

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION

_____)	
)	
SID MILLER, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 4:21-cv-595-O
)	
TOM VILSACK, in his official capacity as)	
SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE,)	
)	
<i>Defendant.</i>)	
)	
_____)	

DEFENDANT’S PARTIAL ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS’ AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant, Tom Vilsack, in his official capacity as Secretary of Agriculture, answers Plaintiffs’ Second Amended Complaint as follows:

The introductory paragraphs in Plaintiffs’ Second Amended Complaint are Plaintiffs’ characterization of the case and legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Defendant denies that the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s (USDA) administration of programs for “socially disadvantaged farmers and ranchers” violates the U.S. Constitution.

Jurisdiction and Venue

1. The allegations in paragraph no. 1 represent conclusions of law to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is deemed required, Defendant denies that this Court has jurisdiction over Count I to the extent Plaintiffs challenge programs other than Section 1005 of the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA), Count II, or Count III.

2. The allegations in paragraph no. 2 represent conclusions of law to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is deemed required, Defendant admits based on Plaintiff's allegations that venue is proper.

Parties

3. Admit that Plaintiff is a farmer or rancher and that he is the Agriculture Commissioner for the State of Texas. Defendant otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the other allegations in paragraph no. 3.

4. Admit that the Plaintiff self-identifies as white. Defendant otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph no. 4.

5. Admit that the Plaintiff self-identifies as white. Defendant otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph no. 5.

6. Admit that the Plaintiff self-identifies as white. Defendant otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph no. 6.

7. Admit that the Plaintiff self-identifies as white. Defendant otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph no. 7.

8. Admit.

Factual Allegations

9. The allegations in paragraph no. 9 are Plaintiffs' characterization of Sections 1005 and 1006, and not an allegation of fact for which a response is required. To the extent a response

is deemed required, Defendant denies any characterization inconsistent with those statutory provisions.

10. The allegations in paragraph no. 10 are Plaintiffs' characterization of statutory provisions, and not an allegation of fact for which a response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Defendant admits that other federal statutes provide aid to individuals who fall within the definition of "socially disadvantaged farmer or rancher" and otherwise denies any characterization inconsistent with those statutory provisions.

11. Admit.

12. The allegations in paragraph no. 12 are Plaintiffs' characterization of a website and regulations, and not an allegation of fact for which a response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Defendant denies any characterization inconsistent with the website and regulations.

13. The allegations in paragraph no. 13 are Plaintiffs' characterization of a document, and not an allegation of fact for which a response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Defendant denies any characterization inconsistent with the document.

14. The allegations in paragraph no. 14 are Plaintiffs' characterization of a document, and not an allegation of fact for which a response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Defendant denies any characterization inconsistent with the document and otherwise admits that the Notice of Funds Availability; American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 Section 1005 Loan Payment (ARPA) states that members of socially disadvantaged groups include but are not limited to: American Indians or Alaskan Natives; Asians; Blacks or African Americans; Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders; and Hispanics or Latinos. *See* 86 FR 28329 (May 26, 2021). The Notice of Funds Availability also states that the Secretary of Agriculture will determine on a

case-by-case basis whether additional groups qualify under this definition in response to a written request with supporting explanation. *Id.*

15. Denied.

16. The allegations in paragraph no. 16 consist of legal argument and conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, admit that many ethnic groups have been subject to racial or ethnic prejudice in the United States because of their identity as members of a group without regard to their individual qualities including Irish, Italians, Germans, Jews, and eastern Europeans. Otherwise deny.

17. Admit the first sentence of paragraph no. 17. The allegation in the second sentence of paragraph no. 17 is not directed at Defendant and therefore no answer is required. To the extent an answer is deemed required, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph no. 17.

18. The statement in the first sentence of paragraph no. 18 references a Supreme Court opinion that speaks for itself, and therefore no response is required. The allegation in the second sentence of paragraph no. 18 is not directed at Defendant and therefore no answer is required. To the extent an answer is deemed required, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph no. 17. Admit the third sentence in paragraph no. 17.

19. Admit that the Plaintiff is a farmer or rancher who self-identifies as “overwhelmingly white,” “primarily Scotch-Irish,” and of “2% black ancestry.” Defendant otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph no. 19.

20. Admit that the Plaintiff is a farmer or rancher who self-identifies as white. Defendant otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph no. 20. Admit that the Plaintiff has a farm loan administered by the Farm Service Agency. Deny the remainder of the second sentence in paragraph no. 20.

21. Admit that the Plaintiff is a farmer or rancher who self-identifies as white. Defendant otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph no. 21. Admit that the Plaintiff has a farm loan administered by the Farm Service Agency. Deny the remainder of the second sentence of paragraph no. 21.

22. Admit that the Plaintiff is a farmer or rancher who self-identifies as white. Defendant otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph no. 22. Admit that the Plaintiff has a farm loan administered by the Farm Service Agency. Deny the remainder of the second sentence of paragraph no. 22.

23. Admit that the Plaintiff is a farmer or rancher who self-identifies as white. Defendant otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph no. 23. Admit that the Plaintiff has a farm loan administered by the Farm Service Agency. Deny the remainder of the second sentence of paragraph no. 23.

24. The allegations in paragraph no. 24 consist of legal argument and conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph no. 24.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.