

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

IN RE CASSAVA SCIENCES, INC.
SECURITIES LITIGATION

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Master File No. 1:21-cv-00751-DAE

CLASS ACTION

This Document Relates To:

ALL ACTIONS.

**MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED
COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

Claudia Wilson Frost
State Bar No. 21671300
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
609 Main Street, 40th Floor
Houston, Texas 77002-3106
Telephone: (713) 658-6460
Facsimile: 713.658.6401
cfrost@orrick.com

James N. Kramer (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Alexander Talarides (admitted *pro hac vice*)
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
The Orrick Building
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, California 94105-2669
Telephone: (415) 773-5700
Facsimile: 415.773.5759
jkramer@orrick.com
atarides@orrick.com

William J. Foley (admitted *pro hac vice*)
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
51 West 52nd Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 506-5000
Facsimile: 212.506.5151
wfoley@orrick.com

Attorneys for Defendants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	3
A. The Parties	3
B. The Development Of Simufilam.....	3
C. The Short-Seller Attacks.....	6
D. Government Inquiries	7
E. Media Reporting Concerning The Citizen Petitions	8
F. Journal Findings And Ongoing CUNY Investigation.....	8
G. The Complaint	10
III. ARGUMENT	10
A. The Rigorous Pleading Standards Governing This Securities Fraud Action.....	10
B. The Complaint Is A Classic Example Of Improper Puzzle Pleading	12
C. Plaintiffs Have Not Alleged An Actionable Misstatement Or Omission	13
1. There Is No Duty To Disclose Uncharged, Unadjudicated Wrongdoing, And The PSLRA Prohibits Plaintiffs From Relying On Allegations About <i>Other</i> Allegations And Speculative “Expert” Opinions To Plead Securities Fraud	13
a. Allegedly Manipulated Data in Journal Submissions.....	15
b. Alleged “Pattern” Of Data Manipulation.....	17
c. Allegedly Manipulated Phase 2 Results	18
2. Several Of The Challenged Statements Are Undisputedly True And Not In Any Way False Or Misleading	19
D. Plaintiffs Have Failed To Allege Particularized Facts Demonstrating A Strong Inference Of Scienter	22
1. Plaintiffs Have Not Alleged Specific Facts Creating A Strong Inference Of Conscious Misbehavior Or Recklessness	22
2. Plaintiffs’ Allegations Regarding Motive Do Not Support An Inference Of Scienter	24
3. Generalized And Group Pleading Provides No Basis For Scienter And Fails To Meet The Fifth Circuit’s Rigorous Requirements For Pleading Fraud	27
E. The Complaint Does Not Adequately Allege Loss Causation.....	29

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
1. The Alleged “Corrective Disclosures” Are Merely Accusations; They Do Not Reveal Any “Pertinent Truth” Regarding Defendants’ Prior Statements.	30
2. New Commentary Or Detail On Already Public Information Is Not “Corrective”	34
F. Plaintiffs Have Failed To State A Section 20(a) Violation.....	35
IV. CONCLUSION.....	35

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>ABC Arbitrage Pls. Grp. v. Tchuruk</i> , 291 F.3d 336 (5th Cir. 2002)	11
<i>Abrams v. Baker Hughes Inc.</i> , 292 F.3d 424 (5th Cir. 2002)	22, 25, 26, 28
<i>In re Alamosa Holdings, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 382 F. Supp. 2d 832 (N.D. Tex. 2005)	12, 13
<i>In re All Am. Pipeline, LP Sec. Litig.</i> , 245 F. Supp. 3d 870 (S.D. Tex. 2017)	27, 35
<i>In re AOL Time Warner, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 503 F. Supp. 2d 666 (S.D.N.Y. 2007).....	35
<i>Applestein v. Medivation, Inc.</i> , 561 F. App'x 598 (9th Cir. 2014)	15
<i>Archdiocese of Milwaukee Supporting Fund, Inc. v. Halliburton Co.</i> , 597 F.3d 330 (5th Cir. 2010), <i>rev'd on other grounds</i> , 563 U.S. 804 (2011).....	29, 30, 35
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	10
<i>Carlton v. Cannon</i> , 184 F. Supp. 3d 428 (S.D. Tex. 2016)	27
<i>Catogas v. Cyberonics, Inc.</i> , 292 F. App'x 311 (5th Cir. 2008)	30, 32, 34, 35
<i>In re Dell, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 591 F. Supp. 2d 877 (W.D. Tex. 2008).....	24, 32
<i>Dura Pharms., Inc. v. Broudo</i> , 544 U.S. 336 (2005).....	29, 31, 35
<i>Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Whole Foods Mkt., Inc.</i> , 905 F.3d 892 (5th Cir. 2018)	30, 34
<i>Ernst & Ernst v. Hochfelder</i> , 425 U.S. 185 (1976).....	11
<i>Fin. Acquisition Partners LP v. Blackwell</i> , 440 F.3d 278 (5th Cir. 2006)	14, 23, 24, 28

<i>Flaherty & Crumrine Preferred Income Fund, Inc. v. TXU Corp.</i> , 565 F.3d 200 (5th Cir. 2009)	11, 25, 26
<i>Heinze v. Tesco Corp.</i> , 971 F.3d 475 (5th Cir. 2020)	11
<i>Ind. Elec. Workers' Pension Tr. Fund IBEW v. Shaw Grp., Inc.</i> , 537 F.3d 527 (5th Cir. 2008)	11, 27
<i>In re Inv. Tech. Grp., Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 251 F. Supp. 3d 596 (S.D.N.Y. 2017).....	20
<i>Janbay v. Canadian Solar, Inc.</i> , 2012 WL 1080306 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 30, 2012)	29, 35
<i>In re KBR, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 2018 WL 4208681 (S.D. Tex. Aug. 31, 2018)	14, 17, 18, 21
<i>In re Key Energy Services, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 166 F. Supp. 3d 822 (S.D. Tex. 2016)	14, 17
<i>Lentell v. Merrill Lynch & Co.</i> , 396 F.3d 161 (2d Cir. 2005).....	29
<i>In re Lions Gate Ent. Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> , 165 F. Supp. 3d 1 (S.D.N.Y. 2016).....	21
<i>Local 731 I.B. of T. Excavators & Pavers Pension Tr. Fund v. Diodes, Inc.</i> , 810 F.3d 951 (5th Cir. 2016)	22, 27
<i>Lormand v. US Unwired, Inc.</i> , 565 F.3d 228 (5th Cir. 2009)	29
<i>Metzler Inv. GMBH v. Corinthian Colls., Inc.</i> , 540 F.3d 1049 (9th Cir. 2008)	31
<i>Meyer v. Greene</i> , 710 F. 3d 1189 (11th Cir. 2013)	32, 33
<i>In re Molycorp, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 2015 WL 1540523 (D. Colo. Mar. 31, 2015)	15
<i>Mun. Emps.' Ret. Sys. of Michigan v. Pier 1 Imps., Inc.</i> , 935 F.3d 424 (5th Cir. 2019)	24
<i>Nat'l Junior Baseball League v. Pharmanet Dev. Grp. Inc.</i> , 720 F. Supp. 2d 517 (D.N.J. 2010)	35

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.