

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

HITEL TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Plaintiff

v.

NIKE, INC.,

Defendant

Case No. 6:20-cv-00591

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT**

Now comes, Plaintiff Hitel Technologies LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Hitel”), by and through undersigned counsel, and respectfully alleges, states, and prays as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is an action for patent infringement under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 United States Code (“U.S.C.”) to prevent and enjoin Defendant Nike, Inc. (hereinafter, “Defendant”), from infringing and profiting, in an illegal and unauthorized manner, and without authorization and/or consent from Plaintiff from U.S. Patent Nos. 7,689,617 (hereinafter, the “617 Patent” or “Patent-in-Suit”), which is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and are incorporated herein by reference, and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271, and to recover damages, attorney’s fees, and costs.

**THE PARTIES**

2. Plaintiff is a Texas limited liability company with its principal place of business at 2108 Dallas Pkwy, Suite 214-1051, Plano, TX 75093.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized under the laws of Oregon, having a principal place of business at 1 Bowerman Dr., c/o Meghan Cobb, SC-2, Beaverton, OR 97005-6453. Upon information and belief, Defendant may be served with process c/o: United Agent Group Inc., 5444 Westheimer #1000, Houston, TX 77056.

4. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant operates the website, [www.nike.com](http://www.nike.com), which is in the business of providing different products for women, men, kids and gifts such as clothing, shoes and various accessories. Upon information and belief, Defendant derives a portion of its revenue from sales and distribution via transactions initiated from its Internet website located at [www.nike.com](http://www.nike.com), and its incorporated and/or related systems (collectively, the “Nike Website”). Also, as identified on the Nike Website, Defendant also operates an office location in this district. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, at all times relevant hereto, Defendant has done and continues to do business in this judicial district, including, but not limited to, providing products/services to customers located in this judicial district by way of the Nike Website.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This is an action for patent infringement in violation of the Patent Act of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§1 *et seq.*

6. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant by virtue of its systematic and continuous contacts with this jurisdiction and its residence in this

District, as well as because of the injury to Plaintiff, and the cause of action Plaintiff has risen in this District, as alleged herein.

8. Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in the forum state and in this judicial District; and (iii) being incorporated in this District.

9. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1400(b) because Defendant resides in this District under the Supreme Court's opinion in *TC Heartland v. Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC*, 137 S. Ct. 1514 (2017) through its incorporation, and regular and established place of business in this District.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

10. On March 30, 2010, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally issued the '617 Patent, entitled "DYNAMIC LEARNING FOR NAVIGATION SYSTEMS" after a full and fair examination. The '617 Patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated herein as if fully rewritten.

11. Plaintiff is presently the owner of the '617 Patent, having received all right, title and interest in and to the '617 Patent from the previous assignee of record. Plaintiff possesses all rights of recovery under the '617 Patent, including the exclusive right to recover for past infringement.

12. The invention claimed in the '617 Patent discloses a "method performed in a system involves, at a node within the system, receiving an input from a user,

determining that the input contains an unknown word, presenting, at least one response to the user, and based upon at least one additional input from the user, learning one or more associations for the unknown word.” See **Exhibit A**, Abstract.

13. The ‘617 Patent contains twenty (20) total claims with three (3) claims being independent claims.

14. For example, Claim 1 of the ‘617 Patent states:

1. A method performed in a system comprising user navigable nodes, documents attached to the user navigable nodes, keywords associated with the user navigable nodes and having search and navigation capability that allows users to move from node to node via links between the nodes and make selections when at individual nodes, the method comprising, at a user navigable node within the system:

receiving an input from a user;

determining that the input contains an unknown word;

subsequent to the determining, navigating through the system to a current node from the user navigable node based upon at least one additional input from the user that was provided while at the user navigable node;

presenting at least one response to the user based upon the documents attached to the current node resulting from the navigation; and

following presentation of the at least one response, learning one or more associations between the unknown word and one or more keywords from the documents attached to the current node, such that a direct relationship is formed between the unknown word and the one or more keywords.

See **Exhibit A**.

15. Defendant provides a shopping website having all the elements and components recited in at least one claim of the '617 Patent. More particularly, Defendant commercializes, *inter alia*, its website using the method as recited in at least Claim 1 of the '617 Patent.

### **DEFENDANT'S WEBSITE**

16. During the enforceability period of the Patent-in-Suit, Defendant offers its Nike Website (hereinafter, also referred to as the "Accused Instrumentality"), which offers an interface through which a user can interface and utilize input searching. A non-limiting and exemplary claim chart comparing the Accused Instrumentality to the exemplary Claim 1 of the '617 Patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and is incorporated herein as if fully rewritten.

17. As recited in Claim 1 of the '617 Patent, the Accused Instrumentality practices a method performed in a system comprising user navigable nodes (e.g., Armani.com has different product categories (nodes) such as Woman, Man, Kids, Gifts, etc. for selection by a user), documents (e.g., products webpages) attached to the user navigable nodes (e.g., Armani.com has different products categories (nodes) such as Woman, Man, Kids, Gifts, etc. for selection by a user), keywords (e.g., products webpages are tagged using keywords such as Outwear, etc.) associated with the user navigable nodes (e.g., Armani.com has different products categories (nodes) such as Woman, Man, Kids, Gifts, etc. for selection by a user), and having search (e.g., search box) and navigation capability that allows users to move from node to node via links between the nodes (e.g., from the home page node, users can go to nodes such as "Woman", "Man", "Kids", "Gifts", etc.; and then within a node, such as Man, Armani.com contains nodes of

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.