

No. 20-1104

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

Comcast of Maine/New Hampshire, Inc.; A&E Television Networks LLC; C-Span;
CBS Corp.; Discovery, Inc.; Disney Enterprises, Inc.; Fox Cable Network
Services, LLC; NBCUniversal Media, LLC; New England Sports Network, LP;
Viacom Inc.,
Plaintiffs-Appellees,

-v.-

Janet Mills, in her official capacity as the Governor of Maine; Aaron Frey, in his
official capacity as the Attorney General of Maine,
Defendants-Appellants,

City of Bath, Maine; Town of Berwick, Maine; Town of Bowdoin, Maine; Town
of Bowdoinham, Maine; Town of Brunswick, Maine; Town of Durham, Maine;
Town of Eliot, Maine; Town of Freeport, Maine; Town of Harpswell, Maine;
Town of Kittery, Maine; Town of Phippsburg, Maine; Town of South Berwick,
Maine; Town of Topsham, Maine; Town of West Bath, Maine; Town of
Woolwich, Maine,
Defendants.

On Appeal From the District of Maine (Case No. 1:19-cv-410-NT)

**BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE WARNERMEDIA, LLC IN SUPPORT
OF PLAINTIFFS-APPELLEES**

Kelly M. Klaus
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
560 Mission St., 27th floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 512-4017
kelly.klaus@mto.com

Donald B. Verrilli, Jr. (No. 50901)
Elaine J. Goldenberg (No. 1193807)
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
1155 F Street, 7th Floor
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 220-1100
donald.verrilli@mto.com
elaine.goldenberg@mto.com

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 26.1(a) and 29(a)(4), WarnerMedia, LLC states that its parent corporation is AT&T Inc., a publicly traded company. No other publicly traded entity owns 10% or more of WarnerMedia's stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE	1
INTRODUCTION	1
ARGUMENT	3
I. Enjoining Enforcement Of Chapter 308 Is Strongly In The Public Interest.....	3
A. Enforcement Of Chapter 308 Would Inflict Significant Harm On WarnerMedia And The General Public In Maine.....	3
B. Enforcement Of Chapter 308 Would Violate The Constitutional Rights Of WarnerMedia And Similarly Situated Entities	16
II. Plaintiffs Have Established A Likelihood Of Success On The Merits.....	23
CONCLUSION	27
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	28
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
FEDERAL CASES	
<i>Corporate Techs., Inc. v. Harnett</i> , 731 F.3d 6 (1st Cir. 2013).....	3
<i>Edenfield v. Fane</i> , 507 U.S. 761 (1993).....	22
<i>Greater New Orleans Broad. Ass’n, Inc. v. United States</i> , 527 U.S. 173 (1999).....	23
<i>Leathers v. Medlock</i> , 499 U.S. 439 (1991).....	20
<i>Minneapolis Star & Tribune Co. v. Minnesota Comm’r of Revenue</i> , 460 U.S. 575 (1983).....	20
<i>NCTA v. Broadcast Music, Inc.</i> , 772 F. Supp. 614 (D.D.C. 1991).....	6
<i>Oneok, Inc. v. Learjet, Inc.</i> , 575 U.S. 373 (2015).....	26
<i>Reed v. Town of Gilbert, Ariz.</i> , 135 S. Ct. 2218 (2015).....	21
<i>Sindicato Puertorriqueño de Trabajadores v. Fortuño</i> , 699 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2012).....	16
<i>Sorrell v. IMS Health Inc.</i> , 564 U.S. 552 (2011).....	19
<i>Turner Broad. Sys., Inc. v. FCC</i> , 512 U.S. 622 (1994).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Turner Broad. Sys., Inc. v. FCC</i> , 520 U.S. 180 (1997).....	17, 26
<i>United States v. O’Brien</i> , 391 U.S. 367 (1968).....	21

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
FEDERAL STATUTES	
47 U.S.C. § 544(f).....	24, 25
FEDERAL RULES	
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(a)(2)	24
Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1(a)	i
Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 29	i, 1
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
Kenneth Basin, <i>The Business of Television</i> (2019)	4, 6, 7
Booz Allen Hamilton, <i>The à la Carte Paradox: Higher Consumer Costs and Reduced Programming Diversity</i> (Jul. 2004)	13, 14
Gregory Crawford & Ali Yurukoglu, <i>The Welfare Effects of Bundling in Multichannel Television Markets</i> , 102(2) <i>American Economic Review</i> 643 (Apr. 2012)	15
FCC, <i>Report on the Packaging and Sale of Video Programming Services to the Public</i> (Nov. 18, 2004)	14, 15
<i>The FCC’s Further Report on À La Carte Pricing of Cable Television</i> (Mar. 7, 2006)	15
Gen. Accounting Office, <i>Issues Related to Competition and Subscriber Rates in the Cable Television Industry</i> (Oct. 2003), available at https://www.gao.gov/new.items/d048.pdf	15
Kagan Insights, <i>À la Carte Pricing Makes Great Theory, But TV Ch. Bundling Tough to Beat</i> (Dec. 15, 2005)	15
Raymond Lee Katz <i>et al.</i> , <i>À la Smart?</i> , Bear Stearns (Mar. 29, 2004)	15
Jon Nathanson, <i>The Economics of a Hit TV Show</i> , <i>Priceonomics</i> (Oct. 17, 2013)	12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.