UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

Sid Miller, Greg Macha, James Meek, Jeff Peters, and Lorinda O'Shaughnessy, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 4:21-cv-00595-O

v.

Tom Vilsack, in his official capacity as Secretary of Agriculture,

Defendant.

PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED CLASS-ACTION COMPLAINT

The Supreme Court of the United States said 125 years ago that:

[T]he constitution of the United States, in its present form, forbids, so far as civil and political rights are concerned, discrimination by the general government, or by the states, against any citizen because of his race. All citizens are equal before the law. The guaranties of life, liberty, and property are for all persons, within the jurisdiction of the United States, or of any state, without discrimination against any because of their race. Those guaranties, when their violation is properly presented in the regular course of proceedings, must be enforced in the courts, both of the nation and of the state, without reference to considerations based upon race.

Gibson v. State of Mississippi, 162 U.S. 565, 591 (1896); see also Bolling v. Sharpe, 347 U.S. 497 (1954) (citing Gibson and holding that segregation in the District of Columbia public schools violated the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment).

Equal rights under law is the cornerstone of American constitutional jurisprudence: the principle that all citizens, regardless of status, wealth, race, color, religion, or creed, have the same rights and are entitled to the same standard of justice. These are the principles etched into our founding documents, fought for on our nation's



battlefields, written into the Gettysburg Address, and delivered from the steps of the Lincoln Memorial by Martin Luther King.

As a nation, we are devoted to the task of satisfying these sacred ideals and providing equal rights to citizens of all races, as the Constitution requires. Profound progress has been made, and extraordinary milestones reached, throughout our history, serving as an inspiration to humanity and the nations of the world. Yet, today, the Department of Agriculture lurches America dangerously backward, reversing the clock on American progress, and violating our most sacred and revered principles by actively and invidiously discriminating against American citizens solely based upon their race. This is illegal, it is unconstitutional, it is wrong, and it must stop.

Indeed, the United States Department of Agriculture administers numerous statutes that provide government aid to "socially disadvantaged farmers and ranchers." The Department of Agriculture interprets this phrase to include African Americans, Hispanics, Native Americans, Alaskan natives, Asian-Americans, and Pacific Islanders. But white farmers and ranchers are not included within the definition of "socially disadvantaged farmers and ranchers," making them ineligible for aid under these federal programs.

These racial exclusions are patently unconstitutional, and the Court should permanently enjoin their enforcement. Doing so will promote equal rights under the law for all American citizens and promote efforts to stop racial discrimination, because "[t]he way to stop discrimination on the basis of race is to stop discriminating on the basis of race." *Parents Involved in Cmty. Sch. v. Seattle Sch. Dist. No. 1*, 551 U.S. 701, 748 (2007) (Roberts, C.J., concurring).

American citizens today represent a beautiful, complex, and increasingly interwoven fabric of racial backgrounds. Government action that tears at that fabric and divides its pieces—rather than reinforcing that fabric's unifying and binding ties—disrupts our common progress towards becoming a more perfect union.



JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343.
- 2. Venue is proper because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this judicial district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Sid Miller is a farmer and rancher who resides in Erath County, Texas. He also serves as Agriculture Commissioner for the State of Texas. Mr. Miller is suing in his capacity as a private citizen, and not on behalf of the State of Texas or the Texas Department of Agriculture.
 - 4. Plaintiff Greg Macha is a white rancher who resides in Wallis, Texas.
- 5. Plaintiff James Meek is a white farmer and rancher who resides in Alvord, Texas.
- 6. Plaintiff Jeff Peters is a white farmer and rancher who resides in Arlington, Texas.
- 7. Plaintiff Lorinda O'Shaughnessy is a white farmer and rancher who resides in Placedo, Texas.
- 8. Defendant Tom Vilsack is the U.S. Secretary of Agriculture. Secretary Vilsack is sued in his official capacity.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 9. Sections 1005 and 1006 of the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, H.R. 1319, 117th Cong. (2021), provide aid to farmers and ranchers who have been harmed by the COVID-19 pandemic—including loan forgiveness up to 120 percent of the value of the loan—but only if they qualify as a "socially disadvantaged farmer or rancher." See Exhibit 1.
- 10. Numerous other federal statutes limit government aid to individuals who qualify as a "socially disadvantaged farmer or rancher." See, e.g., 7 U.S.C. § 1936(a)



(requiring the Secretary of Agriculture to "guarantee a loan made by a private seller of a farm or ranch to a . . . socially disadvantaged farmer or rancher."); 7 U.S.C. § 1985(c)(1)(B) (requiring the Secretary of Agriculture to "offer to sell" certain properties to "a qualified beginning farmer or rancher or a socially disadvantaged farmer or rancher at current market value based on a current appraisal" before attempting to sell that property at a public sale); 7 U.S.C. § 1627c(d)(5)(C)(i)(II) (requiring the Secretary of Agriculture to give preference to applications submitted by "socially disadvantaged farmers or ranchers" when awarding grants).

11. Federal law defines "socially disadvantaged farmer or rancher" as "a farmer or rancher who is a member of a socially disadvantaged group." 7 U.S.C. \$ 2279(a)(5). "Socially disadvantaged group," in turn, is defined as:

a group whose members have been subjected to racial or ethnic prejudice because of their identity as members of a group without regard to their individual qualities.

7 U.S.C. § 2279(a)(6).

12. The Department of Agriculture has adopted a general definition of "socially disadvantaged farmer and rancher" as follows:

A socially disadvantaged group is defined as: A farmer or rancher who is a member of one or more of the following groups whose members have been subjected to racial or ethnic prejudice because of their identity as members of a group without regard to their individual qualities:

- African Americans
- American Indians
- Alaskan Natives
- Asians
- Hispanics
- Pacific Islanders



- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Farming Opportunities Training and Outreach Grant Program, https://www.usda.gov/sites/default/files/documents/2501_FactSheet.pdf (last visited on June 2, 2021) (attached as Exhibit 2).¹
- 13. On May 21, 2021, the United States Department of Agriculture, through the Farm Service Agency (FSA), issued a press release announcing a Notice of Funds Availability (NOFA), in which it would start making loan payments for eligible borrowers with qualifying direct farm loans, pursuant to section 1005 of the American Rescue Plan Act. See U.S. Department of Agriculture, Press Release, In Historic Move, USDA to Begin Loan Payments to Socially Disadvantaged Borrowers under American Rescue Plan Act Section 1005, available at https://bit.ly/3fAxAuB (last visited May 27, 2021) (attached as Exhibit 3). The Department of Agriculture published this notice in the Federal Register on May 26, 2021. See Notice of Funds Availability, 86 Fed. Reg. 28,329 (May 26, 2021) (attached as Exhibit 4).
- 14. The notice defines, for the purposes of eligibility for loan forgiveness, "socially disadvantaged farmer or rancher" as:
 - [A] farmer or rancher who is a member of a socially disadvantaged group whose members have been subjected to racial or ethnic prejudice because of their identity as members of a group without regard to their individual qualities, as defined by section 2501(a) of the Foot, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 (7 U.S.C. 2279(a)).

^{1.} The Department of Agriculture defines the term similarly, with minor variations, in various regulations applicable to USDA programs. See, e.g., 7 C.F.R. § 7.3 (applicable to the selection and functions of Farm Service Agency state and county committees); 7 C.F.R. § 718.2 (applicable to farm marketing quotas, acreage allotments, and production adjustment); 7 C.F.R. § 760.107(b)(1) (applicable to certain Supplemental Agricultural Disaster Assistance Programs); 7 C.F.R. § 636.3 (applicable to the Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program); 7 C.F.R. § 1410.2(b) (applicable to the Conservation Reserve Program); 7 C.F.R. § 1430.402 (applicable to the Dairy Margin Coverage Program).



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

