EXHIBIT A

DEFENDANT HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

| Case 4:22-cv-00599 Document 1 CAUS | -1 Filed on 02/24/22 in TXSD Page evided and E-Filed for Rec 11/1/2021 5:36 Melisa Miller, District Cl Montgomery County, Te: Deputy Clerk, Chelsey Ly E NO. |
|---|---|
| TEXIENNE PHYSICIANS MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, PLLC | § IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF |
| V. HEALTH CARE SERVICE | § § MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS § |
| CORPORATION d/b/a BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF TEXAS | § Montgomery County - 457th Judicial District Court § JUDICIAL DISTRICT |

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COME NOW, Texienne Physicians Medical Association, PLLC ("TPMA") (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Plaintiff" or "Texienne") and files this Original Petition against Health Care Service Corporation d/b/a Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas (hereinafter referred to as "BCBS" or "Defendant") and in support thereof shows as follows:

I. PARTIES

1. Texienne Physicians Medical Association, PLLC ("TPMA") is a Texas professional limited liability company with principal offices at 9303 Pinecroft Dr., Ste 280, Montgomery County, TX.

2. Defendant, Health Care Service Corporation d/b/a Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business at 300 East Randolph, Chicago, Illinois 60601. It does not maintain an agent in the State of Texas for the service of process and therefore may be served via the Texas Secretary of State with service forwarded to 300 East Randolph, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter because the amount in controversy exceeds its minimum jurisdictional requirements. Venue is proper under Section 15.002 of the

Case 4:22-cv-00599 Document 1-1 Filed on 02/24/22 in TXSD Page 3 of 6

Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in Montgomery County, Texas and contractual obligations of both Plaintiff and Defendant was to be performed in substantial part in Montgomery County. This Court has general and specific personal jurisdiction over BCBS because it is domiciled in Texas. Venue is proper under ERISA as BCBS' breach of its obligation to pay TPMA occurred in Montgomery County, Texas.

4. Plaintiffs request that the Court order a Level 2 Discovery Control Plan.

5. Pursuant to Rule 47 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs seek damages within the jurisdictional limits of this Court, including monetary relief between \$250,000 and \$1,000,000.

IV. FACTS

6. TPMA is a large physician group practice with numerous offices in Texas. TPMA began operations as a Physician Group in late 2016. From inception, TPMA provided services to patients insured by BCBS and obtained assignments of these insurance benefits from those patients. TPMA therefore has standing to assert the right of those patients to payments under those policies. TPMA also provided services to patients insured by employee health benefit plans administered by BCBS under contract with the sponsor of those plans. TPMA obtained assignments of benefits from those patients as well and therefore has standing to assert the right of those plans. TPMA obtained assignments to payments under the various employee health benefit plans against the plans and, where applicable, against BCBS.

7. TPMA has fully complied with its obligations to receive payment from BCBS and timely submitted clean claims for reimbursement by BCBS. However, BCBS has failed to pay TPMA for clean claims in excess of \$350,00.00. Certain of these claims were for in-network services provided to BCBS' subscribers.

V. CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT

Breach of Contract

8. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth here.

9. BCBS was contractually obligated to pay Plaintiff for the healthcare services provided to BCBS' subscribers.

10. Plaintiff fully performed under the contracts.

11. BCBS materially breached its statutory and contractual obligations by improperly denying Plaintiffs' "clean claims" for covered medical services.

12. For in-network claims, BCBS was also statutorily obligated to pay the "clean claims" submitted by Plaintiff pursuant to § 843.342 of the Texas Insurance Code.

13. Because BCBS failed to timely pay Plaintiff's claims, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory penalties and interest under Texas Insurance Code § 843.336, *et seq.*

14. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a result of BCBS' breaches and is entitled to recover it reasonable and necessary attorney's fees incurred to prosecute this matter.

Liability under ERISA

15. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth here.

16. BCBS also administered one or more health plans subject to the U.S. Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"). Under ERISA § 502(a)(1)(B) (29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B)), a health plan participant or beneficiary may bring a civil action to recover benefits due under the terms of the plan and to enforce rights under the terms of the plan.

17. Plaintiff obtained assignments of benefits from patients insured by BCBS and TPMA may sue derivatively to enforce the benefits due under each insured's plan.

18. For in-network claims under policies or plans governed by ERISA, BCBS failed to properly pay the benefits due under the terms of the respective plans. The Physician Group 3

Case 4:22-cv-00599 Document 1-1 Filed on 02/24/22 in TXSD Page 5 of 6

Agreement and the Provider Agreement govern the claims submitted to BCBS for services provided to ERISA beneficiaries. BCBS was contractually obligated to pay benefits at a specified reimbursement rate for the reasonable and customary charges, as set forth in each member's plan and the Provider and Physician Group Agreements.

19. BCBS failed to administer the plan for the benefit of the plan beneficiaries and is directly liable to pay the claims.

20. BCBS failed to properly adjudicate the claims and to provide proper written notice of how it adjudicated the claims. Therefore, all administrative remedies are deemed to be exhausted.

21. Because BCBS failed to meet its duties under ERISA, the Court may award TPMA attorney fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 1132(g)(1).

VI. DAMAGES

22. Each of the unlawful acts alleged above has proximately caused damages to Plaintiff. Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer in the future, actual damages, direct and consequential, including without limitation lost profits in the past and in the future, and damage to goodwill.

VII. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

23. All conditions precedent to Plaintiff's recovery have been performed or have occurred under contract and under ERISA.

IX. PRAYER

24. Plaintiff prays that BCBS be cited to appear and answer herein, and that upon final trial, the Plaintiff recover the following from the Defendant:

• All actual damages suffered by Plaintiff, an amount which is excess of the minimum jurisdictional limit of the Court;

4

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.