

No. 18-1976, -2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC and SMITHKLINE BEECHAM (CORK) LIMITED,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.,

Defendant-Cross-Appellant.

Appeal from the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware (Stark, C.J.)
No. 1:14-cv-00878-LPS-CJB

PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

Daryl L. Wiesen
J. Anthony Downs
Christopher T. Holding
Elaine Herrmann Blais
Lana S. Shiferman
Robert Frederickson, III
Alexandra Lu
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
100 Northern Ave.
Boston, MA 02210
Tel.: 617.570.1000
Fax.: 617.523.1231

William M. Jay
Jaime A. Santos
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
1900 N Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
Tel.: 202.346.4000
Fax.: 202.346.4444

Ira J. Levy
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
The New York Times Building
620 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10018
Tel.: 212.813.8800
Fax.: 212.355.3333

Counsel for Defendant-Cross-Appellant Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.

December 2, 2020

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for Defendant-Cross-Appellant Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., William M. Jay, certifies the following:

1. **Represented Entities.** Provide the full names of all entities represented by undersigned counsel in this case. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(1).

Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.

2. **Real Party in Interest.** Provide the full names of all real parties in interest for the entities. Do not list the real parties if they are the same as the entities. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(2).

N/A

3. **Parent Corporations and Stockholders.** Provide the full names of all parent corporations for the entities and all publicly held companies that own 10% or more stock in the entities. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(3).

Teva Pharmaceuticals Holdings Coöperatieve U.S.; IVAX LLC; Orvet UK; Teva Pharmaceuticals Europe B.V.; Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries Ltd.

4. **Legal Representatives.** List all law firms, partners, and associates that (a) appeared for the entities in the originating court or agency or (b) are expected to appear in this court for the entities. Do not include those who have already entered an appearance in this court. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(4).

Shaw Keller LLP: John W. Shaw, Karen E. Keller, David M. Fry

5. **Related Cases.** Provide the case titles and numbers of any case known to be pending in this court or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal. Do not include the originating case number(s) for this case. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(5). *See also* Fed. Cir. R. 47.5(b).

GlaxoSmithKline LLC et al. v. Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Inc., USA, No. 1:14-cv-877 (D. Del.)

6. **Organizational Victims and Bankruptcy Cases.** Provide any information required under Fed. R. App. P. 26.1(b) (organizational victims in criminal cases) and 26.1(c) (bankruptcy case debtors and trustees). Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(6).

N/A

/s/ William M. Jay

William M. Jay
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
1900 N Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 346-4000

December 2, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
RULE 35(b) STATEMENT.....	viii
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	3
I. Congress created “carve-outs” so that narrow method patents cannot block generic drugs from being sold for noninfringing uses.	3
II. Teva follows the carve-out procedure, but the panel majority sustains a \$235 million jury verdict for induced infringement.	4
ARGUMENT	10
I. The panel’s multiple departures from longstanding precedent threaten any product with a carve-out.	10
A. The panel’s decision nullifies the carve-out statute.....	10
B. The panel’s decision allows juries to find inducement from conduct predating the patent.	15
C. The panel’s decision hollows out inducement doctrine.....	15
II. The grave harm to competition makes this decision exceptionally important.....	17
CONCLUSION.....	19
ADDENDUM	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Allergan, Inc. v. Alcon Labs.</i> , 324 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	12
<i>AstraZeneca LP v. Apotex Inc.</i> , 633 F.3d 1042 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	13, 14
<i>AstraZeneca Pharm. LP v. Apotex Corp.</i> , 669 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	4, 11, 12
<i>Bayer Schering Pharma AG v. Lupin, Ltd.</i> , 676 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	12, 14
<i>Caraco Pharm. Labs., Ltd. v. Novo Nordisk A/S</i> , 566 U.S. 399 (2012).....	1, 10
<i>Dynacore Holdings Corp. v. U.S. Philips Corp.</i> , 363 F.3d 1263 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	16
<i>GlaxoSmithKline LLC v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 313 F. Supp. 3d 582 (D. Del. 2018)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Global-Tech Appliances, Inc. v. SEB S.A.</i> , 563 U.S. 754 (2011).....	15
<i>Grünenthal GMBH v. Alkem Labs. Ltd.</i> , 919 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	12
<i>Guarantee Co. of N. Am., USA, Inc. v. Ikhana, LLC</i> , 959 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	19
<i>Nat’l Presto Indus., Inc. v. W. Bend Co.</i> , 76 F.3d 1185 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	15
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int’l, Inc.</i> , 843 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	16, 17
<i>Sanofi v. Watson Labs. Inc.</i> , 875 F.3d 636 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.