C. §215, 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 29 U.S.C. §2617, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5, and 42 U.S.C. § 1981a), the Court finds that damages should and interest thereon should be awarded to Plaintiff as follows:

Lost Wages after Discharge:	\$7,355.00
Liquidated Damages on Lost Wages:	\$7,355.00
Lost Wages for Equal Pay Act Violation:	\$32,500.00
Liquidated Damages on Lost Wages for Equal Pay Act Violation:	\$32,500.00
Compensatory Damages including Emotional Distress:	\$50,000.00

Punitive Damages:	\$50,000.00
Pre-judgment interest on the Lost Wages After Discharge	\$853.99

The Court further finds that, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 54 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs of court. Having heard the testimony of Plaintiff's counsel and considered the documents, the Court finds that the reasonable attorney's fees incurred by Plaintiff in this matter are \$26,406.

The Court further finds that, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §2412(a)(2), Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$400.00, an amount equal to the filing fee in this Court.

Therefore, as set forth herein, Plaintiff Dana Kraft's Motion for Default Judgment Against Defendant Flex Capital Transport, LLC (Dkt. 23) and Plaintiff Dana Kraft's Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs in Connection With Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment Against Defendant Flex Capital Transport, LLC (Dkt. 20) should be GRANTED and the attached final default judgment should be entered for Plaintiff.

Within fourteen (14) days after service of the magistrate judge's report, any party may serve and file written objections to the findings and recommendations of the magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C.A. § 636(b)(1)(C).

A party is entitled to a *de novo* review by the district court of the findings and conclusions contained in this report only if specific objections are made, and failure to timely file written objections to any proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendations contained in this report shall bar an aggrieved party from appellate review of those factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court, except on grounds of plain error, provided that the party has been served with

notice that such consequences will result from a failure to object. *Id.*; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 148 (1985); *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded by statute on other grounds*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

The Clerk of Court is directed to send a copy of this report and recommendation to Defendant Flex Capital Transport, LLC c/o Andrew Adams at its last known address 558 S. Central Expressway, Richardson, Texas, 75080, via certified mail return receipt requested.

SIGNED this 16th day of July, 2015.



DON D. BUSH
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION**

DANA KRAFT,

Plaintiff,

VS.

**FLEX CAPITAL TRANSPORT, LLC,
ANDREW ADAMS AND MICAH TORRES,**

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 4:14cv213

PROPOSED FINAL DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This action was filed by Dana Kraft (“Plaintiff”) against Flex Capital Transport, LLC (“Defendant” or “Flex Capital”), seeking monetary relief to remedy Defendant’s unlawful employment practices in violation of the FMLA, EPA, Title VII and 1981a. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(b) and the Memorandum Adopting Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge filed in this matter, this Court enters default judgment against Flex Capital in the above stated action because it has failed to appear, plead or otherwise defend after being properly served with a summons and copy of the complaint.

Therefore, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

1. Judgment is rendered for Plaintiff against Flex Capital, and Plaintiff shall recover from Flex Capital the sum of \$207,369.99, to wit:

Lost Wages after Discharge:	\$7,355.00
Liquidated Damages on Lost Wages:	\$7,355.00
Lost Wages for Equal Pay Act Violation:	\$32,500.00

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.