

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

China Telecom (Americas) Corporation,

Petitioner,

v.

Federal Communications Commission and
United States of America,

Respondents.

Case No. 21-1233

**EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY ORDER REVOKING AND
TERMINATING CHINA TELECOM (AMERICAS) CORPORATION'S
SECTION 214 AUTHORIZATIONS**

Andrew D. Lipman
Russell M. Blau
Raechel Keay Kummer
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
T: (202) 739-3000
F: (202) 739-3001
andrew.lipman@morganlewis.com
russell.blau@morganlewis.com
raechel.kummer@morganlewis.com

*Counsel for China Telecom (Americas)
Corporation*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	2
	A. ChinaTelAmericas’ Section 214 Authorizations	2
	B. The Revocation Proceedings	4
	C. The Revocation Order	7
II.	STANDARD.....	8
III.	ARGUMENT	9
	A. ChinaTelAmericas Is Likely to Prevail on the Merits	9
	1. The Commission ignored precedent.....	9
	2. The hearing denial violated Due Process.....	12
	3. The Commission denied ChinaTelAmericas an Opportunity to cure	18
	B. ChinaTelAmericas Will Be Irreparably Harmed Absent a Stay	21
	C. No Harm Results from a Stay	24
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Air N. Am. v. DOT</i> , 937 F.2d 1427 (9th Cir. 1991)	20, 21
<i>Blackwelder Furniture Co. of Statesville, Inc. v. Seilig Mfg. Co., Inc.</i> , 550 F.2d 189 (4th Cir. 1977)	21
<i>Bus. Options, Inc.</i> , 18 FCC Rcd. 6881 (2003).....	10
<i>Cal. Pub. Broad. Forum v. FCC</i> , 752 F.2d 670 (D.C. Cir. 1985).....	18
<i>Citizens Comm. to Save WEFM v. FCC</i> , 506 F.2d 246 (D.C. Cir. 1973)	17
<i>Coosemans Specialties, Inc. v. Dep’t of Agric.</i> , 482 F.3d 560 (D.C. Cir. 2007).....	19
<i>Davenport v. Int’l Bhd. of Teamsters</i> , 166 F.3d 356 (D.C. Cir. 1999).....	9
<i>Goldberg v. Kelly</i> , 397 U.S. 254 (1970).....	15, 16
<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i> , 542 U.S. 507 (2004).....	16
<i>Hutto Stockyard, Inc. v. Dep’t of Agric.</i> , 903 F.2d 299 (4th Cir. 1990)	19, 20
<i>Judicial Watch, Inc. v. DHS</i> , 514 F. Supp. 2d 7 (D.D.C. 2007).....	25
<i>Kirk v. Comm’r of Soc. Sec. Admin.</i> , 987 F.3d 314 (4th Cir. 2021)	14, 15
<i>Kurtis J. Kintzel et al.</i> , 22 FCC Rcd. 17197 (2007).....	10

LDC Telecomms., Inc.,
 File No.: ITC-214-20080523-00238, 31 FCC Rcd. 7228 (EB 2016),..... 11

Mathews v. Eldridge,
 424 U.S. 319 (1976)..... 13, 14

Miss Am. Org v. Mattel, Inc.,
 945 F.2d 536 (2d Cir. 1991) 21

Nader v. Blair,
 549 F.3d 953 (4th Cir. 2008) 12

Nader v. FAA,
 440 F.2d 292 (D.C. Cir. 1971)..... 21

Nat’l Lifeline Ass’n v. Fed. Commc’ns Comm’n,
 No. 18-1026 & No. 18-1080, 2018 WL 4154794 (D.C. Cir. Aug. 10, 2018).... 21

Nken v. Holder,
 556 U.S. 418 (2009)..... 8, 24

NOS Commc’ns, Inc.,
 18 FCC Rcd. 6952 (2003)..... 10

Procedural Streamlining of Admin. Hearings,
 35 FCC Rcd. (2020)..... 11, 12

Publix Network Corp.,
 17 FCC Rcd. 11487 (2002)..... 10

In re Publix Network Corp.,
 Consent Order, EB Docket No. 02-149, File No. EC-01-TC-052 (Mar. 15,
 2005) 10

U.S. v. Heffner,
 420 F.2d 809 (4th Cir. 1969) 12

Washington Metro. Area Transit Comm’n v. Holiday Tours, Inc.,
 559 F.2d 841 (D.C. Cir. 1977)..... 9, 21, 24, 25

WX Communications Ltd. Termination of Int’l Section 214 Authorization,
 Order, DA 19-130 (IB 2019) 11

Wypoint Telecom, Inc. Termination of Int’l Section 214 Authorization,
Order, 30 FCC Rcd. (IB 2015)11

Yanez-Marquez v. Lynch,
789 F.3d 434 (4th Cir. 2015)12

Statutes

5 U.S.C. § 556(b)16

47 U.S.C. § 3129

Other Authorities

47 C.F.R. § 4.922

47 C.F.R. § 63.01(a).....2

47 C.F.R. § 63.182

47 C.F.R. § 63.1922

47 C.F.R. § 63.7122

H.R. Rep. No. 1980, 79th Cong., 2d Sess. 275 (1946).....19, 20

S. Rep. No. 248, 79th Cong., 2d Sess. 35 (1946)20

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.