

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION**

COMMUNICATION INTERFACE
TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

CINEMARK HOLDINGS, INC.
CINEMARK USA, INC.

Defendants.

Civil Action No. _____

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Communication Interface Technologies, LLC (“CIT” or “Plaintiff”), for its Complaint against Defendants Cinemark Holdings, Inc. and Cinemark USA, Inc. (referred to collectively herein as “Cinemark” or “Defendants”), alleges the following:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff CIT is a Limited Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with a place of business at 3107 Boardwalk, Atlantic City, NJ 08401.

3. Upon information and belief, Cinemark Holdings, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a place of business at 3900 Dallas Parkway, Plano, TX 75093, and can be served through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, DE 19808.

4. Upon information and belief, Cinemark USA, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, with a place of business at 3900 Dallas Parkway, Plano, TX 75093, and can be served through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company D/B/A+8, 211 E. 7th Street Suite 620 Austin, TX 78701. Upon further information and belief, Cinemark USA, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Cinemark Holdings, Inc.

5. Upon information and belief, Cinemark sells, offers to sell, and/or uses products and services throughout the United States, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services into the stream of commerce that incorporate infringing technology knowing they would be sold in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

8. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §1400(b). On information and belief, Cinemark has committed acts of infringement in this District and has a regular and established place of business within this District.

9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants, because Defendants have sufficient minimum contacts within the State of Texas and this District, pursuant to due process and/or the State of Texas Long Arm Statute, Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 17.042 because Defendants purposefully availed themselves of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Texas and in this District, because Defendants regularly conduct and solicit business within the State of Texas and within this District, and because Plaintiff's causes of action arise directly from Defendants' business contacts and other activities in the State of Texas and this District.

Further, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Cinemark USA, Inc. because it is incorporated in the State of Texas and has purposely availed itself of the privileges and benefits of the laws of the State of Texas. Additionally, venue is also proper in this district because Cinemark Holdings, Inc. and Cinemark USA, Inc. have a regular and established place of business in this district. For example, Cinemark Holdings, Inc. and Cinemark USA, Inc. have their headquarters located at 3900 Dallas Parkway, Plano, TX 75093. *See, e.g.,* <https://www.cinemark.com/about-us> (last visited April 12, 2020).

BACKGROUND

The Invention

10. Eric Morgan Dowling and Mark Nicholas Anastasi are the inventors of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,574,239 (“the ’239 Patent”), 8,266,296 (“the ’296 Patent”), and 8,291,010 (“the ’010 Patent”). A true and correct copy of the ’239 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1. A true and correct copy of the ’296 Patent is attached as Exhibit 2. A true and correct copy of the ’010 Patent is attached as Exhibit 3.

11. The ’239 Patent, the ’296 Patent, and the ’010 Patent resulted from the pioneering efforts of Dr. Dowling and Mr. Anastasi (hereinafter “the Inventors”) in the late 1990s, in the area of quickly-resumed client-server communication sessions. These efforts resulted in the development of methods and apparatuses for virtual connection of a remote unit to a server and methods and apparatuses for application-layer evaluation of communications received by a mobile device.

12. At the time of these pioneering efforts, the most widely implemented technology that was in use involved client-server communication sessions that could be instantiated and torn down. If communications between client and server were needed again, the widely implemented technology would simply instantiate a brand new session between the same client and server.

Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) is an example of the earlier technology. Unlike Transport Layer Security (TLS), SSL did not allow session reactivation, and instead required a new session to be negotiated from scratch after an older session was deactivated (torn down).

13. Creating a new session required the renegotiation of a set of session keys that included computation of new cryptographic keys. This process required significant start up times and computational resources. The invention encompassed by the patents-in-suit, instead of tearing down an old session and instantiating a new session, places the old session into an inactive state, and then reactivates the old session to place it back into the active state using a much shorter renegotiation sequence that makes use of saved session parameters. The saved session parameters include pre-computed client-server encryption keys that are used to quickly and efficiently reactivate the inactive sessions. Some embodiments allow the session layer connection between the client and server devices to be reactivated without the need to create a new session by negotiating new session parameters and session keys. (See Exhibit 1 at Figs. 1A, 2, 3:45-63, 8:34-9:14, 9:54-60.) Other embodiments additionally or alternatively allow the application layer session to be reactivated without the need for the user to enter his/her user authentication credentials at the time of each session reactivation.

14. The Inventors first conceived of the inventions claimed in the '239 Patent, the '296 Patent, and the '010 Patent as a way to shorten the connection time of the dialup modems in use back in the 1990s. Each time a new dialup modem connection needed to be reestablished, there would be a several-second period (typically around 10-12 seconds) during which the user would hear audio modem tones and hissing sounds while the modems reconnected and negotiated a new data session. The virtual session inventions allowed the modems to reconnect

by remembering the previously negotiated modem parameters, thereby greatly shortening this renegotiation time to being almost unnoticeable. (*See* Ex. 1 at 13:42-43, 17:50-58.)

15. While developing their invention, the inventors contemplated that virtual sessions would also be very useful in wireless applications (*see, e.g.*, Ex. 1 at Fig. 2, 9:32-35, 13:4-8) to allow a client-side remote unit to maintain a virtual presence with a remote server. The inventors taught that virtual sessions could be layered over wireless connections to allow remote units such as wireless Internet devices to be virtually connected to one or more server-side application programs running on one or more remote server systems without wasting wireless physical layer resources to maintain the one or more session layer connections. (*See* Ex. 1 at 9:28-60.) The physical layer could be inactive, while the virtual session layer connections could be maintained without using wireless resources. (*See* Ex. 1 at 3:45-49, 8:56-58, 9:7-10.) When the client-side remote unit needed to communicate with the server, or when the server needed to send newly received information to the remote unit, the virtual session could be reactivated without the need to tediously set up and authenticate a new secure cryptographic session with the server. (*See* Ex. 1 at Fig. 1A, 9:53-60, 13:48-14:17.)

16. For example, the Inventors developed methods for controlling virtual sessions between a server-side program and a client-side application program. (*See* Ex. 1 at 14:32-43.) When the virtual session is not needed, it is placed into an inactive state (like a sleep state). (*See, e.g.*, Ex. 1 at 3:45-49, 10:6-11:22; Ex. 2 at 3:56-60.) In this state, no communication resources are used. (*See* Ex. 1 at 3:37-44, 17:36-45.) When a virtual session is needed again, for example when the server receives new information for the client-side application program, the server can, for example, send a message that causes the client-side application program to resume the virtual session with the server. (*See* Ex. 1 at 3:60-63.) This session resumption is accomplished using

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.