IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

M4siz Limited

Plaintiff, Civil Action No. 6:21-00747

v. The Honorable Alan D. Albright

Walgreen Co. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant

AMENDED (CORRECTED) COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Plaintiff M4siz Limited ("M4siz" or "Plaintiff"), files this Complaint for Patent Infringement against Walgreen Co. ("Walgreens" or "Defendant"), and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is a Private Limited Company registered in England with its principal place of business located at Hallmark House, 25 Downham Rd, Ramsden Heath, Billericay CM11 1PU, United Kingdom.
- 2. On information and belief, Walgreen Co. is an Illinois corporation with a principal address of 200 Wilmot Road, Deerfield, Illinois, 60015 USA, and has regular and established places of business throughout this District, including at least at 4100 Bosque Boulevard, Waco, TX 76710. Defendant is registered to do business in Texas and has may be served via its registered agent at Prentice Hall Corporation System, located at 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, TX 78701-3218 USA.



3. On information and belief, Defendant directly and/or indirectly develops, designs, manufactures, distributes, markets, offers to sell and/or sells infringing products and services in the United States, including in the Western District of Texas, and otherwise directs infringing activities to this District in connection with its products and services.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This civil action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, including without limitation 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285 based on Defendant's unauthorized commercial manufacture, use, importation, offer for sale, and sale of the Accused Products in the United States. This is a patent infringement lawsuit over which this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under, *inter alia*, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, and 1338(a).
- 5. This United States District Court for the Western District of Texas has general and specific personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, directly or through intermediaries, Defendant has committed acts within the District giving rise to this action and are present in and transact and conduct business in and with residents of this District and the State of Texas.
- 6. Plaintiff's causes of action arise, at least in part, from Defendant's contacts with and activities in this District and the State of Texas.
- 7. Defendant has committed acts of infringing the patent-in-suit within this District and the State of Texas by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into this District and elsewhere in the State of Texas, products claimed by the patent-in-suit, including without limitation products made by practicing the claimed methods of the patent-in-suit. Defendant, directly and through intermediaries, makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, imports, ships, distributes, advertises, promotes, and/or otherwise commercializes such infringing products into this District and the State of Texas. Defendant regularly conducts and solicits business in, engages in other



persistent courses of conduct in, and/or derives substantial revenue from goods and services provided to residents of this District and the State of Texas.

- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 17.041 et seq. Personal jurisdiction exists over Defendant because Defendant has minimum contacts with this forum as a result of business regularly conducted within the State of Texas and within this district, and, on information and belief, specifically as a result of, at least, committing the tort of patent infringement within Texas and this District. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, in part, because Defendant does continuous and systematic business in this District, including by providing infringing products and services to the residents of the Western District of Texas that Defendant knew would be used within this District, and by soliciting business from the residents of the Western District of Texas. For example, Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court because, *inter alia*, Defendant has regular and established places of business throughout this District, including at least at 4100 Bosque Boulevard, Waco, TX 76710, and directly and through agents regularly does, solicits, and transacts business in the Western District of Texas. Also, Defendant has hired and is hiring within this District for positions that, on information and belief, relate to infringement of the patent-in-suit. Accordingly, this Court's jurisdiction over the Defendant comports with the constitutional standards of fair play and substantial justice and arises directly from the Defendant's purposeful minimum contacts with the State of Texas.
- 9. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, because in addition to Defendant's own online website and advertising with this District, Defendant has also made its products available within this judicial district and advertised to residents within the District to hire employees to be located in this District.



- 10. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interests and costs.
- 11. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) based on information set forth herein, which is hereby repeated and incorporated by reference. Further, upon information and belief, Defendant has committed or induced acts of infringement, and/or advertise, market, sell, and/or offer to sell products, including infringing products, in this District. In addition, and without limitation, Defendant has regular and established places of business throughout this District, including at least at 4100 Bosque Boulevard, Waco, TX 76710.

THE PATENT-IN-SUIT

- 12. On October 11, 2016, United States Patent No. 6,526,402 ("the '402 patent"), entitled "Searching procedures" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). On May 14, 2007, the '402 patent was duly and lawfully conveyed to M4siz Limited, including all rights, title, and interest in and to the invention of the '402 patent and its underlying patent applications, including the right to sue and recover for patent infringements, by written assignments recorded on May 28, 2010 in the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The '402 patent claims patent-eligible subject matter and is valid and enforceable. M4siz is the exclusive owner by assignment of all rights, title, and interest in the '402 Patent, including the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages, and including the right to sue and recover all past, present, and future damages for infringement of the '402 Patent. Defendant is not licensed to the '402 Patent, either expressly or implicitly, nor do they enjoy or benefit from any rights in or to the '402 patent whatsoever. A true and correct copy of the '402 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
 - 13. The '402 patent is referred to herein as the "patent-in-suit."



14. Plaintiff M4siz is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the patent-in-suit. The patent-in-suit is presumed valid under 35 U.S.C. § 282.

ACCUSED INSTRUMENTALITIES

15. The term "Accused Instrumentalities" or "Accused Products" refers to, by way of example and without limitation, Walgreens-branded websites (see, e.g., https://www.walgreens.com).

COUNT I PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '402 PATENT

- 16. Plaintiff restates and realleges the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 17. Defendant has, under 35 U.S.C. §271(a), directly infringed, and continues to directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims, including without limitation at least claim 1 of the '402 patent, by making, using, testing, selling, offering for sale and/or importing into the United States Defendant's Accused Products.
- 18. Defendant also indirectly infringes the '402 patent by actively inducing the direct infringement by third parties under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Defendant has knowingly and intentionally actively aided, abetted and induced others to directly infringe at least one claim of the '402 patent (such as its customers in this District and throughout the United States). Defendant continues to induce infringement of the '402 patent. Defendant has contributorily infringed and is a contributory infringer because, with knowledge of the '402 patent, it supplies a material part of an infringing method and/or system, where the material part is not a staple article of commerce, and is incapable of substantial noninfringing use. Defendant contributes to its customers' infringement because, with knowledge of the '402 patent, Defendant supplies the technology that allows its customers to infringe the patent.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

