

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION**

SolutionInc Limited, Plaintiff, v. Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company; Aruba Networks, Inc., Defendants.	Case No. 1:19-CV-1181 Patent Case Jury Trial Demanded
--	---

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff SolutionInc Limited ("SolutionInc"), through its attorneys, complains of Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company and Aruba Networks, Inc. (collectively "HPE" or "Defendant"), and alleges the following:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff SolutionInc Limited is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Canada that maintains its principal place of business at 5692 Bloomfield St, Halifax, NS B3K 1T2, Canada.
2. Defendant Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware that maintains its principle place of business at 3000 Hanover St., Palo Alto, California, 94304.
3. Defendant Aruba Networks, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware that maintains its principal place of business at 3333 Scott Blvd., Santa Clara, California, 95054. It is a wholly owned subsidiary of Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company.

4. HPE maintains an established place of business at 14231 Tandem Blvd, Austin, Texas 78728.

JURISDICTION

5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

6. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

8. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and has an established place of business in this District. In addition, SolutionInc has suffered harm in this district.

PATENT-IN-SUIT

9. SolutionInc is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 7,526,538 (the "'538 Patent"); (the "Patent-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, SolutionInc possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

The '538 Patent

10. The '538 Patent is entitled "System using server to provide mobile computer accessing to a different network without reconfiguring the mobile computer," and issued

4/28/2009. The application leading to the '538 Patent was filed on 7/8/2005. A true and correct copy of the '538 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

11. The '538 Patent is valid and enforceable.

COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '538 PATENT

12. SolutionInc incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

13. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '538 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least HPE's Aruba Enterprise Network Solutions (among the "Exemplary HPE Products") that infringe at least exemplary claims 1 of the '538 Patent (the "Exemplary '538 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '538 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

14. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '538 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

15. The service of this Complaint upon Defendant constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

16. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '538 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary HPE Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '538 Patent. Thus, on

information and belief, Defendant is contributing to and/or inducing the infringement of the '538 Patent.

17. **Induced Infringement.** Defendant actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues to induce infringement of the '538 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary HPE Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '538 Patent.

18. **Contributory Infringement.** Defendant actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues materially contribute to their own customers' infringement of the '538 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary HPE Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '538 Patent. Moreover, the Exemplary HPE Products are not a staple article of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use.

19. Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '538 Patent Claims to the Exemplary HPE Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary HPE Products practice the technology claimed by the '538 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary HPE Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '538 Patent Claims.

20. SolutionInc therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 2.

21. SolutionInc is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

JURY DEMAND

22. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, SolutionInc respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, SolutionInc respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '538 Patent is valid and enforceable;
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or induced infringement of one or more claims of the '538 Patent;
- C. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- D. A judgment that awards SolutionInc all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's past infringement, and any continuing or future infringement of the Patent-in-Suit, up until the date such judgment is entered, including pre- or post-judgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and, if necessary, to adequately compensate SolutionInc for Defendant's infringement, an accounting:
 - i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that SolutionInc be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
 - ii. that SolutionInc be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
 - iii. that SolutionInc be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 3, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

Isaac Rabicoff
Rabicoff Law LLC
73 W Monroe St
Chicago, IL 60603

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.