

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, DALLAS DIVISION**

| | |
|---|--|
| MARILYN PIERCE, on Behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly Situated, | § § § § § |
| Plaintiffs, | § § § § |
| v. | § Civil Action No. 3:19-cv-00410-B § § |
| NORTH DALLAS HONEY COMPANY, a Domestic Corporation, | § § § § |
| Defendant. | § |

**DEFENDANT NORTH DALLAS HONEY COMPANY'S
MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

Michelle Y. Ku (mku@foley.com)
Texas State Bar No. 24071452
Foley Gardere
Foley & Lardner LLP
2021 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1600
Dallas, TX 75201
(214) 999-3000 Telephone
(214) 999-4667 Facsimile

Robert B. Wolinsky
(robert.wolinsky@hoganlovells.com)
DC Bar No. 479816
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
Hogan Lovells US LLP
555 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004-1109
(202) 637-8895 Telephone
(202) 637-5910 Facsimile

Counsel for Defendant North Dallas Honey Company

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| FACTUAL BACKGROUND | 2 |
| LEGAL STANDARD..... | 6 |
| ARGUMENT | 7 |
| I. Plaintiffs Still Have Failed to Adequately Allege Fraudulent Misrepresentation as to the Heating Claim and the Syrup Claim (Count III)..... | 7 |
| A. Plaintiffs have failed to allege that Nature Nate's knowingly or recklessly made a representation that was false (element four)..... | 8 |
| B. The Second Amended Complaint still fails to plead any facts indicating when and how Plaintiffs relied on Nature Nate's representations (element six). | 9 |
| II. Plaintiffs Still Do Not Adequately Plead a Violation of the DTPA (Count I)..... | 10 |
| III. Plaintiffs' New FDUTPA Claim (Count II) is Not Adequately Pled. | 11 |
| IV. The Court Should Exercise Its Discretion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Declaratory Judgment Claim (Count V)..... | 13 |
| CONCLUSION..... | 15 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| | Page(s) |
|--|----------------|
| Cases | |
| <i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009)..... | 6 |
| <i>Baker v. Great N. Energy, Inc.</i> , 64 F. Supp. 3d 965 (N.D. Tex. 2014) | 8 |
| <i>Baldwin Metals Co. v. Donovan</i> , 642 F.2d 768 (5th Cir. 1981) | 14 |
| <i>Bartlett v. Schmidt</i> , 33 S.W.3d 35 (Tex. App.—Corpus Christi 2000, pet. denied)..... | 10, 11 |
| <i>Barton v. Huerta</i> , No. 1:14-cv-085, 2014 WL 4088582 (N.D. Tex. Aug. 19, 2014) | 14 |
| <i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007)..... | 6 |
| <i>Berry v. Indianapolis Life Ins. Co.</i> , 608 F. Supp. 2d 785 (N.D. Tex. 2009) | 11 |
| <i>Blair v. Wachovia Mortg. Corp.</i> , No. 5:11-CV-566-OC-37TBS, 2012 WL 868878 (M.D. Fla. Mar. 14, 2012)..... | 11, 12 |
| <i>Carroll v. Fort James Corp.</i> , 470 F.3d 1171 (5th Cir. 2006) | 11 |
| <i>Ecoquij-Tzep v. Le Arlington, Inc.</i> , No. 3:16-CV-625-BN, 2018 WL 1737658 (N.D. Tex. Apr. 10, 2018) | 14 |
| <i>Frith v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am.</i> , 9 F. Supp. 2d 734 (S.D. Tex. 1998) | 10 |
| <i>Hart v. Bayer Corp.</i> , 199 F.3d 239 (5th Cir. 2000) | 7 |
| <i>Henderson v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.</i> , 974 F. Supp. 2d 993 (N.D. Tex. 2013) | 10 |
| <i>Krames v. Bohannon Holman, LLC</i> , No. 3:06-CV-2370-O, 2009 WL 762205 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 24, 2009)..... | 10 |

::

| | |
|--|--------|
| <i>Llado-Carreno v. Guidant Corp.</i> , No. 09-20971-CIV, 2011 WL 705403 (S.D. Fla. Feb. 22, 2011) | 12 |
| <i>Lovelace v. Software Spectrum Inc.</i> , 78 F.3d 1015 (5th Cir. 1996) | 6 |
| <i>McPeters v. LexisNexis</i> , 910 F. Supp. 2d 981 (S.D. Tex. 2012) | 11 |
| <i>Mohamed for A.M. v. Irving Indep. Sch. Dist.</i> , 252 F. Supp. 3d 602 (N.D. Tex. 2017) | 6 |
| <i>Montes v. Am. Hosp. Ass'n</i> , No. 3:12-CV-1999-M-BK, 2012 WL 4928872 (N.D. Tex. Sept. 18, 2012)..... | 10 |
| <i>N. Port Firefighters' Pension--Local Option Plan v. Temple-Inland, Inc.</i> , 936 F. Supp. 2d 722 (N.D. Tex. 2013) | 6 |
| <i>Perry v. Bank of New York Mellon</i> , No. 3:12-CV-5275-O, 2013 WL 3722326 (N.D. Tex. July 16, 2013)..... | 9 |
| <i>PGBA, LLC v. United States</i> , 389 F.3d 1219 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... | 15 |
| <i>Schrader-Scalf v. CitiMortgage, Inc.</i> , No. 3:12-CV-4446-D, 2013 WL 625745 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 20, 2013) | 13, 14 |
| <i>In re Sec. Litig. BMC Software, Inc.</i> , 183 F. Supp. 2d 860 (S.D. Tex. 2001) | 4 |
| <i>Shafran v. Avital</i> , No. CIV.A. H-15-140, 2015 WL 5457059 (S.D. Tex. Sept. 17, 2015)..... | 9 |
| <i>State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Performance Orthopaedics & Neurosurgery, LLC</i> , 278 F. Supp. 3d 1307 (S.D. Fla. 2017) | 11, 12 |
| <i>Stires v. Carnival Corp.</i> , 243 F. Supp. 2d 1313 (M.D. Fla. 2002) | 12 |
| <i>Teague v. Norcold, Inc.</i> , 774 F. Supp. 2d 817 (N.D. Tex. 2011) | 6 |
| <i>Ulstein Mar., Ltd. v. United States</i> , 833 F.2d 1052 (1st Cir. 1987)..... | 14 |
| <i>Williams v. WMX Techs., Inc.</i> , 112 F.3d 175 (5th Cir. 1997) | 7, 8 |

:::

Statutes

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Fed. Declaratory Judgment Act | <i>passim</i> |
| Fla. Deceptive and Unfair Trade Pracs. Act | 6, 7, 11, 12 |
| Magnuson–Moss Warranty Act | 2 |
| Tex. Deceptive Trade Pracs.-Consumer Protection Act | <i>passim</i> |

Other Authorities

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 9(b) | <i>passim</i> |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)..... | 1, 6 |

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.