

Miscellaneous Docket No. ____

IN THE
United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

IN RE APPLE INC.,

Petitioner.

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the
United States District Court for the
Western District of Texas
No. 6:21-cv-01071-ADA, Hon. Alan D Albright

**APPLE INC.'S PETITION FOR
WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

Steven D. Moore
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND &
STOCKTON LLP
Two Embarcadero Center
Suite 1900
San Francisco, CA 94111

Melanie L. Bostwick
Jonas Q. Wang
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
1152 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 339-8400

Mansi Shah
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND &
STOCKTON LLP
1302 El Camino Real, Suite 175
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Alton L. Absher III
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND &
STOCKTON LLP
1001 West Fourth Street
Winston-Salem, NC 27101

Counsel for Petitioner

FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 1)
July 2020**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT****CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST****Case Number** _____**Short Case Caption** In re Apple Inc.**Filing Party/Entity** Apple Inc.

Instructions: Complete each section of the form. In answering items 2 and 3, be specific as to which represented entities the answers apply; lack of specificity may result in non-compliance. **Please enter only one item per box; attach additional pages as needed and check the relevant box.** Counsel must immediately file an amended Certificate of Interest if information changes. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(b).

I certify the following information and any attached sheets are accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Date: 09/08/2022Signature: /s/ Melanie L. BostwickName: Melanie L. Bostwick

FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 2)
July 2020

1. Represented Entities. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(1).	2. Real Party in Interest. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(2).	3. Parent Corporations and Stockholders. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(3).
Provide the full names of all entities represented by undersigned counsel in this case.	Provide the full names of all real parties in interest for the entities. Do not list the real parties if they are the same as the entities. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None/Not Applicable	Provide the full names of all parent corporations for the entities and all publicly held companies that own 10% or more stock in the entities. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None/Not Applicable
Apple Inc.		

☐ Additional pages attached

FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 3)
July 2020

4. Legal Representatives. List all law firms, partners, and associates that (a) appeared for the entities in the originating court or agency or (b) are expected to appear in this court for the entities. Do not include those who have already entered an appearance in this court. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(4).

☐ None/Not Applicable

☐ Additional pages attached

Kelly Hart & Hallman LLP	J. Stephen Ravel	Rishi Gupta
Andrew W. Rinehart	Amanda N. Brouillette	Kasey E. Koballa
Christopher P. Schaffer		

5. Related Cases. Provide the case titles and numbers of any case known to be pending in this court or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal. Do not include the originating case number(s) for this case. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(5). See also Fed. Cir. R. 47.5(b).

☐ None/Not Applicable

☐ Additional pages attached

In re Apple Inc., No. 22-162 (Fed. Cir.)	In re Apple Inc., No. 22-163 (Fed. Cir.)	

6. Organizational Victims and Bankruptcy Cases. Provide any information required under Fed. R. App. P. 26.1(b) (organizational victims in criminal cases) and 26.1(c) (bankruptcy case debtors and trustees). Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(6).

☒ None/Not Applicable

☐ Additional pages attached

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	vi
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES	1
INTRODUCTION	2
RELIEF SOUGHT	4
ISSUE PRESENTED	5
FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY	5
Scramoge sues Apple for patent infringement in the Western District of Texas despite having no connection to that district	5
Apple moves to transfer the suit to the Northern District of California, and venue discovery proceeds	7
The district court sua sponte orders the parties to complete fact discovery and “re-brief” Apple’s transfer motion before it will consider whether to transfer venue	14
REASONS FOR ISSUING THE WRIT	16
I. Apple Has A Clear And Indisputable Right To The Writ	17
A. The district court clearly abused its discretion by violating binding precedent requiring courts to prioritize transfer motions.	17
B. The district court’s stated reasons for its extraordinary order cannot justify its departure from precedent.	23
II. Apple Has No Other Adequate Means To Obtain Relief	29
III. Mandamus Is Appropriate Here Because Apple Has A Strong Case For Transfer And The Equities Heavily Favor Apple.	32

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.