

Miscellaneous Docket No. 23-120

IN THE
United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

IN RE APPLE INC.,

Petitioner.

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the
United States District Court for the
Western District of Texas
No. 6:22-cv-00149-ADA, Hon. Alan D Albright

**APPLE INC.'S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR A STAY
OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE DISTRICT COURT
PENDING RESOLUTION OF APPLE'S MANDAMUS
PETITION**

Brian Rosenthal
Katherine Q. Dominguez
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166

Neema Jalali
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
555 Mission Street, Suite 3000
San Francisco, CA 94105

Melanie L. Bostwick
Jonas Q. Wang
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
1152 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 339-8400

Melanie R. Hallums
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
2121 Main Street
Wheeling, WV 26003

Counsel for Petitioner

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	ii
LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	v
RULE 27(A)(2) STATEMENT.....	vi
INTRODUCTION.....	1
BACKGROUND	6
Apple moves to transfer this case to the Austin Division of the Western District of Texas, leading to venue discovery.....	6
Apple seeks to postpone the Markman hearing until the district court resolves transfer.....	7
The district court denies Apple’s motion to stay	9
LEGAL STANDARD	11
ARGUMENT	11
I. Apple’s Petition Makes A Compelling Case For Mandamus.....	12
II. Absent A Stay, Apple Would Be Irreparably Harmed By Participating In Substantive Proceedings In The Waco Division.....	16
III. A Brief Stay Would Not Harm SpaceTime.....	18
IV. The Public Interest Favors A Stay.	19
CONCLUSION.....	20
CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	
EXHIBITS	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Am. Dredging Co. v. Miller</i> , 510 U.S. 443 (1994).....	19
<i>In re Apple Inc.</i> , 52 F.4th 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2022).....	3, 4, 13
<i>In re Apple Inc.</i> , 979 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	3, 13, 14
<i>In re Apple Inc.</i> , No. 2022-163, 2022 WL 16754376 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 8, 2022).....	4, 13
<i>In re Apple Inc.</i> , No. 2022-164, 2022 WL 16754153 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 8, 2022).....	4, 13
<i>Ex parte Collett</i> , 337 U.S. 55 (1949).....	14
<i>Cont'l Grain Co. v. The Barge FBL-585</i> , 364 U.S. 19 (1960).....	13
<i>E-Watch, Inc. v. Lorex Can., Inc.</i> , No. H-12-3314, 2013 WL 5425298 (S.D. Tex. Sept. 26, 2013)	18
<i>In re EMC Corp.</i> , 501 F. App'x 973 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	13
<i>In re Google Inc.</i> , No. 2015-138, 2015 WL 5294800 (Fed. Cir. July 16, 2015).....	4, 13, 16, 17
<i>Hilton v. Braunskill</i> , 481 U.S. 770 (1987).....	11, 16, 18
<i>In re Horseshoe Ent.</i> , 337 F.3d 429 (5th Cir. 2003).....	13, 16

In re Lloyd’s Register N. Am., Inc.,
780 F.3d 283 (5th Cir. 2015)..... 17

In re Nintendo Co.,
544 F. App’x 934 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 13

Nken v. Holder,
556 U.S. 418 (2009)..... 11, 16, 18, 19

In re Radmax, Ltd.,
720 F.3d 285 (5th Cir. 2013)..... 2, 3, 15

In re SK hynix Inc.,
835 F. App’x 600 (Fed. Cir. 2021) 3, 4, 13, 17, 18

Standard Havens Prods., Inc. v. Gencor Indus., Inc.,
897 F.2d 511 (Fed. Cir. 1990) 11, 12

In re TracFone Wireless, Inc.,
848 F. App’x 899 (Fed. Cir. 2021) 3, 4, 13, 16, 17, 18

In re True Chem. Sols., LLC,
841 F. App’x 240 (Fed. Cir. 2021) 15

VirtualAgility Inc. v. Salesforce.com, Inc.,
759 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 19

In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.,
545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008)..... 17

Weingarten Realty Inv’rs v. Miller,
661 F.3d 904 (5th Cir. 2011)..... 19

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)..... 2, 13, 14, 15

Act of June 25, 1948, ch. 646, 62 Stat. 937 14

Rules and Regulations

Fed. Cir. R. 8(c)..... 2

Fed. R. App. P. 8(a)(2)(A)(ii)..... 2

Other Authorities

14D Charles Alan Wright, Arthur R. Miller & Edward H.
Cooper, Federal Practice and Procedure § 3809 (3d ed. 2007) 15

Motion to Dismiss, *In re Apple Inc.*, No. 2023-100, Dkt. 11
(Fed. Cir. Nov. 9, 2022)..... 1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.