
In the United States Court of Appeals
for the District of Columbia Circuit

No. 21-7078

STATE OF NEW YORK, et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

FACEBOOK, INC.,

Defendant-Appellee.

*On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in
Case No. 1:20-cv-03589-JEB, Honorable James E. Boasberg, U.S. District Judge*

**BRIEF OF WASHINGTON LEGAL FOUNDATION AND
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY & INNOVATION
FOUNDATION AS *AMICI CURIAE* IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANT-APPELLEE**

CORY L. ANDREWS
JOHN M. MASSLON II
WASHINGTON LEGAL FOUNDATION
2009 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 588-0302
candrews@wlf.org
jmasslon@wlf.org

ZACHARY D. TRIPP
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
2001 M Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 682-7000
zack.tripp@weil.com

MARK PINKERT
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
1395 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1200
Miami, Florida 33176
(305) 577-3100
mark.pinkert@weil.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

March 28, 2022

CERTIFICATE AS TO PARTIES, RULINGS, AND RELATED CASES

Pursuant to Circuit Rule 28(a)(1), *amici curiae* certify as follows:

A. Parties and *Amici Curiae*

All parties appearing in this court are listed in the Brief for Plaintiffs-Appellants. *Amici curiae* on this brief are the Washington Legal Foundation and the Information Technology and Innovation Foundation.

B. Rulings Under Review

References to the rulings at issue in the appeal are listed in the Brief for Plaintiffs-Appellants.

C. Related Cases

FTC v. Meta Platforms, Inc., No. 1:20-cv-03590, pending before the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

Dated: March 28, 2022

/s/ Zachary D. Tripp

Zachary D. Tripp

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 29(a)(4)(A) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, and consistent with D.C. Cir. Rule 26.1, *amici curiae* state that Washington Legal Foundation and the Information Technology and Innovation Foundation are each nonpartisan, nonprofit organizations with no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of their stock or other interest in either organization.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Certificate as to Parties, Rulings, and Related Cases	i
Disclosure Statement	ii
Interest of <i>Amici Curiae</i>	1
Summary of Argument	2
Argument	6
I. The District Court Properly Dismissed the States’ Section 2 Claim	6
A. The District Court Correctly Applied the <i>Aspen/Trinko</i> Framework.....	6
B. The States’ and DOJ’s Counterarguments Lack Merit	13
1. <i>Aspen</i> Is a Limited Exception, Not a Broad and Amorphous Duty-to-Deal Standard.....	13
2. The Lack of a Prior Course of Dealing Is Fatal	18
3. The States and DOJ Improperly Focus on “Purpose”	21
II. Antitrust Policy Weighs Against Novel Judicial Intervention.....	23
Conclusion.....	31
Certificate Regarding Separate Brief.....	32
Certificate of Compliance	33
Certificate of Service	34

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>A.A. Poultry Farms, Inc. v. Rose Acre Farms, Inc.</i> , 881 F.2d 1396 (7th Cir. 1989)	22
<i>In re Adderall XR Antitrust Litigation</i> , 754 F.3d 128 (2d Cir. 2014)	17
<i>ASAP Paging Inc. v. CenturyTel of San Marcos Inc.</i> , 137 F. App'x 694 (5th Cir. 2005)	10
<i>Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highlands Skiing Corp.</i> , 472 U.S. 585 (1985)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007)	18
<i>Berkey Photo, Inc. v. Eastman Kodak Co.</i> , 603 F.2d 263 (2d Cir. 1979)	9
<i>Continental T.V., Inc. v. GTE Sylvania Inc.</i> , 433 U.S. 36 (1977)	7
<i>Covad Communications Co. v. BellSouth Corp.</i> , 374 F.3d 1044 (11th Cir. 2004)	10
<i>Covad Communications Co. v. Bell Atlantic Corp.</i> , 398 F.3d 666 (D.C. Cir. 2005)	10
<i>Data General Corp. v. Grumman Systems Support Corp.</i> , 36 F.3d 1147 (1st Cir. 1994)	9
<i>In re Elevator Antitrust Litigation</i> , 502 F.3d 47 (2d. Cir. 2007)	10
<i>FTC v. Qualcomm Inc.</i> , 969 F.3d 974 (9th Cir. 2020)	10, 18, 22, 23

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.