
No. 19-10676-JJ

**In the
United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellee,

v.

ARMAN ABOVYAN,

Appellant.

On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Florida

Brief of the Appellant Arman Abovyan

David Oscar Markus
Mona Markus
MARKUS/MOSS PLLC
COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT
40 NW Third Street, Penthouse One
Miami, Florida 33128
305-379-6667
dmarkus@markuslaw.com

**CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS
AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

**United States v. Arman Abovyan
Case No. 19-10676-JJ**

Appellant files this Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement listing the parties and entities interested in this appeal, as required by 11th Cir. R. 26.1.

Arman Abovyan	Defendant/Appellant
Tina Marie Barbuto	Co-Defendant
Dave Lee Brannon	United States Magistrate Judge
Alexandra Chase	Assistant United States Attorney
Marissel Descalzo	Counsel for Co-Defendant Barbuto
Robin Kaplan Eliani	Counsel for Co-Defendant Barbuto
David Joffe	Trial Counsel for Appellant
David Oscar Markus	Counsel for Defendant/Appellant
Donald M. Middlebrooks	United States District Court Judge
Ariana Fajardo Orshan	United States Attorney
Howard Schumacher	Trial Counsel for Appellant
Ann Marie Villafana	Assistant United States Attorney

STATEMENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

Arman Abovyan respectfully requests oral argument. This criminal trial involved complex allegations of fraud against a doctor, and argument will assist the Court in evaluating Abovyan's claims.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS.....	C-1
STATEMENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT.....	i
TABLE OF CITATIONS.	iv
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION.	1
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES.	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.	4
Course of Proceedings and Disposition in the District Court.....	4
Statement of Facts.	6
STANDARDS OF REVIEW.	27
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT.....	29
ARGUMENT AND CITATIONS OF AUTHORITY.....	31
I. THERE WAS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO SUSTAIN DR. ABOVYAN'S CONVICTIONS.....	31
II. DR. ABOVYAN'S CONVICTIONS SHOULD BE REVERSED BECAUSE OF FUNDAMENTAL ERRORS IN INSTRUCTING THE JURY.....	49
III. THE DISTRICT COURT'S EVIDENTIARY RULINGS WERE ERRONEOUS AND UNDULY PREJUDICIAL.	53
IV. DR. ABOVYAN'S SENTENCE OF 135 MONTHS' IMPRISONMENT WAS INFIRM.....	58

CONCLUSION..... 64

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE..... 65

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE..... 65

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.