

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

SONRAI MEMORY LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

v.

DELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:21-cv-0036

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
AGAINST DELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, in which Plaintiff Sonrai Memory Limited (“Plaintiff” or “Sonrai”) makes the following allegations against Defendant Dell Technologies, Inc. (“Defendant” or “Dell”):

INTRODUCTION

1. This complaint arises from Defendant’s unlawful infringement of United States patents owned by Plaintiff which relate to improvements in charge pump circuits, United States Patent No. 6,724,241 (“241 Patent”), portable memory devices with both volatile and non-volatile memory, United States Patent No. 6,920,527 (“527 Patent”), and regenerative clock repeating, United States Patent No. 7,436,232 (“232 Patent”) (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”).

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Sonrai Memory Limited is a limited liability company organized and existing under the law of Ireland, with its principal place of business at The Hyde Building, Suite

23, The Park, Carrickmines, Dublin 18, Ireland. Sonrai is the sole owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in the Asserted Patents.

3. On information and belief, Defendant Dell Technologies, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at One Dell Way, Round Rock, Texas 78682.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Dell in this action because Dell has committed acts within this District giving rise to this action and has established minimum contacts with this forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Dell would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Dell, directly and through subsidiaries or intermediaries, has committed and continues to commit acts of infringement in this District by, among other things, importing, offering to sell, and selling products that infringe the Asserted Patents.

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). Defendant is registered to do business in Texas, and on information and belief has transacted business in this District by, among other things, making, using, offering to sell, selling, and importing products that infringe the Asserted Patents. Defendant has a regular and established place of business in this District, including its headquarters at One Dell Way, Round Rock, Texas 78682.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,724,241

7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

8. Plaintiff owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 6,724,241, entitled “Variable Charge Pump Circuit with Dynamic Load.” The ’241 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 20, 2004. A true and correct copy of the ’241 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.

9. On information and belief, Dell makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products and services, including without limitation laptops, desktop computers, and servers with SanDisk/Toshiba 64L 3D NAND flash chips, for example, Dell/EMC XPS 15 2-in-1 9575 (“Accused Products”), that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the ’241 Patent.

10. Dell also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of one or more claims of the ’241 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through at least the filing and service of this Complaint, Dell has had knowledge of the ’241 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the ’241 Patent, Dell continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the ’241 Patent. Dell does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Dell also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the ’241 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its

customers to infringe the '241 Patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

11. Dell has also infringed, and continues to infringe, one or more claims of the '241 Patent by selling, offering for sale, or importing into the United States, the Accused Products, knowing that the Accused Products constitute a material part of the inventions claimed in the '241 Patent, are especially made or adapted to infringe the '241 Patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for non-infringing use. Dell has been, and currently is, contributorily infringing the '241 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(c) and (f).

12. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of one or more claims of the '241 Patent. A claim chart comparing exemplary independent claim 1 of the '241 Patent to representative Accused Products is attached as Exhibit 2.

13. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Dell has injured Plaintiff and is liable for infringement of the '241 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

14. As a result of Dell's infringement of the '241 Patent, Plaintiff is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Dell's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Dell, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

15. Dell's infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure Plaintiff, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '241 Patent, and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offers for sale that come within the scope of the patent claims.

COUNT II

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,920,527

16. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

17. Plaintiff owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 6,920,527, entitled “Portable RAM drive.” The ’527 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on July 19, 2005. A true and correct copy of the ’527 Patent is attached as Exhibit 3.

18. On information and belief, Dell makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products and services, including without limitation laptops, desktop computers, and servers with SSDs including Silicon Motion SSD Controllers, including without limitation the Dell/EMC Vostro 15 5568 P62F (“Accused Products”), that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the ’527 Patent.

19. Dell also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of one or more claims of the ’527 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through at least the filing and service of this Complaint, Dell has had knowledge of the ’527 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the ’527 Patent, Dell continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the ’527 Patent. Dell does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Dell also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the ’527 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.