

No. \_\_\_\_\_

---

In the Supreme Court of Texas

---

**In re SPACE EXPLORATION TECHNOLOGIES CORP. and  
LAUREN KRUEGER,  
Relators.**

---

Original Proceeding from the 444th District Court  
Cameron County, Texas, Trial Court Cause No. 2020-DCL-03939,  
Honorable David A. Sanchez, Presiding  
Following denial of mandamus relief by the  
Thirteenth Court of Appeals at Corpus Christi, No. 13-24-00042-CV

---

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

---

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
William R. Peterson  
State Bar No. 24065901  
william.peterson@morganlewis.com  
Michelle D. Pector  
State Bar No. 24027726  
michelle.pector@morganlewis.com  
Jared Wilkerson  
State Bar No. 24084096  
jared.wilkerson@morganlewis.com  
1000 Louisiana, Suite 4000  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(713) 890-5000  
(713) 890-5001 (Fax)

ROERIG, OLIVEIRA & FISHER LLP  
D. Alan Erwin  
State Bar No. 06653020  
10225 N. 10th Street  
McAllen, Texas 78504  
(956) 393-6300  
aerwin@roflp.com

*Counsel for Relator Lauren Elizabeth  
Krueger*

ROERIG, OLIVEIRA & FISHER LLP  
David Oliveira  
State Bar No. 15254675  
10225 N. 10th Street  
McAllen, Texas 78504  
(956) 393-6300  
doliveira@roflp.com

*Counsel for Relator Space Exploration  
Technologies Corp.*

## IDENTITIES OF PARTIES AND COUNSEL

The following constitutes a list of all parties to the cause below and the names and addresses of all counsel:

**Relators:** Space Exploration Technologies Corp. and Lauren Krueger

**Counsel for Space Exploration Technologies Corp.:** William R. Peterson  
Michelle D. Pector  
Jared Wilkerson  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 4000  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(713) 890-5000  
william.peterson@morganlewis.com  
michelle.pector@morganlewis.com  
jared.wilkerson@morganlewis.com

David Oliveira  
ROERIG, OLIVEIRA & FISHER LLP  
10225 N. 10th Street  
McAllen, TX 78504  
(956) 393-6300  
doliveira@rofllp.com

**Counsel for Lauren Krueger:** D. Alan Erwin  
ROERIG, OLIVEIRA & FISHER LLP  
10225 N. 10th Street  
McAllen, TX 78504  
(956) 393-6300  
aerwin@rofllp.com

**Respondent:**

The Honorable David A. Sanchez  
Cameron County Courthouse  
974 E. Harrison St.  
Judicial Building, First Floor  
Brownsville, Texas 78520  
(956) 547-7034

**Real Parties in Interest:**

Jose Ruiz, Humberto Garcia, and Hector Garcia Jr.

**Counsel for Jose Ruiz and Humberto Garcia:**

Brandy Wingate Voss  
208 W. Cano St.  
Edinburg, Texas 78539  
(956) 688-9033 main  
brandy@brandyvosslaw.com

Michael Cowen  
COWEN RODRIGUEZ PEACOCK  
6243 IH-10 West, Suite 801  
San Antonio, Texas 78201  
(210) 941-1301  
efilings@cowenlaw.com

**Counsel for Hector Garcia, Jr.:**

Sarah Durham  
BLIZZARD & ZIMMERMAN ATTORNEYS  
1174 North 3rd Street  
Abilene, Texas 79601  
sarah@blizzardlawfirm.com

Michael H. Garatoni  
THE DASPIT LAW FIRM  
9601 McAllister Freeway, Suite 916  
San Antonio, Texas 78216  
(888) 273-1045  
e-service@daspitlaw.com

## Table of Contents

Identities of Parties And Counsel.....	i
Table of Contents .....	viii
Table of Authorities.....	viii
Statement of the Case.....	viii
Statement of Jurisdiction.....	ix
Issues Presented .....	x
Introduction .....	1
Statement of Facts .....	3
Standard for Mandamus .....	10
Argument.....	11
I. After Opposing a New Trial, Relators Were Not Required to “Advise” the Trial Court or Request a New Order Before Seeking Mandamus.....	11
A. This Court—and the Courts of Appeals—Regularly Grant Mandamus to Vacate Erroneous New Trial Orders in These Circumstances. ....	11
B. The Court of Appeals Misapplied the Request-and-Refusal Principle.....	13
C. The Trial Court Was Fully Advised of the Deficiencies in Its Order.....	15
D. The Decision Below Creates Procedural Confusion.....	16
II. The Order Granting a New Trial Is Facially Invalid. ....	17
III. No Ground for a New Trial Exists.....	18

A.	Closing Argument Was Proper. ....	19
1.	SpaceX’s closing argument was grounded in the evidence. ....	19
2.	<i>Standard Fire Insurance Co. v. Reese</i> controls and confirms that SpaceX’s closing arguments were proper.....	21
B.	Even If SpaceX’s Argument Were Improper, It Did Not Rise to the High Level of “Incurable.”.....	22
	Conclusion & Prayer for Relief .....	23
	Rule 52.3(j) Certification.....	25
	Certificate of Compliance.....	26
	Certificate of Service .....	27

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.