

(12) **United States Patent**
Dowling et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 8,291,010 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Oct. 16, 2012**

(54) **VIRTUAL CONNECTION OF A REMOTE UNIT TO A SERVER**

(75) Inventors: **Eric Morgan Dowling**, Richardson, TX (US); **Mark Nicholas Anastasi**, Highland Village, TX (US)

(73) Assignee: **East Texas Technology Partners, LP**, Plano, TX (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 668 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **12/194,311**

(22) Filed: **Aug. 19, 2008**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**
US 2008/0310392 A1 Dec. 18, 2008

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 10/920,817, filed on Aug. 18, 2004, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 10/335,821, filed on Jan. 2, 2003, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 09/167,698, filed on Oct. 7, 1998, now Pat. No. 6,574,239.

(51) **Int. Cl.** **G06F 15/16** (2006.01)
(52) **U.S. Cl.** **709/203; 709/200; 370/349**
(58) **Field of Classification Search** **709/200, 709/203; 370/349**

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,285,061 A 8/1981 Ho
4,416,015 A 11/1983 Gitlin
4,489,416 A 12/1984 Stuart
4,578,796 A 3/1986 Charalambous et al.
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0169548 1/1986
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Defendant Dell Inc.'s Answer to Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint", Civil Action No. 2-03CV-465-TJW, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, (Mar. 15, 2004), 8 pages.


(Continued)

Primary Examiner — John Follansbee
Assistant Examiner — Anthony Mejia

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Apparatus and associated methods are provided which allow a remote user to maintain a virtual session with a server. A virtual session allows a remote and possibly mobile user to maintain a virtual presence in an office environment without actually being present. Using the present invention, a remote user can access a central application program such as an Internet service provider, a database system, an inventory system or billing system. Likewise, the remote user can receive calls and other forms of communications as though he or she were present in an office environment. A virtual session does not require a physical connection to be continuously present in order to provide a virtual connectivity. This is especially important for mobile applications where the remote user may incur long distance and/or wireless toll charges. Also, methods are presented to allow a remote unit to rapidly reconnect in a transparent and seamless way without burdening the user with the need to connect and reconnect or to upload and download information. Related methods are provided to allow the virtual session to be established, operated and maintained.

22 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets



US 8,291,010 B2

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,852,151 A 7/1989 Dittakavi et al.
 4,995,074 A 2/1991 Goldman et al.
 5,027,269 A 6/1991 Grant et al.
 5,127,051 A 6/1992 Chan et al.
 5,287,401 A 2/1994 Lin
 5,321,722 A 6/1994 Ogawa
 5,329,619 A * 7/1994 Page et al. 709/203
 5,339,392 A * 8/1994 Risberg et al. 715/762
 5,367,563 A 11/1994 Sainton
 5,513,216 A 4/1996 Gadot et al.
 5,519,767 A 5/1996 O'Horo et al.
 5,539,885 A * 7/1996 Ono et al. 709/203
 5,550,908 A 8/1996 Cai et al.
 5,572,528 A 11/1996 Shuen
 5,600,712 A 2/1997 Hanson et al.
 5,604,769 A 2/1997 Wang
 5,606,719 A * 2/1997 Nichols et al. 710/56
 5,715,464 A 2/1998 Crump et al.
 5,724,412 A 3/1998 Srinivasan
 5,745,695 A 4/1998 Gilchrist et al.
 5,751,796 A 5/1998 Scott et al.
 5,757,890 A 5/1998 Venkatakrishnan
 5,764,639 A 6/1998 Staples et al.
 5,771,353 A * 6/1998 Eggleston et al. 709/227
 5,784,562 A * 7/1998 Diener 709/217
 5,802,293 A * 9/1998 van der Sijpt 709/203
 5,826,085 A * 10/1998 Bennett et al. 719/316
 5,842,199 A * 11/1998 Miller et al. 1/1
 5,857,201 A * 1/1999 Wright, Jr. et al. 1/1
 5,859,971 A * 1/1999 Bittinger et al. 709/203
 5,896,444 A 4/1999 Perlman et al.
 5,903,602 A 5/1999 Torckel
 5,924,097 A 7/1999 Hill et al.
 5,928,363 A * 7/1999 Ruvolo 726/22
 5,958,006 A 9/1999 Eggleston et al.
 5,978,567 A * 11/1999 Rebane et al. 709/219
 5,982,774 A 11/1999 Foladare et al.
 5,999,947 A * 12/1999 Zollinger et al. 1/1
 6,023,493 A 2/2000 Olafsson
 6,038,602 A * 3/2000 Ishikawa 709/227
 6,052,779 A * 4/2000 Jackson et al. 713/2
 6,058,422 A 5/2000 Ayanoglu et al.
 6,085,222 A * 7/2000 Fujino et al. 709/202
 6,088,594 A 7/2000 Kingdon et al.
 6,088,600 A 7/2000 Rasmussen
 6,094,485 A * 7/2000 Weinstein et al. 380/30
 6,101,482 A * 8/2000 DiAngelo et al. 705/26.62
 6,101,531 A 8/2000 Eggleston et al.
 6,119,165 A * 9/2000 Li et al. 709/229
 6,119,167 A 9/2000 Boyle et al.
 6,134,582 A 10/2000 Kennedy
 6,156,336 A * 12/2000 Bracht 424/449
 6,157,630 A 12/2000 Adler et al.
 6,157,941 A * 12/2000 Verkler et al. 709/202
 6,199,110 B1 * 3/2001 Rizvi et al. 709/227
 6,199,204 B1 3/2001 Donohue
 6,201,962 B1 3/2001 Sturniolo et al.
 6,216,151 B1 * 4/2001 Antoun 709/203
 6,226,750 B1 * 5/2001 Triege 726/3
 6,247,055 B1 * 6/2001 Cotner et al. 709/227
 6,263,016 B1 7/2001 Bellenger et al.
 6,266,701 B1 * 7/2001 Sridhar et al. 709/232
 6,269,402 B1 7/2001 Lin et al.
 6,289,464 B1 9/2001 Wecker et al.
 6,295,549 B1 9/2001 Riddle
 6,301,590 B1 * 10/2001 Siow et al. 715/234
 6,308,281 B1 10/2001 Hall, Jr. et al.
 6,317,455 B1 11/2001 Williams et al.
 6,336,147 B1 * 1/2002 Brownell et al. 719/310
 6,393,467 B1 5/2002 Potvin
 6,397,253 B1 * 5/2002 Quinlan et al. 709/227
 6,418,214 B1 7/2002 Smythe et al.
 6,421,707 B1 7/2002 Miller et al.
 6,426,946 B1 7/2002 Takagi et al.
 6,453,430 B1 * 9/2002 Singh et al. 714/47.3
 6,456,603 B1 9/2002 Ismailov et al.

6,496,572 B1 12/2002 Liang et al.
 6,542,489 B1 4/2003 Kari et al.
 6,546,425 B1 4/2003 Hanson et al.
 6,553,490 B1 4/2003 Kottapurath et al.
 6,560,239 B1 * 5/2003 Frid et al. 370/426
 6,560,456 B1 5/2003 Lohitia et al.
 6,574,239 B1 6/2003 Dowling et al.
 6,584,321 B1 6/2003 Coan et al.
 6,594,682 B2 * 7/2003 Peterson et al. 718/102
 6,594,692 B1 7/2003 Reisman
 6,681,017 B1 * 1/2004 Matias et al. 380/277
 6,728,747 B1 * 4/2004 Jenkins et al. 718/101
 6,975,710 B2 * 12/2005 Fujino et al. 379/93.09
 7,206,816 B2 4/2007 Gorty et al.
 7,373,144 B1 * 5/2008 Kirkpatrick et al. 455/421
 2002/0048354 A1 4/2002 Perlman et al.
 2003/0055327 A1 * 3/2003 Shaw et al. 600/407
 2003/0156039 A1 * 8/2003 Tester 340/825.28
 2004/0120277 A1 * 6/2004 Holur et al. 370/328
 2005/0039048 A1 2/2005 Tosey
 2005/0233297 A1 * 10/2005 Guy et al. 434/350

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0741481 11/1996
 WO 9927702 6/1999

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“Defendant International Business Machines Corporation’s Answer to Plaintiff’s Second Amended Complaint,” Civil Action No. 2-03CV-465-TJW, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, (Mar. 15, 2004), 8 pages.
 “Answer of Defendant Toshiba America, Inc. to Plaintiff’s Second Amended Complaint,” Civil Action No. 2-03CV-465-TJW, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, (Mar. 15, 2004), 5 pages.
 “Gateway, Inc.’s Original Answer and Counterclaim,” Civil Action No. 2-03CV-465-TJW, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, (Mar. 18, 2004), 8 pages.
 “Hewlett-Packard Co.’s Original Answer and Counterclaim,” Civil Action No. 2-03CV-465-TJW, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, (Mar. 18, 2004), 8 pages.
 Agere Systems, Inc. “Complaint,” Civil Action No. 2-04CV-108, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, (Mar. 17, 2004), 6 pages.
 “Plaintiff Agere Systems Inc.’s First Amended Complaint,” Civil Action No. 2-04CV-108, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, (May 11, 2004), 29 pages (including Appendix A).
 “Plaintiff East Texas Technology Partners’ Answer and Counterclaim to Intervenor Conexant’s Complaint,” Civil Action No. 2-03-CV-465-TJW, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, (Apr. 21, 2004), 10 pages.
 “Emachines, Inc.’s Original Answer and Counterclaim,” Civil Action No. 2-03-CV-465-TJW, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, (Apr. 22, 2004), 8 pages.
 “Answer of Defendant in Intervention Conexant Systems, Inc. to Plaintiff East Texas Technology Partners’ Counterclaim,” Civil Action No. 2-03-CV-465-TJW, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, (May 12, 2004), 6 pages.
 “Conexant Systems, Inc.’s Motion to Intervene,” Civil Action No. 2-03-CV-465-TJW, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, (Mar. 10, 2004), 27 pages (including Exhibits A & B).
 “Answer of Defendant Acer America Corp. to Plaintiff’s Second Amended Complaint,” Civil Action No. 2-03-CV-465-(Ward), in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, (Mar. 29, 2004), 8 pages.
 WAP Architecture Version Apr. 30, 1998, “Wireless Application Pro-

US 8,291,010 B2

Page 3

WAP WTA Draft Version Apr. 30, 1998, "Wireless Application Protocol Wireless Telephony Application Specification," Wireless Application Protocol Forum, Ltd., (Apr. 30, 1998), pp. 1-31.

"Defendant's Supplemental Preliminary Invalidation Contentions", Civil Action No. 2-03CV-465-TJW in the United States District court for the Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division, 73 pages.

Warrier, Padmanand, "Universal DSL Deployment of G.Lite", Texas Instruments Application Report SPAA007A, (Sep. 1998), 33 pages.

"239 Invalidation Chart for G.Lite Standard", no date, 26 pages.

"239 Invalidation Chart for European Patent No. 0169548", no date, 47 pages.



"239 Invalidation Chart for European Patent No. 0741481", no date, 22 pages.



Wireless Application Protocol, Wireless Session Protocol, Draft version Apr. 30, 1998, copyright Wireless Application Protocol Forum, Ltd., 1998, 95 pages.

Official Action in U.S. Appl. No. 12/272,481 issued Apr. 19, 2011, 7 pages.

Office Action in U.S. Application No. 12/272,481 issued Oct. 11, 2011, 7 pages.

* cited by examiner





Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.