

Filed: September 18, 2001

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

Nos. 00-1918(L)
(CA-99-1336-A)

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

Michael T. Doughney, an individual,

Defendant - Appellant.

O R D E R

The Court further amends its opinion filed August 23, 2001, and amended September 6, 2001, as follows:

On page 3, first full paragraph, lines 3-4 -- the words "and other domain names relating to President George W. Bush" are deleted.

For the Court - By Direction

/s/ Patricia S. Connor
Clerk

Filed: September 7, 2001

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

Nos. 00-1918(L)
(CA-99-1336-A)

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

Michael T. Doughney, an individual,

Defendant - Appellant.

CORRECTED ORDER

The court corrects its amending order filed September 6, 2001,
as follows:

The citation to Virtual Works was corrected to read "128 F.3d
at 270." The citation should have been corrected to read "238 F.3d
at 270."

For the Court - By Direction

/s/ Patricia S. Connor
Clerk

Filed: September 6, 2001

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

Nos. 00-1918(L)
(CA-99-1336-A)

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

Michael T. Doughney, an individual,

Defendant - Appellant.

O R D E R

The court amends its opinion filed August 23, 2001, as follows:

On page 2, section 2, line 1 -- counsel's name is corrected to read "G. Gervaise Davis, III."

On page 2, section 2, line 4 -- counsel's name is corrected to read "Eric Bakri Boustani."

On page 15, first paragraph, lines 1-2 -- the citation to Virtual Works is corrected to read "128 F.3d at 270."

For the Court - By Direction

/s/ Patricia S. Connor
Clerk

PUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL
TREATMENT OF ANIMALS,
Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

No. 00-1918

MICHAEL T. DOUGHNEY, an
individual,
Defendant-Appellant.

DIANE CABELL; MILTON MUELLER,
Amici Curiae.

PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL
TREATMENT OF ANIMALS,
Plaintiff-Appellant.

v.

No. 00-2289

MICHAEL T. DOUGHNEY, an
individual,
Defendant-Appellee.

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria.
Claude M. Hilton, Chief District Judge.
(CA-99-1336-A)

Argued: May 7, 2001

Decided: August 23, 2001

Before MICHAEL and GREGORY, Circuit Judges, and
Benson E. LEGG, United States District Judge for the
District of Maryland, sitting by designation.

Affirmed by published opinion. Judge Gregory wrote the opinion, in which Judges Michael and Legg joined.

COUNSEL

ARGUED: G. Gervaise Davis, III, DAVIS & SCHROEDER, P.C., Monterey, California, for Appellant. Philip Jay Hirschkop, HIRSCHKOP & ASSOCIATES, P.C., Alexandria, Virginia, for Appellee. **ON BRIEF:** Eric Bakri Boustani, DAVIS & SCHROEDER, P.C., Monterey, California; Richard T. Rossier, Kathryn A. Kleiman, MCLEOD, WATKINSON & MILLER, Washington, D.C., for Appellant. Marianne R. Merritt, HIRSCHKOP & ASSOCIATES, P.C., Alexandria, Virginia, for Appellee. Diane Cabell, HARVARD LAW SCHOOL, Cambridge, Massachusetts; Dr. Milton Mueller, Center for Science and Technology, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, Syracuse, New York, Amici Curiae Pro Se.

OPINION

GREGORY, Circuit Judge:

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals ("PETA") sued Michael Doughney ("Doughney") after he registered the domain name peta.org and created a website called "People Eating Tasty Animals." PETA alleged claims of service mark infringement under 15 U.S.C. § 1114 and Virginia common law, unfair competition under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) and Virginia common law, and service mark dilution and cybersquatting under 15 U.S.C. § 1123(c). Doughney appeals the district court's decision granting PETA's motion for summary judgment and PETA cross-appeals the district court's denial of its motion for attorney's fees and costs. Finding no error, we affirm.

I.

PETA is an animal rights organization with more than 600,000 members worldwide. PETA "is dedicated to promoting and heightening public awareness of animal protection issues and it opposes the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.