

No. 21-7078

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

STATE OF NEW YORK; DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA; STATE OF CALIFORNIA; STATE OF COLORADO; STATE OF FLORIDA; STATE OF IOWA; STATE OF NEBRASKA; STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA; STATE OF OHIO; STATE OF TENNESSEE; STATE OF ALASKA; STATE OF ARIZONA; STATE OF ARKANSAS; STATE OF CONNECTICUT; STATE OF DELAWARE; TERRITORY OF GUAM; STATE OF HAWAII; STATE OF IDAHO; STATE OF ILLINOIS; STATE OF INDIANA; STATE OF KANSAS; COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY; STATE OF LOUISIANA; STATE OF MAINE; STATE OF MARYLAND; COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS; STATE OF MICHIGAN; STATE OF MINNESOTA; STATE OF MISSISSIPPI; STATE OF MISSOURI; STATE OF MONTANA; STATE OF NEVADA; STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE; STATE OF NEW JERSEY; STATE OF NEW MEXICO; STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA; STATE OF OKLAHOMA; STATE OF OREGON; COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA; STATE OF RHODE ISLAND; STATE OF TEXAS; STATE OF UTAH; STATE OF VERMONT; COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA; STATE OF WASHINGTON; STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA; STATE OF WISCONSIN; AND STATE OF WYOMING,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

FACEBOOK, INC.,

Defendant-Appellee.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia

**BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE THE AMERICAN ANTITRUST INSTITUTE
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS**

RANDY M. STUTZ

Counsel of Record

AMERICAN ANTITRUST INSTITUTE

1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, #1000

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 905-5420

rstutz@antitrustinstitute.org

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

January 28, 2022

CERTIFICATE AS TO PARTIES, RULINGS, AND RELATED CASES

Pursuant to Circuit Rule 28(a)(1), amicus curiae certifies as follows:

A. Parties and Amici Curiae

Except for the following, all parties, intervenors, and amici appearing before the district court and in this court are listed in the Brief for Appellants:

The American Antitrust Institute

B. Rulings Under Review

References to the rulings at issue appear in the Brief for Appellants.

C. Related Cases

The case now pending before this Court was not previously before this Court or any other court. One related case is identified in the Brief for Appellants.

/s/Randy M. Stutz

Randy M. Stutz

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Circuit Rule 26.1, amicus states:

The American Antitrust Institute is a non-profit, non-stock corporation. It has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation has any ownership interest in it.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
CERTIFICATE AS TO PARTIES, RULINGS, AND RELATED CASES	i
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	1
ARGUMENT	6
I. THE DISTRICT COURT FAILED TO EVALUATE THE COMPLAINT AS A WHOLE AND MISCLASSIFIED CONDITIONAL DEALING	6
A. The Complaint as a Whole Confirms the States Allege Conditional Dealing, Not a Refusal to Deal	6
B. The States Allege Facebook Deals on Anticompetitive Terms	8
C. Conditional Dealing Does Not Raise the Policy Concerns that Refusals to Deal Raise	12
II. THE DISTRICT COURT IGNORED ALLEGATIONS OF ANTI- COMPETITIVE DECEPTION THAT CANNOT BE RESOLVED ON A MOTION TO DISMISS	14
A. An Open First–Closed Later Strategy is Deceptive	14
B. Deception Has No Efficiencies as a Matter of Law	18
C. An Open First–Closed Later Strategy in a Network Market Plausibly Affects Competition	21
III. THE DISTRICT COURT ERRED IN DETERMINING IT COULD NOT AWARDED INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	24
A. Both Anticompetitive Policies and Deception Are Actionable Under Section 2	25
B. The Alleged Conduct Can Be Enjoined	26
CONCLUSION.....	29
CERTIFICATES REGARDING SEPARATE BRIEF AND OF COMPLIANCE	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICES	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Allied Tube & Conduit Corp. v. Indian Head, Inc.</i> , 486 U.S. 492 (1988)	19
<i>Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highlands Skiing Corp.</i> , 472 U.S. 585 (1985)	25
<i>Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm Inc.</i> , 501 F.3d 297 (3d Cir. 2007)	26
<i>City of Anaheim v. S. Cal. Edison Co.</i> , 955 F.2d 1373 (9th Cir. 1992)	6
<i>Cont'l Ore Co. v. Union Carbide & Carbon Corp.</i> , 3 70 U.S. 690 (1962);	6
<i>Carribb. Broad. Sys. Ltd. v. Cable & Wireless PLC</i> , 148 F.3d 1080 (D.C. Cir. 1998)	19
<i>Conwood Co. v. U.S. Tobacco Co.</i> , 290 F.3d 768 (6th Cir. 2002)	19
<i>Fortner Enters. v. United States Steel Corp.</i> , 394 U.S. 495 (1969)	24
<i>Hecht v. Pro-Football, Inc.</i> , 570 F.2d 982 (D.C. Cir. 1977)	23
<i>Eastman Kodak Co. v Image Tech Servs. Inc.</i> , 504 U.S. 451 (1992)	25
<i>In re Wholesale Grocery Prod. Antitrust Litig.</i> , 752 F.3d 728 (8th Cir. 2014)	27
<i>Int'l Travel Arrangers, Inc. v. W. Airlines, Inc.</i> , 623 F.2d 1255 (8th Cir. 1980)	19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.