FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 1) July 2020

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case Number: 2021	-1978				
Short Case Caption: Hoist Fitness Systems, Inc. v. TuffStuff Fitness International, Inc.					
Filing Party/Entity: TuffStuff Fitness International, Inc.					
Instructions: Complete each blank or not applicable. Attack Mediation Guidelines for filing required for each new appeal	h additional pages as n g requirements. An am	eeded. Refer to the court's ended docketing statement is			
Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case			
Central District of California	5:17-cv-01388-AB-K	Patent			
Relief sought on appeal: \square N	one/Not Applicable				
Reversal and/or remand of the District Court's Order Denying TuffStuff's Motion for Attorney's Fees					
Relief awarded below (if damages, specify): 🗹 None/Not Applicable					
Briefly describe the judgment	order appealed from:				
The District Court denied TuffStuff's Motion for Attorney's Fees					
Nature of judgment (select one Final Judgment, 28 US Rule 54(b) Interlocutory Order (specific potential)	C § 1295	lgment: <u>4/22/21</u>			



FORM~26.~Docketing~Statement

Form 26 (p. 2) July 2020

Name and docket number of any related cases pending before this court, and the						
name of the writing judge if an opinion was issued. 🗹 None/Not Applicable						
Issues to be raised on appeal: \square None/Not Applicable						
Whether the district court erred in finding the case not exceptional under 35 U.S.C. 285 and in denying an award of attorney's fees to TuffStuff.						
Have there been discussions with other parties relating to settlement of this case?						
□ Yes ☑ No						
If "yes," when were the last such discussions?						
\square Before the case was filed below						
\square During the pendency of the case below						
☐ Following the judgment/o	rder appeale	d from				
If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$						
If they were mediated, by whom?						
Do you believe that this case may be amenable to mediation? \square Yes \square No						
Explain.						
The parties were repeatedly unable to resolve the case on the merits and it is						
unlikely that any resolution could be reached on the attorney fee issue, given the						
current posture of the district court having denied fees.						
Provide any other information w	olovont to th	o inclusion o	f this case in	the count's		
Provide any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the court's mediation program.						
N/A						
IVA						
Date: 6/9/21	Signature:	/s/ Rudolph A. Telscher, Jr.				
	Name:	Rudolph	A. Telsche	er, Jr.		
	Maine.					

