United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

ACCELERATION BAY LLC,

Plaintiff-Appellant

 \mathbf{v} .

2K SPORTS, INC., ROCKSTAR GAMES, INC., TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE, INC.,

Defendants-Appellees
2020-1700

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware in No. 1:16-cv-00455-RGA, Judge Richard G. Andrews.

Decided: October 4, 2021

AARON M. FRANKEL, Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, New York, NY, argued for plaintiff-appellant. Also represented by Cristina Martinez; Paul J. Andre, James R. Hannah, Lisa Kobialka, Menlo Park, CA.

MICHAEL A. TOMASULO, Winston & Strawn LLP, Los Angeles, CA, argued for defendants-appellees. Also represented by DAVID P. ENZMINGER; LOUIS CAMPBELL, Menlo Park, CA; GEOFFREY P. EATON, Washington, DC.



 $\begin{tabular}{ll} ACCELERATION BAY LLC \ v. \\ TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE \\ \end{tabular}$

2

Before Moore, $Chief\ Judge^*$, Reyna, and Hughes, $Circuit\ Judges$.

REYNA, Circuit Judge.

This is an appeal from the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware's decisions construing certain claim terms in plaintiff-appellant Acceleration Bay LLC's four asserted patents, U.S. Patent Nos. 6,701,344, 6,714,966, 6,910,069, and 6,920,497, and granting defendant-appellees 2K Sports, Inc., Rockstar Games, Inc., and Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc.'s motion for summary judgment of non-infringement. We conclude that Acceleration Bay's appeal is most with respect to the '344 and '966 patents, and therefore we dismiss the appeal in part for lack of jurisdiction. We further affirm the district court's claim construction of the '069 patent and its grant of summary judgment of non-infringement as to the '069 and '497 patents.

BACKGROUND

The Patents-in-Suit

Acceleration Bay asserted four patents that are at issue in this appeal: U.S. Patent Nos. 6,701,344 ("344 Patent"), 6,714,966 ("966 Patent"), 6,910,069 ("069 Patent"), and 6,920,497 ("497 Patent"). The patents are unrelated but were filed on the same day, July 31, 2000, and share similar specifications.¹ The patents disclose a networking

^{*} Chief Judge Kimberly A. Moore assumed the position of Chief Judge on May 22, 2021.

¹ The '069 and '497 patents have identical specifications. The other two patents' specifications differ in that the '344 patent adds a section titled "Distributed Game Environment," *see* '344 patent col. 16 l. 29–col. 17 l. 11, and

ACCELERATION BAY LLC v.
TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE

3

technology that allegedly improves upon pre-existing communication techniques because it is "suitable for the simultaneous sharing of information among a large number of the processes that are widely distributed." *See* '344 patent col. 2 ll. 38–41. Specifically, the patents describe a "broadcast technique in which a broadcast channel overlays a point-to-point communications network." *Id.* at col. 4 ll. 3–5.

The '344 and '966 patents' claims at issue—namely claims 12 to 15 of the '344 patent and claims 12 and 13 of the '966 patent—are drawn to networks that provide broadcast channels and information distribution services where participating computers (i.e., nodes) are connected and organized via a virtual network (i.e., overlay network). See '344 patent col. 30 ll. 4–32; '966 patent col. 30 ll. 36–57. Pertinent to this subject matter, the patents teach, for example, that an originating computer sends a message to its neighbors on the broadcast channel using point-to-point connections. '344 patent at col. 4 ll. 26-32. Then each computer that receives the message sends it to its neighbors using point-to-point connections. Id. at col. 4 ll. 32–34. Requiring the computers to send the message only to their neighbors, rather than to all network participants, improves efficiency and reliability of communication because it reduces both the number of connections that each participant must maintain and the number of messages that each participant must send. See id. at col. 4 ll. 23-47; see also Appellant's Br. 8–11. The technology also allegedly improves communication by using redundancy to avoid transmission errors. '344 patent col. 7 ll. 50-51 ("The redundancy of the message sending helps to ensure the overall reliability of the broadcast channel."). Claim 12 of the

the '966 patent adds a section called "Information Delivery Service," '966 patent col. 16 l. 24—col. 17 l. 26. This opinion cites for convenience to the '344 patent.

4

'344 patent, which depends from claim 1, is representative of the '344 patent's claims at issue in this case. Those claims recite:

1. A computer network for providing a game environment for a plurality of participants,

each participant having connections to at least three neighbor participants,

wherein an originating participant sends data to the other participants by sending the data through each of its connections to its neighbor participants and

wherein each participant sends data that it receives from a neighbor participant to its other neighbor participants,

further wherein the network is m-regular, where m is the exact number of neighbor participants of each participant and

further wherein the number of participants is at least two greater than m thus resulting in a noncomplete graph.

12. The computer network of claim 1 wherein the interconnections of participants form a broadcast channel for a game of interest.

And asserted claims 12 and 13 of the '966 patent are nearly identical to asserted claims 12 and 13 of the '344 patent, containing no differences material to the outcome of the appeal.² '966 patent col. 30 ll. 36–57.



² The '966 patent's asserted claims are different in that they refer to an "information delivery service" rather than a "game environment" or "game system"; "distributing

ACCELERATION BAY LLC v.
TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE

5

The '069 patent's claims 1 and 11, at issue in this appeal, are drawn to methods for adding participants to a network. '069 patent col. 28 l. 48—col. 29 l. 25. The method involves, in simple terms, a computer seeking to join the network by contacting what is referred to as a "portal computer" on the network, which then sends a connection request to certain of its neighbors. Claim 1 is representative³ and recites:

1. A computer-based, non-routing table based, non-switch based method for adding a participant to a network of participants, each participant being connected to three or more other participants, the method comprising:

identifying a pair of participants of the network that are connected wherein a seeking participant contacts a fully connected portal computer, which in turn sends an edge connection request to a number of randomly selected neighboring participants to which the seeking participant is to connect;

disconnecting the participants of the identified pair from each other; and

connecting each participant of the identified pair of participants to the seeking participant.

'069 patent col. 28 ll. 48-62.



information relating to a topic" rather than "playing a game"; and a "topic" rather than a "game."

³ Claim 11 depends from claim 1 and recites: "The method of claim 1 wherein the participants are connected via the Internet." '069 patent col. 29 ll. 24–25.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

