

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case Number: 2024-1061

Short Case Caption: Allergan USA, Inc. v. MSN Laboratories Private Ltd.

Filing Party/Entity: Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited

Instructions: Complete each section or check the box if a section is intentionally blank or not applicable. Attach additional pages as needed. Refer to the court's Mediation Guidelines for filing requirements. An amended docketing statement is required for each new appeal or cross-appeal consolidated after first filing.

Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case
USDC, Delaware	1:19-cv-01727-RGA	Patent Infringement

Relief sought on appeal: None/Not Applicable

Affirmation of the district court's judgment for Sun.

Relief awarded below (if damages, specify): None/Not Applicable

Final judgment in favor of Sun as to all infringement claims and Sun's counterclaims for invalidity of U.S. Patent Nos. 11,007,179, 11,090,291, 11,160,792, 11,311,516, and 7,741,356.

Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from:

After a three day bench trial, the district court found that U.S. Patent Nos. 11,007,179, 11,090,291, 11,160,792, 11,311,516, are invalid for lack of written description and 7,741,356 is invalid for obviousness-type double patenting and further dismissed Plaintiffs' claims of patent infringement.

Nature of judgment (select one):

Date of judgment: 10/12/23

Final Judgment, 28 USC § 1295

Rule 54(b)

Interlocutory Order (specify type) _____

Other (explain) _____

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 2)
July 2020

Name and docket number of any related cases pending before this court, and the name of the writing judge if an opinion was issued. None/Not Applicable

Issues to be raised on appeal: None/Not Applicable

Whether the district court's decision on invalidity and infringement should be affirmed.

Have there been discussions with other parties relating to settlement of this case?

Yes No

If "yes," when were the last such discussions?

- Before the case was filed below
- During the pendency of the case below
- Following the judgment/order appealed from

If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated? Yes No

If they were mediated, by whom?

Do you believe that this case may be amenable to mediation? Yes No

Explain.

The parties have already engaged in settlement discussions which were unsuccessful.

Provide any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the court's mediation program.

Date: 11/2/23 Signature: /s/ Charles B. Klein

Name: Charles B. Klein